Hidden History

The Untold Story of the Democratic Party

Mark W. Swarbrick

Copyright © 2021 by Mark Swarbrick

All rights reserved. No part of this book shall be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, magnetic, photographic including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission of the author. No patent liability is assumed with respect to the use of the information contained herein. Although every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this book, the publisher and author assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. Neither is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein. Some quotes in this writing contain copyrighted material whose use has not been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. The author is making these articles available in an educational effort to advance the understanding of biblical theology as it relates to cults and aberrant Christian ministries. This constitutes a "fair use" of the copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Law.

Printed in the United States of America.

1st Edition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Trail of Tears	l
2	The Indian Removal Act	7
3	The Mexican-American War	.17
4	The Civil War	.21
5	Evolution, Eugenics and Pure Evil	.34
6	The Civil Rights Act	.40
7	Socialism & Satan	.43
8	Sexual Perversion	.68
9	Election Fraud	.76
10	The Party of Control	100
11	The Party of Antichrist	112
12	The Party of Incarceration	124
13	The Party of Murder	132
14	The Party of Crime	142
15	The Party of Despotism	153
16	The Way Forward	166

This book is dedicated...

To the good people of the Democratic Party, who know not what they do. May they heed the scripture, "'Come out from among them, and be separate,' says the Lord." (2 Corinthians 6:17)

Previous publications by Mark Swarbrick...

Theistic Evolution: Did God Create Through Evolution?

Heavenly Miracles: True Stories of Supernatural Intervention

To Mormons with Love: A Pilgrimage Through Mormon History and Doctrine

King James Onlyism: Is the KJV the Best Bible Version?

End of Days: What the Bible Says Happens Next

Catholicism: The Hidden Truth About Catholicism

Life After Death: What Happens When We Die?

Swaggartism: The Strange Doctrines of Jimmy Swaggart Ministries

Preface

This book is unique in that it examines a political subject from a religious perspective, specifically from a Christian perspective. In 1892 the Supreme Court of the United States declared:

Our laws and our institutions must necessarily be based upon, and embody the teachings of, the Redeemer of mankind. It is impossible that it should be otherwise; and in this sense and to this extent our civilization and our institutions are emphatically Christian. This is a Christian nation.¹

This proclamation was based upon the plentiful historical evidence which shows that America's founding fathers overwhelmingly considered America a Christian nation and felt strongly that it should be so. By this, they did not intend that anyone should be coerced to believe, or not believe, any particular creed, but that they ardently desired that men of Christian faith and virtue would continue to lead this nation in the future. It is this foundation of Judeo-Christian ethics upon which our Constitution, our rights, and our laws are founded. It is this moral foundation that has led to the prosperity and freedom that America has enjoyed.

At a Constitutional Convention convened on June 28th, 1787, Benjamin Franklin addressed the gathering with these words:

In the beginning of the contest with Britain, when we were sensible of danger, we had daily prayer in this room for divine protection. Our prayers, sir, were heard and they were graciously answered...and have we now forgotten that powerful friend? Or, do we imagine we no longer need his assistance? I have lived, Sir, a long time and the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see of this truth -- that God

¹ http://kirkcameron.com/articles/u-s-Constitution-and-biblical-principles/

governs in the affairs of men. And if a sparrow cannot fall to the ground without his notice, is it probable that an empire can rise without his aid? We have been assured, Sir, in the sacred writings that "except the Lord build they labor in vain that build it." I firmly believe this; and I also believe that without His concurring aid we shall succeed in this political building no better than the Builders of Babel: We shall be divided by our little partial local interests; our projects will be confounded, and we ourselves shall become a reproach and a bye word down to future age. And what is worse, mankind may hereafter from this unfortunate instance, despair of establishing governments by human wisdom, and leave it to chance, war, and conquest. I therefore beg leave to move that, henceforth, prayers imploring the assistance of heaven, and its blessings on our deliberations, be held in this assembly every morning before we proceed to business, and that one or more of the clergy of this city be requested to officiate in that service

If such is the case, that God superintended the building of America, it is reasonable to assume that Satan, that crafty enemy of all that is good and wholesome, is desirous to inhibit, impede, and destroy any nation that experiences the blessings of God. It would be naïve to think otherwise. Satan uses politics to gain control over countries to ban the Bible, outlaw Christianity, and implement his various infernal plans. We must not imagine that the devil is not involved in the affairs of the world. The famous Christian apologist C.S. Lewis hit the nail on the head when he said, "There are two equal and opposite errors into which our race can fall about the devils. One is to disbelieve in their existence. The other is to believe, and to feel an excessive and unhealthy interest in them. They themselves are equally pleased by both errors and hail a materialist or a magician with the same delight." Within the political realm, people frequently fall into the former error, that the devil doesn't exist in politics.

Oh, but he does. A study of American history makes it evident that Satan has managed to influence government policies in America. The following chapters will provide evidence of that. In this preface, I wish to address the efficacy of Christian awareness of this and the urgent need for Christians to be politically active in countering Satan's devilish schemes in the political realm. Plato said, "The penalty good men pay for indifference to public affairs is to be ruled by evil men." How true that is. Christians, more than any, should be involved in politics, and the preacher even more so. True is the maxim: "All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing."

Some may imagine that it is somehow unspiritual to care about politics. It may be presumed that spiritual warfare does not manifest itself in the political arena. Perhaps some feel that Christians defending their rights is somehow improper or unimportant. To counter such suppositions, let me point out from Scripture the attitude which Jesus and the apostles held in this matter.

In Matthew Chapter 17, verses 24-27, we find this interesting discourse:

After Jesus and his disciples arrived in Capernaum, the collectors of the two-drachma temple tax came to Peter and asked, "Doesn't your teacher pay the temple tax?"

"Yes, he does," he replied.

When Peter came into the house, Jesus was the first to speak. "What do you think, Simon?" he asked. "From whom do the kings of the earth collect duty and taxes—from their own children or from others?"

"From others," Peter answered.

"Then the children are exempt," Jesus said to him. "But so that we may not cause offense, go to the lake and throw out your line. Take the first fish you catch; open its mouth and you will find a four-drachma coin. Take it and give it to them for my tax and yours."

What is instructive here is that Jesus pointed out the injustice of their paying the tax. As Lord and Owner of the Temple, Jesus should have been exempt from the temple tax. He paid it anyway to avoid offense, but he made the point clear that it was not right. The thing to see here is that Jesus was not loath to point out political inequities. Therefore, his followers should not be either.

In Acts Chapter 22, we read that the Apostle Paul was not averse to decrying a violation of Roman law. When he was tied and stretched out in preparation to be whipped, Paul asks the centurion near him, "Is it lawful for you to flog a man who is a Roman citizen and uncondemned?" (Verse 25). Paul's appeal to his rights as a Roman citizen prevented the beating from occurring.

In Acts Chapter 16, Paul is in prison, and the officials sent an order for him to be released. But Paul refuses to go without making the point that his rights had been violated. Paul says, "They beat us publicly without a trial, even though we are Roman citizens, and threw us into prison. And now do they want to get rid of us quietly? No! Let them come themselves and escort us out." (Verse 37)

In Acts Chapter 25, Paul is on trial before Festus. The Jews wanted him to be handed over to them for trial. Once again, Paul relied on his rights as a Roman citizen. One of those rights is to ask that his legal case go directly to Caesar. Paul said, "But if there is nothing to their charges against me, no one can give me up to them. I appeal to Caesar." (Verse 11). Based upon his rights as a Roman, Paul's appeal saved his life that day, and he was sent to Rome to appear before Caesar.

What do Roman rights or constitutional rights have to do with spreading the Gospel? Good question. The answer is this: Jesus was always concerned about what was right, fair, and just. He told the tax collectors to collect no more than was required. And to the soldiers, Jesus said, "Do not extort money from anyone by threats or by false accusation." (Luke 3:14). He told children to treat their elderly parents well. You see,

the Gospel is not just about getting saved. It is also about justice.

Jesus adjures us: "Be ye therefore wise as serpents, and harmless as doves." (Matthew 10:16). It is wisdom and prudence for Christians to stand up for their constitutional rights as American citizens. It is scriptural. To do so is to walk in the footsteps of the Apostle Paul and to stand for principles of fairness and justice, just as Jesus did. John the Baptist did not hesitate to point out the sins of a politician. He declared that Herod was committing adultery by having married his brother's wife. It cost him his life, but he did it anyway. Jesus did the same thing, calling the religious leaders "a brood of vipers." Jesus promised that if the world hated Him, it would hate us as well. If the world does not hate us, it may be because we have abandoned our calling to confront sin.

We must battle Satan with prayer, but also with all the tools in our arsenal. Among the arrows in our quiver are instruments provided by men of God from yesteryear. Our founding fathers wisely gave us the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, and the ability to make our voice heard in the halls of government. Let us use these rights so long as we still have them.

What is at stake is not just how much we are taxed or what kind of gun we can carry, or the type of energy our car will use. It is more urgent than that. Christianity itself is under fire. Our freedom of speech, our right to corporate worship, our right to hear sermons preached from the word of God, and the right to follow our conscience. These are freedoms that the devil is actively trying to take away from us. We must fight for liberty if we wish to keep it. Let us not think it unspiritual to do so. Let us take care that we do not become so heavenly minded that we are no earthly good.

President Ronald Reagan warned, "Freedom is never more than one generation away from extinction. We didn't pass it to our children in the bloodstream. It must be fought for, protected, and handed on for them to do the same, or one day we will spend our sunset years telling our children and our children's children what it was once like in the United States where men were free."

To summarize my points thus far: America was founded upon Christian principles with godly men at its helm. These were bold men who vigorously advocated for the principles they believed in. America has strayed from that ideal. Even in the Church, where once the pulpits were aflame with passion for fairness, justice, and righteousness, there is now a growing apathy, fed by an underlying philosophy that Christianity and politics should never be mixed. Too many preachers no longer preach against governmental injustice but confine themselves to religious matters within their own Christian bubble. As I have said, this is a great danger.

As can be garnered from the title, this book delineates the sins of the Democratic Party in America. I hasten to say this: There are many good and decent people in that party. This book is not meant to denigrate them but to enlighten them. Many of these people have left that party, and this writing is intended to aid in that exodus.

Although there are some evil people in that party, not all Democrats are wicked; many are merely uninformed. They don't know what their party has been doing. If they knew, these good people would depart from what I have called the party of evil. I want to say a few words to those Democrats who are unaware of their party's activities. I want them to know this: It is your responsibility to find out, to inform yourself. To willfully refuse to examine the facts makes a person complicit in the crimes described in this book.

The worst group the world has ever known, the ultimate party of evil, was Germany's Nazi Party in the 1930s and 40s. Yet, not everyone in the Nazi party was evil. Some decent people in that party simply did not know what was going on. Traudi Junge was one such person. She was Hitler's Secretary

during World War II when she was a young, naïve girl.² When she was in her elderly years, she tells of how she realized her complicity:

Of course, the terrible thing I heard from the Nuremberg trials about the six million Jews...who were killed, were facts that shocked me deeply. But I wasn't able to see the connection between my own past. I was satisfied that I wasn't personally to blame and that I hadn't known about those things...But one day I went past the memorial plaque which had been put up for Sophie Scholl...I saw that she was born the same year as me and she was executed the same year I started working for Hitler. And at that moment I actually sensed that it was no excuse to be young and that it would have been possible to find things out.³

Speaking of herself in the third person, she continues,

I feel as if I should be angry with that child, that naive young girl. Or that I must not forgive her for not recognizing the nature of that monster, for not being aware of what she was getting into, and especially that I went along without thinking...I could have said...' No, I'm not doing it. I don't want to go to the Fuhrer's headquarters.' But I didn't do that. I was too curious. I also didn't realize that destiny would take me somewhere I didn't want to be. But, nevertheless, I find it hard to forgive myself.

This lady repented and publicly condemned the party with which she was affiliated. I think of the many members of the Nazi party who were killed before they learned the truth, who died before they had a chance to repent, who are guilty of not caring enough to learn what the Nazis were doing. How

хi

² The excellent movie <u>Downfall</u> historically and dramatically documents her experience.

³ Her testimony can be seen here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZA2JTXx1xBQ

terrible for them to stand before Almighty God and be shown the millions of deaths caused by the party to which they had been affiliated.

I think of God's words to Cain in Genesis 4:10, "What have you done? The voice of your brother's blood is crying to me from the ground." I ponder the fate of Democrats who will one day stand before God and be confronted with the blood that cries out to God, the blood of millions upon millions of murdered babies, the blood of peaceful Indians who died upon the Trail of Tears, the blood of murdered slaves and the half million souls killed in the Civil War. How terrible to hear the divine accusation, "What have you done?"

Dear Democrat, you may not know your party is responsible for those things. You owe it to yourself to read this book and prayerfully consider the evidence presented. The only sins that can cling to us into eternity are those we refuse to acknowledge and forsake. Once that is done, faith in Jesus can wipe them away.

I desire that no one in their latter years has to look back with regret upon their complicity in what the Democratic Party has done and is doing. It is indeed "possible to find things out." May none be like Hitler's secretary, who "went along without thinking." Let these good people be in the dark no longer. May they be willing to examine the facts and be enlightened. This book is dedicated to them, to the good people of the Democratic Party, who know not what they do.

One final word before we begin – this book contains the author's political and religious opinions, which are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which guarantees that the right of free speech shall not be infringed. I wish to convey at the outset that nothing in these pages should be misconstrued to support violence or illegal action of any kind. The only action the author would encourage would be that of a legal nature, in line with the laws of the United States, and also in harmony with the moral teachings of Jesus Christ, who taught us to love others, even our enemies.

1

Trail of Tears

Rescue those who are being taken away to death; hold back those who are stumbling to the slaughter. If you say, "Behold, we did not know this," does not he who weighs the heart perceive it? Does not he who keeps watch over your soul know it, and will he not repay man according to his work? -- Proverbs 24:11-12

Tayanita's bare feet staggered through the half-frozen mud as the freezing rain fell in blinding sheets. Soaked to the skin, the 14-year-old Cherokee girl shivered as the howling wind whipped at her scant clothing. November of 1839 had seen winter arrive suddenly to Georgia with freezing temperatures and snowstorms uncharacteristic of the state's southern climate. Tayanita⁴ carried her little brother, who had fallen from exhaustion. He could not walk anymore. She knew if she put him down, a soldier would swing him by his feet like a sack of potatoes and smash his brains out against a tree. She had already seen it happen to others. Keep walking or die was the order of the day.

Many weeks earlier, she had been warm and snug in their cabin on their Georgia farm. They lived on land that had been given to the Cherokees in Georgia to be theirs forever. It was promised in writing by the government that it was theirs "as long as the grass grows and the waters run." Unfortunately,

1

_

⁴ Tayanita is a composite character experiencing historical events upon the Trail of Tears.

gold had been discovered on Indian land, and white man's greed for the yellow metal would be their undoing.

She had been awakened to shouts and angry commands. Soldiers burst into their home, ordering them out, menacing them with bayonets. They were forced to walk for a day until they reached a stockade where they were imprisoned in a large yard, along with hundreds of other Indians. After all the Cherokees in that vicinity had been rounded up, they were ordered to set out on a forced march. Guarded by mounted soldiers on every side, they were forced to walk for months until they should arrive in the new "Indian Territory" in what is present-day Oklahoma, where land deemed unsuitable for whites had been set aside for the Indians.

Tayanita plodded on, so tired she felt she would die. She had never been so cold. If only they could get out of the wind. If only they had been allowed to gather more clothing. She wondered where her father was. He had been working in the field when the soldiers came. Would she ever see him again?

She couldn't take another step, yet she must. Shifting her brother to her other hip, she looked up at her mother walking beside her. Her mother's load, which she carried on her back, was excessive. She worried about her for she was heavy with child. Would the baby come before they reached their destination?

Their grandfather became too sick to go any further, so he lay down beside the trail in the tall weeds. They placed water in a bowl beside him. Tayanita's mother asked one of the Christian missionaries traveling with them to help them pray. They prayed together and asked Jesus⁵ to watch over him. Their prayers were interrupted by soldiers who forced them to move on, leaving Grandfather alone.

That was two days ago. The rain was turning to snow now, and Tayanita thought of her grandfather, all alone, being covered in a blanket of snow. Her tears froze to her face. "Oh

•

⁵ The Cherokee Indians on the Trail of Tears were predominantly Christian.

God, help us!" she prayed. The soldiers stopped their march for the day. Tayanita collapsed beside the trail on the snow-covered weeds beside her mother. She made her brother as comfortable as possible, which was not at all. There was no comfort on this trail of tears. They had no tent, no covering, just two damp blankets for the three of them. They all were shivering uncontrollably. She knew that many would be frozen to death before morning. She closed her eyes and wondered if she would awaken to see another day.

* * *

The immoral eviction of Indian tribes from the southeast in the 1830s and the forced death march which followed was a black mark upon America's history. The trip was made in the dead of winter, and many died from exposure to the inclement weather. Men, women, and children had been cruelly driven from their homes, sometimes with blows. Many of these helpless people did not have blankets, and many of them had been forced from their homes barefooted. Close on the heels of the retreating Indians came greedy whites to pillage the Indians' cabins, drive off their horses and cattle, and rob the Indian graves for jewelry buried with the dead.

It has been notoriously called the Trail of Tears, but as one Indian who survived it said, "It was a trail of death." This was not an eviction of dangerous warlike tribes. The Cherokees were considered one of the five "civilized tribes." They were peaceable and had adopted white man ways. They farmed the land, formed government, built schools, and educated their young. Unlike many Indian tribes, they had a written language and alphabet, created in 1821 by Cherokee silversmith Sequoyah. They were mostly Christian and lived in peace with those around them. By the mid-18th Century, most Cherokees were planters, owning homes and plantations. Remarkably literate, with a published newspaper and a formal constitution

⁶ The five civilized tribes were the Cherokee, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Creek, and Seminole. However, there were other tribes that were civilized.

and legal system, they were not called civilized without reason.

Their noteworthy literacy is evidenced by the statement of one of the Cherokee chiefs who tried desperately, by legal measures in the courts, to stop this outrageous eviction which would kill one-fourth of the Cherokee nation. Chief John Ross said, "We are overwhelmed! Our hearts are sickened, our utterance is paralyzed, when we reflect on the condition in which we are placed, by the audacious practices of unprincipled men, who have managed their stratagems with so much dexterity as to impose on the government of the United States, in the face of our earnest, solemn, and reiterated protestations."

As hard as it may be to recount the hardships experienced by the Indians upon the Trail of Tears, it must be remembered: They had actually to live it and had to die upon it. The least we can do is recall it and analyze its causes. Let us take a moment and hear some of the voices of those who suffered through it. It is the least we can do.

Effie Oaks Flemmings, a granddaughter of a Native American tribe member, writes:

"After grandpa died, grandmother would tell us of the history of the Indian Territory, and of their coming to this "wilderness" over the "Trail of Tears." She said that everybody who was able to had to walk, but if babies gave out and the parents could not carry them, the drivers of the oxwagons would just take then and swing them against a tree and knock their brains out and leave them by the road side like a dog or a cat and not bury them. Her baby brother...was four years old...She was just eight years old, but she took her turn at carrying him because he could not walk much, and she said that she would get so tired she'd think she was going to die but she would hang on to him. She was so afraid they would kill him. She said she saw them kill babies who were

too big to be carried and would give out walking. Nobody rode".7

One of the soldiers required to take part in overseeing the death march was Private John G. Burnett. In 1890 he wrote of that terrible ordeal:

"I made the long journey to the west with the Cherokees and did all that a Private soldier could do to alleviate their sufferings...On the morning of November the 17th, we encountered a terrific sleet and snow storm with freezing temperatures and from that day until we reached the end of the fateful journey on March the 26th, 1839, the sufferings of the Cherokees were awful. The trail of the exiles was a trail of death. They had to sleep in the wagons and on the ground without fire. And I have known as many as twenty-two of them to die in one night of pneumonia due to ill-treatment, cold, and exposure. Among this number was the beautiful Christian wife of Chief John Ross. This noble-hearted woman died a martyr to childhood, giving her only blanket for the protection of a sick child. She rode thinly clad through a blinding sleet and snow storm, developed pneumonia, and died in the still hours of a bleak winter night, with her head resting on Lieutenant Greggs saddle blanket."8

Another witness records:

"On Tuesday evening, we fell into a detachment of the poor Cherokee Indians, about eleven hundred...We found them in the forest camped for the night. Under a severe fall of rain...many of the aged Indians were suffering extremely from the fatigue of the journey and ill health...We found the road literally filled with a procession for nearly three miles in length...The sick and feeble were carried in wagons...multitudes go on foot—even aged females

_

⁷ shorturl.at/iGLNW

⁸shorturl.at/pwAEM

apparently nearly ready to drop in the grave, were traveling with heavy burdens...on the sometimes-frozen ground...with no covering for feet...They buried 14 or 15 at every stopping place...some carry a downcast dejected look of despair."⁹

Native Indian Mary Hill writes,

"My mother told me about many of the hardships and privations she and the rest of the women suffered while on their way from Georgia. Some of them were almost unbelievable, yet I know they are true, for my mother would have had no motive in telling it if it had not been so. On one occasion, she told of an officer in charge of one of the wagons, who killed a little baby because it cried all the time. It was only four days old, and the mother was forced to walk and carry it, and because it cried all of the time and the young mother could not quiet it, the officer took it away from her and dashed its little head against a tree and killed it." ¹⁰

Let what precedes be sufficient to give us a feeling for the great atrocity that was the Trail of Tears. May it birth within us a desire to examine the question of who exactly was responsible for this despicable act that was counter to all that America is supposed to stand for. Private John G. Burnett put it succinctly. Writing fifty years after this terrible barbarism, he said, "Murder is murder, and somebody must answer. Somebody must explain the streams of blood that flowed in the Indian country in the summer of 1838. Somebody must explain the 4,000 silent graves that mark the trail of the Cherokees to their exile. I wish I could forget it all..."

But we mustn't forget, at least not until we have learned from the tragedy. How did this all begin?

⁹ "A Native of Maine, traveling in the Western Country," originally in New York Observer, January 26, 1839, as found in Grant Foreman, Indian Removal, Norman, Oklahoma: University of Oklahoma Press, 1932, pp 305-307.

¹⁰ https://www.ualrexhibits.org/tribalwriters/artifacts/Family-Stories-Trail-of-Tears.html

<u>2</u>

The Indian Removal Act

Do not move ancient boundaries or invade fields belonging to orphans; for strong is their Redeemer who will take up their case against you. -- Proverbs 23:10-11

The year was 1828. A young Indian boy sold a gold nugget to a white trader, and that nugget sealed the doom of the Cherokee Nation. The Indian Removal Act of 1830 was the official name for the scheme that would lead to the Trail of Tears. Private John G. Burnett was haunted to his dying day by the sad affair, and he desired earnestly for the truth to be told about it. Writing in 1890, he said, "Truth is, the facts are being concealed from the young people of today. School children of today do not know that we are living on lands that were taken from a helpless race at the bayonet point to satisfy the white man's greed...let the historian of a future day tell the sad story with its sighs, its tears and dying groans. Let the great judge of all the earth weigh our actions and reward us according to our work."

Before the Indian Removal Act, the State of Georgia tried to force the Indians off their land. At that time, America's Christian churches, which sided with the Indians, pleaded that such an action was cruel, inhuman, and morally reprehensible. Several Christian missionaries spoke against Georgia's planned removal of the Indians, resulting in many of these ministers being arrested by the State of Georgia and sentenced to years of hard labor. Certain people in Georgia wanted this land, and they were determined to crush anyone who got in their way. Those who also condemned attempts at Indian removal were the members of the National Republican and

Whig Parties. Those who were the most vocal against this atrocity were Representative Abraham Lincoln from Illinois, Senator Henry Clay of Kentucky, and Senator Daniel Webster of Massachusetts. Famous frontiersman Davy Crockett, a Tennessee Congressman, gave an impassioned speech against removing the Indians from their land.

There have been some Indian wars against warlike and bloodthirsty tribes in the west that required firm action. However, this was not the situation in this case. The peaceful Cherokees who were in danger of being forced off their land by Georgia's state government did not even respond with violence. Instead, they went to court. The case ended up being heard by the Supreme Court of the United States. The court ruled in favor of the Indians. Supreme Court Chief Justice John Marshall wrote that the Cherokee Nation was a "distinct community" with self-government "in which Georgia's laws can have no force." Supreme Court Justice Joseph Story wrote, "Thanks be to God, the Court can wash their hands clean of the iniquity of oppressing the Indians and disregarding their rights."

The Democratic Party

Then what went wrong? The story is that greedy white men wanted Indian land, and they wanted the government to give it to them. But it is worse than that. One evil politician wanted land, money, and power, and he had a plan to get it all. He would use his political power to take the Indian land that many coveted. Then he would buy up the part of it he wanted. He would make sure his family and cronies got what they wanted. He would make sure that people with money and power to back his political progress would get their piece of the pie. The rest would be auctioned off to other white men in Georgia eager to snatch up the stolen land. This bit of larceny would make him very rich and popular and put him on the road to the White House. That wicked man was Andrew Jackson, founder of the Democratic Party.

It is at this point that the newly formed Democratic Party takes center stage. The new party had been founded in 1828 by Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren. Andrew Jackson was elected President of the United States in 1828. Money from Georgia aristocrats who coveted Indian land helped his campaign. Jackson was eager to enrich himself and his supporters, which he could do by getting the Indians relocated.

Jackson was extremely displeased with the Supreme Court decision that had hampered Georgia's efforts to take the land. He was determined to see the Indians completely removed. When the court sided with the Indians, President Jackson was attributed with saying: "John Marshall has made his decision; now let him enforce it!" Jackson knew he could not, for it is the executive branch that enforces the law, and now President Jackson was the chief executive of the land.

This is the first and only time the executive branch has failed to heed the government's judicial branch. The federal government's executive branch is charged with carrying out or executing the laws of the land as defined by the courts. The power of the executive branch is vested in the President of the United States. For a president to openly defy the order of the Supreme Court is outrageous in any day. To fail to do so is a dereliction of duty. Jackson's malfeasance was an impeachable offense, but since it had to do with Indians, not enough people in the Democratically controlled congress cared to do anything about it. Instead of enforcing the Supreme Court order, he countered it by encouraging Georgians to harass the Indians, telling them, "Build a fire under them. When it gets hot enough, they'll move!" 11

As Georgians began encroaching upon the Cherokee Nation's land, Jackson secretly arranged a meeting with some Indians that his sources told him could be bribed. By offering them money and land for themselves, he got them to sign a

_

¹¹http://thomaslegioncherokee.tripod.com/presidentandrewjacksonandthecheroke esindiansperspective.html

fake treaty that said the Cherokees agreed to give up their land. None of these Indians were legal representatives of the Cherokee Nation, and Jackson knew it. But Congress didn't know it, and he used this treaty to trick Congress into approving his Indian Removal Act. Then Jackson ordered the army to make preparations to carry out his wishes.

The Indians appealed directly to President Jackson for help. They had reason to believe he would be merciful to them, for Cherokee Chief Junaluska was personally acquainted with President Andrew Jackson. During the War of 1812 against the British, Junaluska and five hundred of his warriors had been instrumental in helping Jackson win the Battle of New Orleans. At one point in the fighting, Junaluska personally saved Jackson from certain death.

Chief Junaluska traveled to Washington to plead with President Jackson to protect his people, but he found Jackson cold and indifferent toward him, even though he had saved his life. President Jackson rudely said to Chief Junaluska, "Sir, your audience is ended. There is nothing I can do for you." The Cherokee appealed to Congress, sending a petition with 16,000 Cherokee signatures testifying that the people who had signed the treaty were not legal representatives of the Cherokee nation. Their petition was ignored.

Martin Van Buren, the other founding member of the Democratic Party, became the next president in 1837. He continued the planning and implementation of President Jackson's wicked campaign against the Indians. President Van Buren ordered General John E. Wool to commence the removal. However, General Wool had sympathy for the Indians. He believed the order was inhumane and refused to carry it out. Consequently, President Van Buren replaced him with General Winfield Scott, who agreed to see the dastardly deed performed.

Ralph Waldo Emerson, famous writer, lecturer, philosopher, and poet of the 19th Century, wrote to President

Martin Van Buren regarding the impending theft of Indian land. Emerson wrote,

"Such a dereliction of all faith and virtue, such a denial of justice, and such deafness to screams for mercy were never heard of in times of peace and in the dealing of a nation with its own allies and wards, since the earth was made. Sir, does this government think that the people of the United States are become savage and mad? From their mind are the sentiments of love and a good nature wiped clean out? The soul of man, the justice, the mercy that is the heart's heart in all men, from Maine to Georgia, does abhor this business...You, sir, will bring down that renowned chair in which you sit into infamy if your seal is set to this instrument of perfidy; and the name of this nation, hitherto the sweet omen of religion and liberty, will stink to the world...the government ought to be admonished of a new historical fact, which the discussion of this question has disclosed, namely, that there exists in a great part of the Northern people a gloomy diffidence in the moral character of the government...Will the American government steal? Will it lie? Will it kill?" 12

This letter, along with the pleas of statesmen, ministers, Indians, and the Supreme Court order, were all ignored. In May of 1838, an army of seven thousand soldiers invaded the Cherokee nation. As Samuel Carter describes in his book *Cherokee Sunset*:

"Then there came the reign of terror. From the jagged-walled stockades the troops fanned out across the Nation, invading every hamlet, every cabin, rooting out the inhabitants at bayonet point. The Cherokees hardly had time to realize what was happening as they were prodded like so many sheep

¹² http://www.ourgeorgiahistory.com/ogh/Ralph_Waldo_Emerson_Letter_Agains t Cherokee Removal

toward the concentration camps, threatened with knives and pistols, beaten with rifle butts if they resisted." ¹³

Forty-six thousand Indians were removed by 1837. During the freezing winter of 1838-1839, the last 17,000 Cherokee Indians were forcibly removed by the federal government from Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, and South Carolina to the Oklahoma territory. Christians ministered to the Indians along the trail, bringing them food and blankets. The hymn Amazing Grace was so frequently sung at the frequent burials along the way that it became the Cherokee National Anthem. ¹⁴

Records reveal that after the Trail of Tears, the names of Andrew Jackson, his relatives, friends, and business associates were on the titles of more than forty-five thousand acres of land that had rightfully belonged to the Cherokee Nation. I repeat again the words of Private Burnett, "Murder is murder, and somebody must answer...Let the great judge of all the earth weigh our actions and reward us according to our work." God did weigh the actions of this eviction and bring retribution. Our great Civil War, in which three-quarters of a million Americans perished, was the result, at least in part. How is that? The Native History Association be separated.

"Some historians see a direct link between Andrew Jackson's refusal to enforce the Supreme Court decision in Worcester vs. Georgia and the outbreak of the Civil War, twenty-three years after the Cherokees were forcibly removed. A case can be made that letting Georgia in effect nullify federal law strengthened the South's position on state's rights. The case for this link to the Civil War has been made at least as far back as Horace Greeley's American Conflict: A History of The

_

¹³ "Cherokee Sunset: A Nation Betrayed: A Narrative of Travail and Triumph, Persecution and Exile," First Edition (Doubleday, 1976):

¹⁴ https://www.cherokee-legends.com/cherokee-indian-guide/85-sing-amazing-grace-in-the-phonetic-cherokee-language.html

¹⁵ The Native History Association is a nonprofit organization whose mission is to promote the understanding and appreciation of Native American history. See: http://www.nativehistoryassociation.org/mission.php

Great Rebellion, published in 1864. If you accept that connection, it may not be too much of a stretch to say that the Indian Removal Act played a role in sending the entire United States down a Trail of Tears."

A Defining Moment

This reprehensible act, performed by the two founding members of the Democratic Party, was a defining moment in the party's history. It defined them, from the very outset, as a party of evil. Their first significant act was to brazenly defy the law of the land as defined by the Supreme Court. They ignored and disrespected American jurisprudence and moral reasonings from respected leaders and turned a deaf ear to repeated pleas for mercy. They stole the land, homes, livestock, and personal possessions of friendly Indians who were begging for compassion. They then set them on a forced death march of ethnic cleansing.

It is often said that Americans did this. It is said the American government did this. Let us speak the whole truth. Many in America opposed it. The National Republican and Whig Parties were averse to it. Representative Abraham Lincoln was against it, as was Senator Daniel Webster and Congressman Davy Crockett. The Supreme Court forbade it. The Democratic Party did it anyway.

Did the Democratic Party ever apologize for this? Did they ever remonstrate over what had transpired at their founding? No. All we hear are crickets. Did they ever change? In the following chapters, we will see that not only did they not disavow their atrocities, but they also continued them, over and over, repeatedly, right up to the present day. The Democratic Party was rotten to the core at its inception, steeped in theft, lies, and murder.

Since Andrew Jackson was the first president of the Democratic Party and founding member of the same, it befits us to examine what sort of man he was. Was he a great leader and an honorable man, such as was George Washington, our first president? Was Jackson a principled, noble, wise, and kind man like Abraham Lincoln, the first U.S. president from the Republican Party?

No, he was not. If we examine the life of Andrew Jackson, we learn that he was a thoroughly bad man. He was known for being strong-willed, argumentative, and combative. With the riches Jackson got from stealing Indian land, he bought a plantation where he fully embraced the institution of slavery. He owned 161 slaves and delighted in beating them. He brutally whipped a Black woman in public that he felt had been "putting on airs." When his slaves would run away to escape brutal treatment, he would pursue them relentlessly, bringing them back in chains. In 1804 Jackson put an ad in a newspaper concerning a runaway slave of his named Tom. He said he would pay an extra ten dollars for every 100 lashes doled out to the escapee. When abolitionists tried to send antislavery tracts to the South, Jackson helped ban their delivery and called the anti-slavery advocates monsters who should "atone for this wicked attempt with their lives."

Jackson seduced a woman by the name of Rachel Donelson Robards, the wife of a married man. She ran away with Jackson, and they later married while she was still legally married to her first husband. This scandal gave rise to people calling Jackson a bigamist, adulterer, home breaker, and wife stealer. To be fair, it should be noted that Jackson claimed he had married in good faith, believing that her divorce was final. When he discovered such was not the case, Jackson is alleged to have said, "It's no excuse to say I didn't know the facts. It's a lawyer's job to get the facts."

When one of Jackson's slaves by the name of Betty was judged to be "guilty of some improper conduct," he wrote to his overseer that she "must be ruled with the cowhide" and should be given fifty lashes the next time she misbehaved. When Jackson's overseer killed one of his slaves, instead of feeling remorse, Jackson coldly demanded that his overseer

provide "a full account of your guardianship with the loss of my property."

Jackson fought a pistol duel with an attorney by the name of Charles Dickinson. This sad affair transpired as a result of Jackson's affinity for gambling on horse races. Jackson had a disagreement with Dickinson over a gambling payment that Jackson felt was owed to him. Dickinson claimed that Jackson had cheated. The argument led to trading insults, which led to a duel. Dueling was illegal in Tennessee, so Jackson and Dickinson arranged to have their duel just across Tennessee's border. Dickinson's gun fired first and wounded Jackson. Jackson then shot, but his pistol misfired, which meant the contest was over, but, in a breach of etiquette, Jackson recocked the gun and shot again, this time killing Dickinson. Jackson may or may not have cheated at the horse race, but it is clear that he cheated at the duel, killing his opponent and leaving Dickinson's wife without a husband and their children without a father. Gambling, murder, and slave beating – these are the vices by which Andrew Jackson was known.

The magazine of the National Endowment for the Humanities records that Jackson had a female slave by the name of Hannah. Dorothy Price-Haskins, one of Hannah's descendants, affirms that Jackson had a sexual relationship with Hannah. If Jackson's sexual encounters with his slave resulted in the birth of a daughter, Charlotte. This scandal is documented in Charlotte's journal, which is in possession of the Price-Haskins family. At best, this relationship was adulterous; at worst, it was the sexual violation of a slave, in which case, another moniker may be added to the long list of appellations that define Andrew Jackson – rapist, murderer, thief, adulterer, bigamist, and genocidist. I suspect the Black slaves and the Indians would have other epithets for the

_

 $^{^{16} \}underline{https://www.neh.gov/humanities/2014/marchapril/feature/hannah-andrew-jacksons-slave}$

uncouth co-founder of the Democratic Party that would be too indecent to print.

If the root is bad, the tree and its fruit will be rotten as well. The tree that sprang from the likes of Andrew Jackson was the Democratic Party. No honest historian can deny the fact that the party was evil then. Were they wicked after that? Read on.

<u>3</u>

The Mexican-American War

I shall be fully convinced, of what I more than suspect already, that he (President Polk) is deeply conscious of being in the wrong—that he feels the blood of this war, like the blood of Abel, is crying to Heaven against him. - Abraham Lincoln

The next president, William Henry Harrison was not from the Democratic Party. Unfortunately, he caught a cold less than a month after taking office. It turned to pneumonia, and he died within weeks. Vice President John Tyler assumed the office and was re-elected to a second term. The next president after Tyler was James Polk. He became the third candidate from the Democratic Party to hold the highest office in the land. Like his predecessors, he would turn the U.S. Army loose upon a weaker nation because his constituents coveted their land.

The South was convinced that their economic system's survival depended upon creating new plantations in the western territories. For this reason, owners of slave-holding plantations in the South were desirous that Texas become a state. Democrats, who were predominantly in the South, favored war with Mexico for the land that the conflict could bring into the fold of slave-holding states. A decade previous, Southerners found that the newly formed Democratic Party would help them steal the Cherokee Nation's land. Now the Democrats of the South, lusting for more land to perpetuate slave-holding society, counted their aristocratic Democratic President James Polk to make it happen. On the other hand, the Whig Party viewed the looming Mexican-American War as a conscienceless land grab. After war did

break out, the Whig-controlled House voted 85 to 81 to censure Democratic President James K. Polk for having "unnecessarily and unconstitutionally" initiated the War.

Prelude to War

The underlying frictions that led to war with Mexico are these: In the Onís-Adams Treaty of 1819, the United States recognized Spain's sovereignty over Texas. After that, Mexico fought Spain and won independence in 1821. Thus, the area that is now Texas became part of Mexico. The Mexican government was having difficulty defending against vicious Comanche and Apache raids that reached farther south into Mexico. If they could get Texas settled, they would have a buffer zone between themselves and the Indians. Mexico also worried that if the land were left empty, they would eventually lose it to the United States. But Mexico was unable to inhabit the mostly vacant land. For these reasons, Mexico encouraged Americans to settle on the land as legal immigrants. They hoped they would be good citizens of Mexico, become Roman Catholic, and pay taxes.

However, war erupted between Mexico and Texans when Mexico's remote and corrupt government could not keep the citizens of Texas content. In the Texas Declaration of Independence, thirteen grievances were listed. Among them were rights that Americans considered sacred. Among the list of charges made by the Texans were these complaints:

- 1. They will not let us worship as we choose.
- 2. They have tried to take away our guns.
- 3. They have invaded us and tried to run us away.
- 4. They have encouraged Indians to attack us.
- 5. They have not given a guarantee of jury trials.
- 6. Military commanders exercise excessive authority.

Tejanos (Texas-Mexicans) and Americans constituted the Texian army, which eventually defeated Mexico's forces and ousted them from Texas. The newly formed Republic of Texas

became an independent country and remained so for ten years. Mexico never conceded that Texas was now an independent and free state. Nevertheless, Mexico did not initiate any further attempts to retake the land. The people of Texas were eager to become a part of the United States. The northern states opposed Texas' annexation, while southern states approved because it would become another slave state. In 1845 Texas was admitted as the 28th state.

When Texas became a state, the border between Texas and Mexico had not yet been clearly defined. The Mexican-American War was triggered over a disagreement about which river was Mexico's actual northern border: the Nueces or the Rio Grande. President Polk, eager to please powerful and wealthy southern slave owners who wanted war, intentionally sent federal troops into a disputed region where sovereignty had not been established. As was expected, Mexican troops attempted to defend what they considered to be part of Mexico. The result was that some American soldiers were killed, which was Polk's plan all along.

President Polk presented this to Congress as Mexico's shedding of American blood upon American soil. On that basis, he was successful in getting a declaration of war from Congress. But the real facts soon became evident. Abraham Lincoln, elected from the Whig party to Congress in 1846, spoke against the Mexican War, calling it immoral, proslavery, and a threat to the nation's values. He pointed out that President Polk had failed to show that the bloodshed occurred on American soil, that the Mexicans likely were justified in defending their land and that Polk had deliberately sent troops into an area he knew to be contested for the express purpose of starting a war. Union General Ulysses S. Grant called the Mexican War "one of the most unjust ever waged by a stronger against a weaker nation." 17

The war lasted two years. It was ended by the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, which ceded to the United States nearly

-

¹⁷ https://www.britannica.com/event/Mexican-American-War/Invasion-and-war

all the territory now included in the states of New Mexico, Utah, Nevada, Arizona, California, Texas, and western Colorado for 15 million dollars. The southern slaveholders got precisely what they wanted. It could have been obtained eventually by negotiation and treaty without war. How much better would that have been? The Mexican death toll exceeded 9,000, and the American death toll was 13,283, for a total of 22,283 deaths. 4,152 Americans were wounded. There seems to be no record of Mexican wounded. Imagine all the soldiers coming home with missing arms and legs and fathers who never again returned to their families. Consider the suffering of widows left without husbands and children deprived of fathers. Once again, people had to die so that Democrats could deliver land to the slave-holding south that supported them.

The War left Mexico stunned and despondent. It also reinforced the worst stereotypes each country held about the other. Normalization of relations after the war proceeded slowly. ¹⁹ To this day, some ill-feeling exists between America and Mexico, and some historians feel this is a residual effect of the Mexican-American War.

Let us recap. The founding members and first two presidents of the Democratic Party launched a campaign of terror against the Cherokee Nation. The third Democratic president executed war against Mexico, a poor and weaker nation, to please his base, the slaveholding Democrats of the South. The Mexican-American conflict was an immoral war that Abraham Lincoln said was "from beginning to end, the sheerest deception." In the following chapter, we shall see that the next war the Democrats would start, called *The War Between the States*, was, in reality, a fight between the Republicans and the Democrats.

_

¹⁸https://www.britannica.com/event/Mexican-American-War/Invasion-and-war

¹⁹ https://www.britannica.com/event/Mexican-American-War/Invasion-and-war

²⁰ http://housedivided.dickinson.edu/sites/lincoln/speech-on-war-with-mexico-january-12-1848/

4

The Civil War

Neither party expected for the war, the magnitude, or the duration, which it has already attained...Each looked for an easier triumph...Both read the same Bible, and pray to the same God; and each invokes His aid against the other...The prayers of both could not be answered; that of neither has been answered fully. The Almighty has His own purposes.

-- President Abraham Lincoln

To start a conflict is to release a flood; stop the dispute before it breaks out. -- Proverbs 17:14

The American Civil War of 1861 to 1865 has been called the War between the States, the War between North and South, the War to Preserve the Union, and the War to Free the Slaves. A title that isn't used, but one that would be the most accurate, would be the War between the Democratic Party and the Republican Party. Slavery was the underlying issue of the conflict,²¹ the geographic location of the two factions was an aspect of the fight, and the preservation of the Union was a Northern concern, but what ultimately triggered the war was one thing and one thing only – the victory of the Republican Party in the presidential election of 1860, a Republican victory that put Abraham Lincoln in the White House. It was the

Representatives for its complicity in promoting slavery. His Bill describes a long and damning list of Democratic bills that supported slavery. A video of his presentation in Congress is here: https://youtu.be/891pcEw-icA

²¹ Congressmen Louie Gohmert recently introduced a resolution to the House that would ban the Democratic Party from participation in the House of

Republican win at the ballot box that started the war. The Democratic Party vehemently refused to accept it.

Every scholar agrees that the South seceded from the Union because they refused to accept the election results. If Lincoln had not been elected, the South would not have seceded, and there would have been no war in 1861, and slavery would have continued. It was the Democrats who seceded from the Union, Democrats that drew first blood when they unleashed the first shots of the war by firing upon Fort Sumpter, and it was Democrats who drew the last blood of the conflict when they stabbed Secretary of State William Seward nearly to death and then assassinated President Abraham Lincoln.

Just prior to the 1860 election, the Democratic party temporarily split in two, forming the southern Democratic party and the Democratic Party. Each of these parties opposed President Lincoln but in different ways. The candidate for the southern Democrats was John C. Breckinridge, who was proslavery. The Democratic Party candidate in the North was Stephen Douglas, who was the epitome of a white supremacist. He stated, "I say to you in all frankness, gentlemen, that in my opinion a Negro is not a citizen, cannot be, and ought not to be...he is a Negro, belonging to a race incapable of self-government, and for that reason ought not to be on an equality with white men."

Practically to a man, active voters living in the southern states in 1860 voted Democrat, most of them casting ballots for Breckinridge, the pro-slavery candidate for the Southern Democratic Party. The Democratic Party platforms expressly supported slavery in written policy. After the war, the two factions of the Democratic Party rejoined again into one party. At the beginning of the Civil War, not one Republican owned a slave. All slave owners were Democrats. The Republican Party was formed on a platform of stopping the growth of slavery into new states. Lincoln would have liked to end slavery in all states, but he was a pragmatic man. He knew that

was not possible without bloodshed. He believed that if the spread of slavery could be stopped, slavery would eventually die out peaceably. Southern Democrats reacted to Lincoln's election with unreasoned fear and resentment.

The South was incensed that slavery would not be allowed to spread to the west, as that would inhibit the export of one of their main crops, which was new slaves. The international slave trade had been outlawed in 1808 by the Democrat-controlled Congress. This was done not to inhibit slavery but to enhance the price of American slaves in the national slave trade within the U.S, which was still legal.²²

After 1808, the only new slaves came from breeding them, which slave owners did as though they were cattle. In Virginia, slave sales eventually exceeded tobacco as the top export product to other states. In 1850 female slaves outnumbered male slaves two to one. In Virginia, female slaves exceeded males by over 300,000.²³ This was by design so that the strongest "bucks" could be used as studs to impregnate as many females as possible. Selective breeding was utilized, mating slaves the way people breed racehorses. The breeding farms would purchase large muscular "bucks" for the purpose of breeding good strong workers for the fields.

Slave girls as young as thirteen were forced to have sex repeatedly with different "bucks," bearing a dozen or more children until they were worn out. Then they would suffer the pain of having their children ripped away from them, sold, and shipped away to other states. Slaves were frequently shipped by railroad, packed in boxcars like animals.

This was a business that depended upon an expanding market to increase profitability. The powerful slave lobby in the South looked to the Democratic Party to push for the

-

²² <u>https://medium.com/the-aambc-journal/the-truth-about-american-slave-breeding-farms-ee631e863e2c</u>

²³ https://medium.com/the-aambc-journal/the-truth-about-american-slave-breeding-farms-ee631e863e2c

expansion of slavery to the west, which would expand the market of the black breeding farms. The wicked brutality of this system is shocking. The fact that the Democratic Party was complicit in all this is a fact the Democrats wish to bury.

When Lincoln won the election, rich and powerful plantation owners overreacted. They wielded their political influence and spread lies that Lincoln intended to free all the slaves in the South and that northern Yankees wanted to tell the South how to run their lives. Their rhetoric excited the southern populace to the point that it plunged the country into war. Immediately upon Lincoln's victory, southern Democrats began moving forward with plans for secession.

Lincoln was elected in November. In December, South Carolina seceded from the Union. Within six months of the election, eleven southern states seceded from the Union, creating the Confederate States of America which led to the American Civil War. After the southern states seceded and war broke out, the Democratic Party in the North used its influence to hinder Lincoln's efforts to win the war. Northern Democrats began to press for the acceptance of the Confederate States of America as a legitimate government. Lincoln then had two wars to fight, one fought with guns in the South, and the other, a political fight in the North as the Democratic Party of the North made it their business to oppose President Lincoln at every turn.

The antiwar Democrats of the North were called Copperheads by the Republicans, likening their dangerous influence to the venomous copperhead snake. At the beginning of the Civil War, a shipment of mail from the South arrived in the North with two copperhead snakes intentionally bundled into the mail sacks. This widely reported event probably gave rise to calling the northern Democrats Copperheads.

Copperhead northern Democrat Marcus M. Pomeroy is an example of the opposition from the Democratic Party that President Lincoln had to contend with. Pomeroy was editor of

a Wisconsin newspaper. He wrote that President Lincoln was "but the fungus from the corrupt womb of bigotry and fanaticism – indeed a worse tyrant and more inhuman butcher than has existed since the days of Nero." As the election of 1864 approached, Pomeroy editorialized: "The man who votes for Lincoln now is a traitor and murderer…and if he is elected to misgovern for another four years, we trust some bold hand will pierce his heart with dagger point for the public good."



1860s Depiction of Northern Democrat Copperheads

Democrat and southern sympathizer John Wilkes Booth assassinated President Lincoln in 1865. After the war, the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) rose to power in the South, becoming a terrorist organization of great power. According to an American Experience article on the PBS²⁴ website, the KKK "sought to do away with Republican influence in the South by terrorizing and murdering its party leaders and all those who voted for it."

The 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments, known collectively as the Civil War Amendments, freed all the slaves and granted them citizenship and voting rights. The

²⁴ https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/features/grant-kkk/

Democratic Party opposed all three amendments. After the war, the reunified Democratic Party worked tirelessly to inhibit Republican efforts to provide an equitable life for Negroes. Democrats in the South enacted state laws that effectively countermanded the Civil War Amendments.

Republican President Lincoln, in an effort to unite a divided country, did something unheard of. For his second term, he had selected a Democrat, Andrew Johnson, for his running mate. Upon Lincoln's untimely death, Vice President Johnson became president. Once he had assumed power, his supposedly moderate stance evaporated, and his true colors showed. Unlike what Lincoln had wished, Johnson sided with the Democrats and did very little to help the freed slaves. southern Democrats found themselves free to enact laws that would effectively continue slavery. Republicans were incensed and initiated impeachment proceedings against President Johnson but were opposed by the Democrats, resulting in Johnson remaining in office.

Johnson, in unison with other Democrats, opposed the Civil War Amendments. When that failed, the Democratic Party began passing Jim Crow laws and Black Codes to keep the Blacks enslaved. Here is how it worked: The 13th Amendment had a loophole. The statute reads: "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction." The part I underlined, "Except as a punishment for crime," is the loophole. Southern Democrats enacted laws to take advantage of that, while northern Democrats intentionally looked the other way. All that was necessary to enslave a Black man was to say that he had committed a crime.

After the war, Blacks in the South found it difficult to find employment. Newly passed laws made it illegal not to have a job. Freed slaves were arrested and convicted of vagrancy and sent to prison. The State would then "lease" these Blacks to companies or plantations where they would be forced to work for free for the duration of their sentence. This was worse than slavery because, before the Civil War, plantation owners had a concern for the health of their slaves, as they were considered valuable property. But when a convict was leased from the State, the worker was not the plantation's permanent property; he was less than property, for he came with an expiration date.

Consequently, there was no longer any concern for the convict's welfare. He could literally be worked to death, and no one cared. Black convicts died by the hundreds of thousands from, injury, disease, torture, and back-breaking labor in coal mines, turpentine factories, and lumber camps. They were chained, starved, beaten, sexually violated, and forced to live in squalid conditions. If a Black man survived this and completed his sentence, he would be released, find himself unable to find work, be arrested again, and the process would repeat.

After vagrancy laws were in place, this "convict-leasing" system became a massive revenue system in the South. By 1898, 73% of Alabama's revenue came from enslaving Blacks in this manner. Alabama entrepreneurs began buying and selling convict labor leases for profit. As the money-making potential increased, the incentive to arrest Blacks grew. Fifty years after the end of the Civil War, slavery was, for all practical purposes, still practiced in the South, albeit by a different name. It was all done with the sanction of the political party in control of the southern legislatures – The Democratic Party.

Although the freed slaves had been given the right to vote, many who did were lynched. Democrats in the South passed state laws that required Blacks to pass a literacy test to vote. Since education had been denied during slavery, and many Black schools were burned to the ground after the war,

²⁵https://www.digitalhistory.uh.edu/disp_textbook.cfm?smtid=2&psid=3179#:~:t ext=Convict%20leasing%20began%20in%20Alabama,came%20from%20this%2 0same%20source

illiteracy among Blacks prevented them from voting. The KKK played a significant role in continuing the enslavement of Negros in the South. Columbia University historian Eric Foner wrote that the KKK became "a military force serving the interests of the Democratic Party." University of North Carolina historian Allen Trelease described the Klan as "the terrorist arm of the Democratic Party." Although the Democratic Party lost the war with the Republicans in 1865, the Democrats quickly turned to political, psychological, and violent means to reverse their losses, not unlike what they are doing today.²⁷

Thus far in this chapter, we have seen that the Democratic Party enslaved the Blacks and endeavored to keep them in bonds. The Democratic Party started the Civil War, and it is that same party that continued a form of slavery and subjugation of Blacks long after the war ended. They have never owned up to it, never apologized, never repented. Instead, they attempt to cover the sin of their party by denial and erasure of historical facts.

The New Civil War

The Democratic Party has a history of violence towards Republicans. In 1856, Democrat Representative Preston Brooks became incensed over statements another Senator had made against slavery. Brooks brutally attacked Republican Senator Charles Sumner on the Senate chamber floor, nearly killing him. While Sumner was quietly writing at his desk, Brooks suddenly attacked Sumner, beating him ferociously and repeatedly about the head and shoulders with his cane.

Blinded by the blood pouring from his head, Sumner was unable to see his attacker. He attempted to crawl under his

-

²⁶ https://www.meetup.com/ru-RU/patrioticsingles/messages/42080552/

²⁷ This article delineates the current atrocities of the Democratic Party: https://thefederalist.com/2020/09/01/Democrats-tell-supporters-to-punch-peoplethen-blame-trump-when-riots-start/

desk for cover to no avail. He next staggered blindly up the aisle, arms outstretched, vainly trying to escape. Brooks followed him, beating him about the head, face, and shoulders, as he later bragged, "to the full extent of my power." When his cane broke, he grabbed the metal end of it, and using that, continued his murderous attempt at bashing Sumner's brains out. Brooks had two Democrat accomplices with him, Laurence Keitt and Congressman Edmundson. When other senators tried to stop the violence, these two co-conspirators intervened and prevented anyone from stopping the beating. "Damn you! Let them be!" screamed Edmundson while Keitt pulled a pistol and brandished his cane.

Sumner stumbled and reeled convulsively, "Oh Lord," he gasped "Oh! Oh!" Sumner collapsed unconscious to the floor. Brooks, grabbing the falling Sumner, held him up by the lapel with one hand and continued beating his head with the heavy metal top of his cane with the other. Senator John J. Crittenden of the Unionist Party attempted to stop the beating, pleading with Brooks not to kill Sumner. When Sumner went limp, Brooks ceased his attack, leaving the bleeding and unconscious Sumner on the floor.



Democrat attacks Republican Senator in Senate chamber

Sumner received medical treatment, which included numerous stitches. He had to resign from the Senate for three years, being too severely injured to continue working. After his convalescence, he was re-elected. However, the nation did not recover so quickly, as this was one of many incidents that fueled the fires of rage that led to the Civil War. The Cincinnati Gazette opined, "The South cannot tolerate free speech anywhere, and would stifle it in Washington with the bludgeon and the bowie-knife, as they are now trying to stifle it in Kansas by massacre, rapine, and murder."

Laurence Keit, the thug who had pulled his pistol to prevent anyone from stopping the attempted murder, was himself killed a few years later at Cold Harbor while fighting for the Confederacy during the Civil War. Nothing much at all was done about Brooks. One year after the beating, Brooks died unexpectedly from a violent bout of croup. As the Good Book promises: "Vengeance is Mine; I will repay, says the Lord."²⁸

In modern times, the Democratic Party has launched a cold war against Republicans that occasionally erupts in bloodshed. On June 14, 2017, during a Republican baseball game in Virginia, a Democrat malcontent opened fire, intending to kill as many Republicans as possible. Two Republicans were severely wounded before police killed the assailant.

In 2018 Democrat Representative Maxine Waters screamed to a raucous crowd of liberals, "Let's make sure we show up wherever we have to show up! If you see anybody from that cabinet in a restaurant, in a department store, at a gasoline station, you get out, and you create a crowd, and you push back on them, and you tell them they're not welcome anymore, anywhere!" Waters, appearing on MSNBC later, doubled down on her remarks, saying she has "no sympathy" for officials of the Trump administration. She brazenly incited violence by saying, "The people are going to turn on them. They're going to protest. They're going to absolutely harass

_

²⁸ Romans 12:19

them until they decide that they're going to tell the President, 'No, I can't hang with you.'"

It did not take long for her army of Nazi-like brown shirts to follow her orders. Protesters confronted Homeland Security Secretary Kirstjen Nielsen while she ate at a Mexican restaurant in Washington, D.C. Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany was refused service at the Red Hen restaurant in Lexington, Virginia because she worked for the President and White House Press Secretary Sarah Huckabee Sanders was having a quiet meal at a Mexican restaurant in Washington D.C. when rowdy protesters crashed in and harassed her while she was trying to eat. All this courtesy of the Democrat party.

While violence against Republicans increased, Democrats continued to encourage confrontations. Democrat Senator Cory Booker urged people to "get up in the face of some congresspeople." On national television, Democrat media lapdog Nicolle Wallace encouraged Jeb Bush to punch President Trump in the face. Pro-Democrat Hollywood put in their two cents. Madonna spoke of her desire to blow up the White House. Actor Johnny Depp suggested that an actor should assassinate President Trump, as President Lincoln had been. When Joe Biden was asked if he wanted to debate President Trump he answered, "No...If we were in high school, I'd take him behind the gym and beat the hell out of him."

All this heated rhetoric from Democrat politicians served to increase the violence sweeping our country in 2020. In Portland, Oregon, Democrat Governor Kate Brown and Portland Mayor Ted Wheeler, also a Democrat, allowed anarchists to run wild throughout the city, fostering an environment of lawlessness and chaos. The Democrats then blamed the unrest on Trump, even though he had nothing to do with it. The Democrats counted on people just hearing news snippets and believing them. Democrat politicians encouraged the violence with their verbose vitriol, while ordering police forces to retreat and rejecting the president's

continued offers to send the National Guard to quell the uprising.

As Kentucky Senator Rand Paul was leaving the Republican National Conference, a vicious mob with murderous intent attacked him and his wife and their police escort. Democrat-supported Black Lives Matter protesters violently shoved the police officers escorting him, knocking one of the officers into the senator as they screamed profane and homicidal threats. Describing the moment, the senator told Fox News: "They would have killed us had the police not been there...this is happening in all of our cities. It's got to stop, and thank God for the police. Had we not gotten to the police; I truly believe that the police saved our lives." What was the response from Democrats to this and many other acts of violence from leftist rioters burning down our cities across the nation? They decided to defund the police.

When the 2020 election results indicated that the Democrats would win the presidential election, they began planning their revenge against Republicans. Before the results were even certified, they were licking their lips with glee in anticipation of their planned retribution. Democrat Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez excitedly began envisioning a list, compiled from social media posts, to be used to exact revenge against Trump supporters. She tweeted, "Is anyone archiving these Trump sycophants for when they try to downplay or deny their complicity in the future? I foresee decent probability of many deleted Tweets, writings, photos in the future."

After evidence of targeted and coordinated election fraud by Democrats was detected in the 2020 election, Jennifer Rubin, a columnist for the Washington Post, tweeted, "Any Republican...making baseless allegations of fraud should never serve in office, join a corporate board, find a faculty position or be accepted into 'polite' society. We have a list." To stop the reporting of this fraud by news organizations, she lashed out at journalists who had reported the irregularities:

"What we should be doing is shunning these people. Shunning, shaming these people is a statement of moral indignation that these people are not fit for a polite society. I think it's absolutely abhorrent that any institution of higher learning, any news organization, or any entertainment organization that has a news outlet would hire these people."

Democrat Representative Cynthia Johnson recently intimated harm to Republicans with an inflammatory video that went viral. Using a menacing, evil voice she says, "So, this is just a warning to you Trumpers. Be careful, walk lightly, we ain't playing with you. Enough of the shenanigans. Enough is enough. And for those of you who are soldiers, you know how to do it. Do it right...make them pay."

David Atkins, a newly elected California member of the Democratic National Committee, has called for national reeducation camps for families who voted for Trump. He said that Democrats and liberals should "start thinking in terms of post-World War II Germany or Japan" to "deprogram 75 million people." Democrat Representative Bill Pascrell said that Trump and his "enablers" should be "tried for their crimes against our nation and Constitution." The Democratic Party, the party of hate and violence, intends to start a civil war, again.

5

Evolution, Eugenics and Pure Evil

"The fact of his having thus risen" by evolution to where he is, "instead of having been originally placed there" by God, "may give him hopes for a still higher destiny in the distant future." – Charles Darwin

The Democratic Party has a lot in common with the Nazis and with Charles Darwin, the father of evolutionary theory. To show this, we must review a little history about Darwin and the Nazi Party. Darwin's quote above from his book *Descent of Man*, clarifies his rejection of the belief that God defines human nature. Darwin postulated the possibility of creating a super-man. He claimed that God did not create man, that it was only the accidental processes of evolution that elevated man above the beasts. He argues that if evolution did all that on its own, how much more could be accomplished if man harnessed evolution? If evolution were directed by man, Darwin supposed, it could produce a race of supermen.

Darwin's book *Origin of Species* carries the subtitle, *The Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. The Nazis seized upon Darwin's idea and claimed that Germans were the "favored race." Since they were the highest in racial hierarchy, they believed Germany had a right to expand its territory by war. To their way of thinking, they were simply carrying out Darwin's "struggle for life" by seeing to it that "natural selection" picked them as the victor over the inferior races they vanquished. In accordance with evolution's doctrine of "the survival of the fittest," the Nazis, as the "strongest animal," exercised the "natural order of evolution" by invading Denmark, Norway, the Netherlands, Belgium,

France, the USSR, England, and the United States. Hitler's book *Mein Kampf* reads eerily similar to Darwin's writing, using all the same evolutionary catchphrases.

Darwin's godless philosophy utterly and completely seduced Adolf Hitler and the Nazi Party. They added some twists of their own. The Nazis believed they had descended from a pure and highly evolved race that had once lived on the lost continent of Atlantis. Members of this alleged master race were referred to as *Herrenmenschen*, or master humans. The Nazi doctrine taught that the German race was the ultimate in genetic evolution, which had been slightly polluted by mixing with inferior races. They believed this problem could be eliminated by helping evolution along in the right direction. They would develop the *ubermenschen*, or superman by eliminating all the *untermenschen*, or subhumans from their society, then Germany could breed a genetically superior, highly evolved, and advanced master race who could rule the world as gods.

This is why the Germans had extermination camps. Their purpose was to eliminate those deemed by the Nazis to be subhumans. That is also why they practiced eugenics, sterilizing those considered inferior so they would not reproduce. They sterilized "inferior" races (Jews and Blacks) by castration, radiation, and poisoning. Those with physical handicaps or deformities were killed. They passed laws that forbade marriage between Germans and any they considered to be of inferior breeding. As Hitler put it:

If Nature does not wish that weaker individuals should mate with the stronger, she wishes even less that a superior race should intermingle with an inferior one; because in such a case all her efforts, throughout hundreds of thousands of years, to establish an evolutionary higher stage of being may thus be rendered futile.

The Nazis implemented a breeding program wherein "racially pure" women were selected to fornicate with Nazi SS officers and secure the future of a "super race" for the

German Reich. The SS then raised the babies so they could be thoroughly indoctrinated from infancy.

From where did the Nazis get such evil and twisted ideas, and what does all this have to do with the Democratic Party? The core philosophy of Nazi breeding programs was indeed based upon Darwin's evolutionary theory, but the pattern for the antisemitic and racist Nuremberg Laws enacted in Nazi Germany came from America. In his book *Hitler's American Model*, author James Whitman presents evidence that the Nazis used the segregation and eugenics laws implemented by the American Democratic Party as a basis for their antisemitic legislation. They simply changed "Black" to "Jew." The antimiscegenation, eugenics, immigration, and Jim Crow laws of the racist Democrats became the foundation of antisemitic Nazism of the 1930s.

The Democratic Party had been busy with their own eugenics program for twenty years before the Nazis implemented theirs. Eugenics is defined as the manipulation of reproduction within a human population to improve the human race by increasing characteristics deemed favorable by those in control. As author Richard Evan phrased it in his book, *The Third Reich in Power*, "The strong and the racially pure had to be encouraged to have more children, the weak and the racially impure had to be neutralized by one means or another." Under this system, an elite ruling class enforces their will upon the populace, doing away with those they deem to be less intelligent, less educated, less wealthy, or belonging to a race considered undesirable. Anything they believe to be inferior in some way becomes a class that should not reproduce.

As with many evils, it began small, and its target was limited in scope, but it expanded rapidly. In July of 1933 a new law in the U.S. permitted the government to sterilize

²⁹ Richard J. Evans, The Third Reich in Power (New York: Penguin, 2005), 506.

anyone who suffered from so-called "hereditary" illnesses. People deemed to be feeble-minded could be forcibly sterilized. Those with any mental illness, epilepsy, blindness, or deafness could be sterilized; as could anyone thought to be an alcoholic. From the beginning of the 20th century up until 1970, when America's eugenics program was halted, over 70,000 Americans were forcibly sterilized.³⁰ Many states, primarily those under the governance of the Democratic party, also had laws that banned marriages between white people and African Americans, Native Americans, and Asians. This was done to ensure that "defective classes" of people would not corrupt the gene pool of white Americans.

Although eugenics programs were done away with in the United States fifty years ago, the philosophy and a form of the practice are still with us today, funded by tax dollars and brought to us courtesy of the Democratic party. I am speaking of Planned Parenthood, an organization whose founding goal was to eliminate the Negro race. The founder of Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger, was a racist and eugenics proponent. Her intent to exterminate the Blacks is well documented. Speaking to a Ku Klux Klan group, she spoke in favor of "breeding for the gradual suppression, elimination and eventual extinction, of defective stocks — those human weeds which threaten the blooming of the finest flowers of American civilization."31 In a letter penned by Sanger on December 10th, 1939, she said, "We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population..."32 That is why today we find Planned Parenthood facilities predominantly in Black neighborhoods. They still want to eliminate as many Black babies as possible.

_

³⁰ https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2016/03/07/469478098/the-supreme-court-ruling-that-led-to-70-000-forced-sterilizations

³¹ https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2020/07/23/racism-eugenics-margaret-sanger-deserves-no-honors-column/5480192002/

³² https://www.americamagazine.org/politics-society/2017/11/27/margaretsanger-was-eugenicist-why-are-we-still-celebrating-her

Sanger's Planned Parenthood got its start in 1939 with what she called the Negro Project. The true purpose of this effort is revealed by her statement that, "Colored people are like human weeds and need to be exterminated." She cleverly disguised her project as something designed to aid the health and family planning of Black women, while its real goal was to restrict and exterminate the Black population through abortion and sterilization.

Sanger wrote to one of her colleagues concerning their surreptitious strategy to disguise their real plan. She wrote, "We should hire three or four colored ministers, preferably with social-service backgrounds, and with engaging personalities. The most successful educational approach to the Negro is through a religious appeal. We don't want the word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population, and the minister is the man who can straighten out that idea if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members". This wicked quote shows how conniving Margaret Sanger was.

Hillary Clinton and the Democratic Party have long promoted Planned Parenthood and forced Americans to pay for infanticide with their tax dollars. There is no reason for our government to be subsidizing a private organization that is engaged in the baby-killing business. Recently, Joe Biden signed an executive order to permit American tax money to be used to fund abortions worldwide.³⁴ Forcing Americans opposed to abortion to pay for abortions in the U.S. is bad enough, but expanding that policy to include paying for abortions in other countries is just ludicrous. It shows the deep, perverted passion the Democratic Party has for the murder of our young.

When Hillary Clinton received the Margaret Sanger Award in 2009, she said, "I admire Margaret Sanger

³³https://myemail.constantcontact.com/Clinton--Sanger---The-NegroProject.html?soid=1117698342692&aid=k5IC4WNUt9U

³⁴ shorturl.at/eyACG

enormously, her courage, her tenacity, her vision." Let that quote sink in: Hillary Clinton, the former Democrat candidate for president, Secretary of State, and senator, admires Margaret Sanger and her vision of exterminating and sterilizing Negros because they are "human weeds."

The sad story of a young girl named Carrie Buck illustrates the horror that Sanger and other eugenic fanatics brought to human lives. In the 1920s, Carrie was raped and became pregnant. Carry came from a low-income family, precisely the sort of people that eugenic advocates wanted to eliminate. It was alleged that she was ignorant and came from a worthless class of people. Her lawyer failed to point out that she made the honor roll at school. Carrie was deemed unfit to have progeny, and the court ordered that she should be forcibly sterilized. She fought it all the way to the Supreme Court, where she lost the case. Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes said, "Society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind..." Carrie was taken by force into the operating room, where they cut her open against her will. Her chance for more children was cruelly and violently stolen from her. Carrie's only daughter grew up and made the honor roll, just like her mother.

This is pure evil. It was supported by the Democratic Party. It is celebrated to this very day by Democrat Hillary Clinton. The eugenics extremist Margaret Sanger founded Planned Parenthood, where the evil Nazi-like work of murdering babies of "undesirable races" is still carried on.

<u>6</u>

The Civil Rights Act

Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere. Whatever affects one directly, affects all indirectly. – Dr. Martin Luther King

The Democratic Party's claim to fame is that they defend the rights of minorities. African-American, Hispanic, or what-have-you – they stand up for the oppressed minorities of America. To capitalize on that trademark, they create racial tension where there was none and amplify it where they find it. Why? It is so they can use it as a pulpit to blame racism on Republicans and look like the heroes coming to the rescue. It is all a ruse to get votes and a smokescreen to hide their wicked past.

The party that created the Trail of Tears, that oppressed the American Indian and stole their land, that endeavored to keep the Negro enslaved, that opposed the Union in the Civil War, that tried to exterminate the Black race through eugenics, and that today backs Planned Parenthood's mission to abort Black babies, does not care about minorities. Their history has proven that. But doesn't their current clamor about standing up for minorities prove they have changed? No, it does not, for they have kept right on oppressing them throughout the history of America, as we shall continue to see.

But what they did do is change their image. Out of necessity, they erected a false facade to hide their past mistreatment of minorities. Here's how it all happened. In 1957 Republican President Dwight D. Eisenhower sent Congress a proposal for civil rights legislation, which Democrat Senator Lyndon Johnson bitterly opposed.

Nevertheless, Republicans managed to pass the Civil Rights Act of 1960, despite Democrat opposition.

During this time, the 1960 race riots erupted across America. The policy of southern Democrats that subjugated Blacks had created a boiling cauldron of unrest. As always, Republicans continued to show support for the rights of Blacks, and, as it had been since the time of Abraham Lincoln, Blacks supported the Republican Party. Then came the big switch. When President John F. Kennedy was assassinated, Vice President Lyndon Johnson became president. Ever an astute politician, he saw the winds were changing as the Black voting block increased. He concocted a scheme to gain more votes for the Democratic Party. Doris Goodwin's biography of LBJ. Lyndon Johnson and the American Dream and Ronald Kessler's book *Inside the White House*, reveal why Johnson, a Democrat, decided to do an about-face and begin supporting civil rights legislation. President Johnson explained it to his colleagues."

"In Texas there's more niggers voting there than white folks...These Negroes, they're getting pretty uppity these days and that's a problem for us since they've got something now they never had before, the political pull to back up their uppityness. Now we've got to do something about this. We've got to give them a little something, just enough to quiet them down, not enough to make a difference...I'll have those niggers voting Democratic for 200 years."

And that is precisely what Democrat President Lyndon Johnson skillfully accomplished. It is clear from his rhetoric that he didn't really care about Black people. To him, they were just "niggers." Only a few years prior, Johnson had been against civil rights for Blacks. But now that he was President and could get the credit, and more importantly, the Black vote, he flip-flopped. Johnson had a difficult time convincing his

⁻

³⁵https://www.intellectualtakeout.org/blog/did-lbj-say-ill-have-those-nggersyoting-Democratic-200-years/

constituents. Despite President Johnson's stance, Democrats fiercely opposed the Civil Rights Acts of 1964. Democrat Senator Robert C. Byrd, former Grand Cyclops and Kleagle³⁶, of the Ku Klux Klan, took the floor of the Senate and filibustered against its passage for 14 hours and 13 minutes.

The filibuster is a tactic used in the United States Senate to prevent a measure from being brought to a vote by extending debate on a matter. It takes a two-thirds majority vote to end a filibuster debate, a move known as *cloture*. The entire filibuster lasted 57 working days and was broken when 82% of Republican senators and 68% of Democrat senators voted for cloture. If it hadn't been for overwhelming Republican support for the Civil Rights Act, it would have died over a Democrat-led filibuster. On final passage, in the House of Representatives, 80% of Republicans voted for the Civil Rights Act of 1964 while only 63% of Democrats voted for it.

Why is this important to know? Because it shows that a significant number of Democrats were against civil rights for Blacks just a few decades ago, while most Republicans were in favor of Black rights. This shows the deceptive absurdity of the claim that the Democratic Party is the party of minorities. They only pretended to be so when Democrat President Johnson cleverly chose to use that as a ploy to win votes. It worked so well that today, the majority of Black votes are cast for the party that fought to keep them in chains. The point is that the Democratic Party does not truly care about Blacks, Latinos, or illegal immigrants; they merely covet their votes.

_

 $^{^{36}}$ A Kleagle was a KKK recruiter and was responsible for organizing local Klans. The chief officer of a Klan was an Exalted Cyclops.

<u>7</u>

Socialism & Satan

"Let every nation know, whether it wishes us well or ill, that we shall pay any price, bear any burden, meet any hardship, support any friend, oppose any foe, in order to assure the survival and the success of liberty." — President John F. Kennedy, Inaugural Address of January 20, 1961

If President Kennedy were alive today, he would resign from the Democratic Party and become a Republican. Kennedy's famous statement, "Ask not what your country can do for you – ask what you can do for your country," is the antithesis of all that socialism is. Selling socialism depends on promising free stuff. Socialism says the opposite of what Kennedy said; socialism says, "Ask what your country can do for you."

Today, the Democratic Party has largely embraced socialism. Many new Democrat Congressmen are openly socialist. In his 2019 State of the Union Address, President Trump addressed this concern by saying, "We are alarmed by the new calls to adopt socialism in our country. America was founded on liberty and independence and not government coercion, domination, and control. We are born free and we will stay free. Tonight, we renew our resolve that America will never be a socialist country." This statement received a standing ovation from Republicans, while Democrats sat with sour faces. A recent poll by Public Opinion Strategies showed that 77 percent of Democrats believe the country would be "better off" if it were more socialist, ³⁷ and just as the Nazis

³⁷ shorturl.at/aov.J9

combined a word with socialism to make it more appealing, calling it "national socialism," likewise Democrats have coined a new term for the old failed ideology, calling it "Democratic socialism." As Shakespeare so aptly put it, "A rose by any other name would smell as sweet," so the corrupt dogma that has killed millions still stinks, no matter what you call it.

President Kennedy understood the diabolical nature of socialism. He realized that socialism and its cousin, communism, were the greatest threat to freedom in the world. Nazi Germany was a socialist regime, being the National Socialist German Workers Party. They called themselves "National Socialists," or in German, *Nationalsozialistische*, which was shortened to Nazi by its critics.³⁸

In Kennedy's time, socialism had killed 82 million in China, 4.6 million in North Korea, and eventually, 3.8 million would die in Vietnam. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) would murder 21 million people in their quest for a socialist utopia. Wherever socialism has been tried it has resulted in genocide, which begs the question, why do people keep trying it? The answer is because it gives the politicians ultimate power over their subjects and abundant riches. People fall for it because of the promise of free stuff. Socialist proponents are adept at painting a rosy picture of a perfect, peaceful, and abundant society under socialism. Unfortunately for the general populace, socialism does not bring equal prosperity but poverty and bondage. Ask any common person from the old Soviet Union, and they will tell you about standing all day in line to get one loaf of bread, while at the same time in capitalist America people could

-

³⁸ The moniker "Nazi" was considered by Hitler's regime to be a derogatory term. The word had a double meaning. It also meant, "a backward peasant or awkward and clumsy person." Shortening National Socialist or Nationalsozialistische to Nazi then became a clever insult. Use of the term inside World War II Germany would have been taking your life in your hands.

choose from a plethora of brands and types of bread that filled an entire aisle of the market.

JFK understood all of this. He knew that socialism meant scarcity, death, and loss of freedom. That is why he said that America would "oppose any foe, in order to assure the survival and the success of liberty." He was speaking to the socialist countries that desired to take over the world. He understood that socialism, in all its forms, was the enemy of freedom and prosperity. That is why I say that JFK would be a Republican if he were alive today. The Democratic Party is not the party of our parents or grandparents. It has swerved radically left with a rabidly socialist agenda. Because of the infiltration of leftists into our school system, most young people today have no idea about socialism's evil, diabolical nature. For this reason, we must take an in-depth look at the soul of socialism.

What is Socialism

Socialism is one of those political philosophies that Satan loves. It's his brainchild, a doctrine of demons. A close examination of the teachings of socialism makes its fiendish nature readily apparent. Jesus said in John 10:10 that Satan "comes only to steal and kill and destroy." Satan's favorite thing to steal is freedom, and nothing robs freedom as efficiently as socialism.

Socialists are utterly ignorant of what is wrong with the world. The Bible teaches that because Adam and Eve sinned, all mankind inherited an evil fallen nature. As a result, the earth was cursed by God, and all of nature became twisted; death and suffering entered the world. All of mankind's woes – crime, famine, earthquakes, floods, pestilence, poverty, sickness, death – result from the effects of Adam and Eve's original sin. This is the clear and explicit teaching of Jesus and the apostles. Many who do not know biblical truth have concocted their own ideas about what is wrong with the world

and how to fix it. The philosophy of socialism is an example of man's futile attempt to explain and repair a fallen world without God's wisdom and revelation.

To understand the diabolical nature of socialism, we must examine its father. Socialism was popularized by the German philosopher Karl Marx in the 19th century. He was an atheist who utterly rejected the truth of God's written word. Contrary to the teaching of Scripture, Marx believed that all the ills of society – crime, unemployment, poverty – were all the result of something he called "class envy" which he said is brought on by capitalism. In Marx's mind, it was the struggle of the haves against the have-nots that causes all of society's evils. He postulated that if everyone were economically equal, then a perfect utopia would be created. Thieves would no longer steal, perverts would reform themselves, and cruel criminals would have an epiphany and suddenly become congenial, if only socialism could be implemented. He failed to accept God's truth that the problem with the world springs from the fallen heart of man. Jeremiah 17:9 says, "The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked; Who can know it?" Any philosophy that doesn't address man's fallen nature is doomed to failure.

Socialism involves empowering the government to forcibly take wealth from the rich and the middle class and distribute it equally to everyone.³⁹ As can be expected, a philosophy that denies the truth of God's word will yield a result that is fraught with evil. Instead of being the equal distribution of wealth, socialism becomes the equal distribution of poverty. Since everyone gets the same reward, regardless of how hard they work, there is no incentive for anyone to excel. Mediocrity and shoddiness become the norm in a socialist environment.

_

³⁹ The theory maintains this causes everyone to have enough, but in the actual practice of socialism, the ruling politicians have power and riches, while the populace suffers under poverty and bondage.

While socialism imagines that mankind is basically good, capitalism, on the other hand, takes a more realistic and practical approach, acknowledging the biblical truth that mankind is fallen and every person has self-interest as his foremost thought. Capitalism considers that if a person is allowed to enjoy the fruits of their own labor, their self-interest will lead them to excel. In a capitalist society, you find people trying to outshine the competition, resulting in an abundance of high-quality products. A socialist society will have a dearth of products, and what they do have will be of shoddy workmanship because there is no competition providing an incentive to do better.

Socialism manifests with different grades of intensity, the most extreme being communism, which denies private ownership of land and the means of production; the government owns the farms, factories, and businesses. In freer societies, such as in America, tax-based socialism⁴⁰ is practiced. Companies are privately held, and socialism is implemented by taking money and property through high taxes, and climate change fines. This wealth is then redistributed via government bail-outs and entitlement programs. It is given to those whom the government bureaucrats want to give it to, typically to those groups and corporations who will support their re-election with votes or donations. A more restrictive form of socialism is what the Nazis called *national socialism*, wherein business is privately owned but subsidized and rigidly controlled by the ruling party. Under national socialism, and to a lesser extent, taxbased socialism, companies that support the ruling party are rewarded with fat government contracts.

Socialism is like the proverbial camel that, once its nose is in the tent, eventually moves in and takes over the entire residence. Once rulers taste the power and riches socialism

_

⁴⁰ The name, tax-based socialism is a moniker of my own construction. It most accurately describes the type of socialism being pushed by the Democratic Party. There is a plethora of names to delineate the multitudinous types of socialism practiced throughout the world.

gives them, they soon want more. Tax-based socialism will tend to advance to national socialism and then to communism, if not opposed. America is in the process of transitioning to the form practiced by the Nazis, national socialism. Obama's takeover of the national health system and Planned Parenthood's subsidization by tax dollars are typical examples of a Hitlerian type of national socialism.

This is why we see the current fervor in Democratic states to end small business. Large corporations and big tech companies that support the Democratic Party are allowed to stay open and flourish, while smaller family businesses are forced to close, supposedly due to the current pandemic. Large outlets like Home Depot can remain open, but the mom-andpop corner hardware store has to stay closed. Large corporations such as Amazon and Walmart experience increased revenue when smaller stores go out of business. Small independent companies cannot be controlled and they do not help the ruling party stay in power. Independence is the nemesis of socialism. Although hindering small business is harmful to the economy, economic destruction is beneficial to socialism as it creates a larger impoverished class, to whom the rulers can dole out food stamps and meager financial allowances, which they promise to increase if they will vote to keep them in power.

To obfuscate their true intentions, socialist rulers blame the distress of the poor upon the rich, who they say aren't paying their fair share in taxes. So they raise taxes even further and use the money to dole out government contracts to those who will help them maintain their political power. Socialism feeds power to the political ruling class, who eventually want more power until finally, the political class controls every aspect of daily life.

Because Socialism denies the biblical truth of man's condition and is a doctrine of demons, it naturally follows that socialists are vehemently opposed to Christianity. The more power socialists have, the more they hate Christianity. That is

why you see the Democratic Party disavowing God, and it explains why it is mainly Democratically controlled states that are forcing churches to remain closed, and that is also why communist countries always ban the Bible and torture and kill Christians.

At the heart of socialism is the belief that government, specifically a socialist government, is the key to human salvation. Since socialism is a belief system with a denial of essential Christian truth at its root, it is no surprise that wicked men have been attracted to its teachings. The National Socialist German Workers' Party – the Nazi party – led by Adolf Hitler, is a prime example. North Korea is another example. While South Korea flourishes with wealth and freedom and a plethora of Christian churches, Communist North Korea suffers under abject poverty, and its people live in constant fear of their government. There are no churches in North Korea. To profess faith in Christ in North Korea is to ask to be imprisoned and killed.

The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) is probably the most notable illustration of socialism's evils. While Marx thought that socialism would naturally take over the world, Russian revolutionist Lenin thought otherwise. He believed Marxism needed to be implemented by military force. This idea brought about the Russian revolution of 1917, which culminated in the formation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). How much "force" was needed for Lenin's regime to implement communism? Twenty million innocent civilians had to die. 41 Freedom died as well. In the Soviet Union, you could only live and work where the government allowed. Millions tried to leave their home country to escape the repressive regime. To stop this exodus, they built the Iron Curtain and the Berlin Wall and machinegunned anyone trying to escape their new utopia.

⁴¹ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The Black Book of Communism

When socialism failed to provide the promised utopia, its leaders needed a scapegoat. They said that capitalist countries like America caused communism's failures. If only the whole world were communist, then it would work. That is why communism is always expansive. They need to take over the world because ever-expanding power and control is socialism's very nature. That is why communists say things like this:

"About the capitalist states...Whether you like it or not...We will bury you...Your children will be communists!"

Those were the words angrily yelled by Soviet premier Khrushchev at the Polish embassy in Moscow on November 18, 1956. Communists fanatically believe that for socialism to succeed, capitalism must be eliminated from the world. Totalitarian governments always need an enemy to blame to incite people to support their cause. For Nazi Germany, it was the Jews; for the USSR, it was capitalism. Eventually, the Russian people became exhausted with continual poverty and acknowledged that socialism did not work; they abandoned it and embraced capitalism. But the spiritual father of socialism, Satan himself, moved his efforts to other countries, including America.

Was Jesus a Socialist?

If one speaks with socialists, it isn't long before you find one that will tell you, with an air of confident authority, that Jesus was a socialist and so was the 1st century Church. To support this, they will quote Acts 4:32-35:

Now the full number of those who believed were of one heart and soul, and no one said that any of the things that belonged to him was his own, but they had everything in common. And with great power the apostles were giving their testimony to the resurrection of the Lord Jesus, and great grace was upon them all. There was not a needy person among them, for as many as were owners of lands or houses sold them and brought the proceeds of what was sold and laid it at the apostles' feet, and it was distributed to each as any had need.

At first glance, it may appear to some that they have a point, but upon closer analysis, we see that this is not socialism at all. First of all, socialism is implemented by governmental or ecclesiastical order. That was not the case in the early church. The giving described in this passage was completely voluntarily. In Acts Chapter 5, we see this clearly. A man sold his house and then lied to the apostles about how much he sold the house for. Instead of saying, "I sold my house and here is part of the money," he reported that he had sold his house and that was all of the money from the sale. God struck him dead for his lie. Holding back part of the money was not a sin, but lying about it was. Before he died, the Apostle Peter said to him, "While it remained unsold, did it not remain your own? And after it was sold, was it not at your disposal?" (Acts 5:4) The context shows that no one required him to sell his house, and even after sold, what he did with the money was entirely at his discretion. That is not socialism.

The passage in Acts 4 that tells of people sharing and giving is a description of people with changed lives. They had come to Christ and been born again. Their very nature had been changed by Christ coming into them. Their heart of stone was turned to a heart of flesh. You do not find a changed character at the heart of socialism. Socialism is about laws and rules implemented by a ruling elite. Christianity is all about a changed life from the inside out because of Jesus. What we see in the early Church are hearts changed by God. What you see in socialism are hearts that are in rebellion against God. With socialism, a few select rulers take from everyone and then dole it out to whoever they decide. Christian charity is something entirely different.

We see Christian charity in action to this very day in America. Because of America's Christian roots, giving to others is part of the fabric of the American conscience. Historically, America has gone to great expense to help less fortunate countries. Wherever there is a disaster, not only is the American government there to help, but our churches send money and people to help. For example, just one Christian ministry, Samaritan's Purse, paid out \$593,386,578.00 in 2019. That is over half a billion dollars in one year from only one Christian ministry. The churches of America donate more labor and finances to those in poverty in the world than any other country. Religion annually contributes an estimated \$1.2 trillion of socio-economic value to the U.S. economy. Percentagewise, Americans donate over two and a half times as much as Britons do, more than eight times as much as the Germans, and at twelve times the rate of the Japanese. Americans gave \$427.71 billion to charity in 2018.⁴²

None of this charity was ordered by the government. It was all volunteer charity, given by individuals who wanted to give. They wanted to give because Christ had changed their hearts. Do you see how different Christian charity is from socialism? With socialism, political autocrats take money by force from others and give some of it to people of their choice, and then keep the rest for themselves. With Christianity, all giving is voluntary and done with a cheerful heart of kindness because they genuinely care about others. This happens because the real problem has been addressed; man's fallen heart has been changed by the indwelling presence of Jesus Christ.

The abundant volunteer charity in the early church led to a problem that the Apostle Paul needed to address. He did so in 2 Thessalonians 3: 6-12:

Now we command you, brothers, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that you keep away from any brother who is walking in idleness and not in accord with the tradition that you received from us. For you yourselves know how you ought

⁴² This is not to diminish or insult the giving of any other country, but to merely present statistics. A comparison between America's giving and what communist countries give to other countries speaks volumes about which system is best.

to imitate us, because we were not idle when we were with you, nor did we eat anyone's bread without paying for it, but with toil and labor we worked night and day, that we might not be a burden to any of you. It was not because we do not have that right, but to give you in ourselves an example to imitate. For even when we were with you, we would give you this command: If anyone is not willing to work, let him not eat. For we hear that some among you walk in idleness, not busy at work, but busybodies. Now such persons we command and encourage in the Lord Jesus Christ to do their work quietly and to earn their own living.

Paul commanded that if anyone was not willing to work, don't feed them. This is the opposite of the socialism being pushed in America, wherein people are encouraged not to work and are allowed just to frolic their lives away while hardworking Americans endure half of their earned income taken away to support the freeloaders. God's plan was for a man and woman to marry and for the husband to lovingly support his family. Under godless socialism in America, promiscuous women are often incentivized not to work, to have one baby after another, and get larger support checks from the government with each new child, who, in turn, grows up learning to live on government subsidies. This is not what God intended and will bring juvenile delinquency, poverty, and turmoil to any country. We are living that sad reality now.

The parable of the talents, told by Jesus in Matthew 25:24-30, also shows us that Jesus was not a socialist. Indeed, Jesus' teaching in this parable is the antithesis of socialism. I suggest the reader open the Bible and read the entire parable before proceeding. The word "talent" in our English Bibles comes from the Greek word *tálanton*, a sizeable monetary measurement equal to 6,000 drachmas or denarii, the Greek and Roman silver coins. We see in this parable that a different

_

⁴³ Assuming a 25 % federal tax bracket, and then add in state income tax, social security and Medicare taxes, sales tax, gasoline tax, property taxes and auto license fees, many people are paying close to half their income in taxes.

number of talents are given out to people. If Jesus were a socialist, he would have told this story with everyone being given the same amount of money.

When one man takes his five talents and doubles it to ten talents, he is commended: "Well done, good and faithful servant. You have been faithful over a little; I will set you over much." If Jesus were a socialist, he would have reported that the man with ten talents had more than his fair share and then taken away his profit, but that is not what happened. He was commended for doubling the money. When the man with one talent came and said he did nothing at all with it, he is told, "You wicked and slothful servant!" and then orders, "take the talent from him and give it to him who has the ten talents." A socialist would not do that, but the opposite. A socialist would take from the one who made the most profit and give it to the one who did nothing. But what Jesus does is take the one talent from the "poor man" and gives it to the wealthy man. This is a complete reversal of what socialism calls for. From this parable we can see that Jesus was not a socialist.

How Socialism is Sold

Socialism has failed in every country where it has been tried. It has always resulted in massive numbers of dead. Venezuela is the latest example. Once the wealthiest country in South America, Venezuela is now floundering in poverty, disease, and mass starvation. Dictators Hugo Chavez and Nicolas Maduro used Dominion voting machines to steal elections and stay in power while they nationalized private industry, instituted currency and price controls, and greatly expanded welfare programs. They introduced socialism exactly as the Democratic Party is attempting to do in America.

Democrats like to point to various countries in Europe that are socialist and talk about how successful they are, but the fact is those countries are not really socialist; they are capitalist with some social programs. When avowed socialists such as Bernie Sanders say that socialism works just fine in Sweden, Norway, and Denmark, he is trying to trick you into believing those are socialist countries. We must realize that those Nordic countries have flourished so well under capitalism that they can afford generous government entitlement programs. They don't do what a socialist country would do. Those countries are careful not to nationalize companies and interfere with businesses being successful. They have school choice for all and no minimum wage. These are not the policies of socialists. This is capitalism. They know what Sanders and his ilk do not, that socialism would kill the goose that lays the golden egg. Socialism is the killer of business and the destroyer of economies. Just ask the people of Venezuela.

All this begs the question; since socialism is so destructive, why is it that people around the world keep trying it after it has failed so many times? Here is why: Socialism sells because it has dedicated salesmen, and it has salespeople because socialism works wonderfully for those at the top. If you are a high-ranking politician, it gives you power and money while at the same time letting you imagine that you are a great benefactor to your people, doling out money to those under your control. These crooked socialist politicians then sell it to the masses by promising free stuff. Free money, free college, free rent, free food; you name it, they promise it. They demonize the rich. They say there is only so much money in the world to go around, and the rich have more than their fair share, and that is why some people are poor. They promise to take that money from the evil rich people and give it to everyone. Uneducated, low information voters are seduced by the allure of free everything.

Economics 101

People that don't understand basic economics fall for the deception spewed forth by the socialist salesperson. A little

education is in order. Is it true that some are poor because others are rich? No, and here's why.

Money is not a limited commodity. Saying that "there is only so much money in the world" is a misrepresentation. Let us consider what money is. Imagine there is no money. Now let's say, for example, that your computer is broken and you don't know how to fix it. But you know someone who fixes computers and it just so happens that his car is broken and you know how to fix cars. You make a deal with him. He will fix your computer, and you will fix his car. You do, he does, and you are both happy. Have you robbed anyone or made anyone poor? No.

Now suppose that this guy's car is not broken, but you still want him to fix your computer. You make a deal that you will fix his car in the future if he needs it. You write a note on a small piece of paper promising two hours of your time fixing a car. He can use that promissory note whenever he wants. You also write on there that the "note" is transferrable. In other words, he can give it away or trade it to someone, and you will honor that note, no matter who has it. Perhaps he needs groceries, and his neighbor has a broken car. He takes your note and later trades the note to his neighbor in exchange for some groceries. Everyone is still happy. No one is cheated.

Now let's take it a step further. People catch on that trading notes is a good way to get things done. Imagine that one man is very industrious. He works hard from sunup to sundown, helping many people. He goes all over fixing cars and collecting lots of notes for various services from people. Suppose he is careful not to spend his notes on frivolous things. He saves as much as he can. One day, after years of work and saving, he buys a building with his notes and opens a shop to repair cars. He hires people to work for him. He is now helping other mechanics acquire wealth also. He has become what some would call wealthy and he has cheated no one doing it. In fact, he has been a blessing to many people.

Now imagine that another mechanic is lazy and doesn't fix many cars and only gets a few notes. Imagine another person who dropped out of school and didn't bother to learn how to do anything at all to help others. He lives off the charity of others. He has no notes at all. Now, in this imaginary world where notes are money, you have rich and poor. But did the wealthy mechanic with a repair shop and employees cheat anyone to get ahead? Did he take anything from those who have less? No, not at all. All he has done is work hard, live smart, and help others. He is rewarded for his work ethic.

The notes in this story are the same thing that money is. What I want you to see here is that the "notes" or the money is not a commodity of limited quantity. There isn't a vault somewhere with a limited number of notes (or money) in it. No, the notes are created. They are made by the people that do work. Here is the point: Wealth is something that is created when people do work for others. There are rich and poor people in my story; some have lots of notes, others have few or none, but why do some have more than others? Is it because some people are hoarding notes that they should not have? No, their wealth is all based upon themselves and what they do. If they learn a skill and work hard, and do things for others, they have more. If they are lazy and unwise, they have less.

Socialism comes along and says to some hard-working entrepreneur, "Hey, you got too many notes. You have to pay your fair share. I am taking half of them and giving them to this guy over here that quit school and doesn't work at all." This is exactly what socialists do. Money is nothing but "notes." The socialist wants to take wealth from the wise and hardworking and give it to the lazy and foolish. Why? Because there are always plenty of lazy people that want free stuff, and those people have something that the socialist politician wants – their vote.

That is why socialists like to destroy economies and create turmoil. The larger the number of poor people you have, the

more people there are that can be seduced by the promise of the "free stuff" that socialism promises to provide. And what happens is this: When industrious people learn that it does not pay to work hard and save, they don't. When hard-earned wealth is stolen, a lack of incentive spreads over the land and causes there to be less for everyone. What's more, the ones who can move their businesses outside of the country will do so. That happened in Venezuela. Numerous large companies, such as Clorox, General Mills, Kellogg, and all foreign oil companies, fled Venezuela when it turned socialist, resulting in a nationwide economic collapse. That is one more example of how socialism, instead of being the equal distribution of wealth, becomes the equal distribution of poverty. As Margaret Thatcher, the former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, so wisely said, "The problem with socialism is that you eventually run out of other people's money."

God is not opposed to people having wealth. Money is not the root of all evil, as is commonly said. What the Bible actually says is this: "The love of money is the root of all sorts of evil." (1 Timothy 6:10) So long as people are rich towards God and put him first, and live a life of generosity towards others, then wealth is a blessing and not a curse. In Proverbs 15:6 we read, "In the house of the righteous there is much treasure." and in Proverbs 13:11, "He who gathers money little by little makes it grow." If God's people act wisely, learn skills that will aid others, spend and save wisely, and work with industry and avoid laziness, and give kindly to those truly in need, then they will be blessed with abundance. The scripture also warns what will happen to the lazy:

I passed by the field of a sluggard, by the vineyard of a man lacking sense, and behold, it was all overgrown with thorns; the ground was covered with nettles, and its stone wall was broken down. Then I saw and considered it; I looked and received instruction. A little sleep, a little slumber, a little folding of the hands to rest, and poverty will come upon you

like a robber, and want like an armed man. (Proverbs 24:30-34)

The First Thanksgiving

The first Thanksgiving in America was a celebration and a thanksgiving unto God in gratitude for His helping them to see the error of communism. Here is how it happened. Before the Mayflower landed in America, the Pilgrims had signed an agreement called the Mayflower Compact. This agreement, which they had all signed on to, provided that they would all live under socialism. No one would own anything, but all things would be held in common. Everyone would receive the same portion regardless of how much they had contributed.

It was pure communism, and it did not work out very well. Some became lazy and worked meagerly or not at all. No one was driven to excel since all incentives had been taken away. A person received the same food and shelter whether working hard or being a slacker. There was not enough food. People were dying. Forty-seven of the original one hundred and two did not survive the first winter. Thirteen of the eighteen wives died. They were starving to death, and resentment was growing among those who did work hard. In his journal, Governor Bradford notes that many men were unwilling to work to feed other families. Women were reluctant to cook for other women's husbands. Fields were left untilled and unplanted. Apathy and discouragement hung over the colony like an oppressive fog.

The disincentive aspect of socialism had bred impoverishment and conflict. After prayer and consideration and reading in the scriptures that "he who does not work should not eat" (2 Thessalonians 3:10), it was decided that God would have them abandon socialism and move to a capitalist economy. Facing starvation and extinction, Governor Bradford changed the system they lived under. Everyone would now own their own piece of land, their own

home, and would own the product of their own labors. That changed everything overnight. Abandoned fields were tilled, planted, and diligently harvested. Colonists traded their abundance with the surrounding Indian nation. Governor Bradford recorded in his journal that this was wildly successful. Everyone worked hard and had an abundance. Governor Bradford wrote, "This had very good success, for it made all hands very industrious. Much more corn was planted than otherwise would have been. Women, went willingly into the field, and took their little ones with them to set corn."

The economy of the Pilgrims thrived so much that they not only had a surplus of food, they opened up trading posts to trade with the Indians and profited enough to pay off their loans that were owed for their passage to America. The Pilgrims saw all of this as God's providence. They thanked God for helping them learn that socialism was not a wise way to manage affairs and that capitalism was more sensical and scriptural and resulted in a greater abundance for all.

The Pilgrims recorded that they considered these events as the fortuitous, providential working of God on their behalf. Now that their new capitalist economy had resulted in a bountiful harvest, they wanted to set aside a day to give thanksgiving unto God who cared for them through all their hardships. They invited the Wampanoag tribe of Indians to come for a big feast and celebrate God's wonderful mercy along with them. About ninety Indians attended, which was twice the number of the Pilgrims. Thanksgiving is not what they called it; rather, thanks giving is what they did. The name would come later, but what they did on that day is give thanks to Almighty God for the abundance that came about from their abandonment of socialism to follow biblical economic principles. Individualism, capitalism, and dependence upon God have been at the core of the American conscience from the beginning. When the Democrats say they want to "fundamentally change America," they are talking about erasing these Christian roots and turning us into a godless

socialist country. If they are successful, America will become one more derelict nation left ruined and destitute upon the trash heap of failed socialist dogma.

The Vietnam War

And this brings us to our war with the socialist country of North Vietnam. North Vietnamese communists, stirred up and aided by communist Russia, invaded South Vietnam. The South Vietnamese government begged us to help them. We did, and thus was born the Vietnam War. Part of the reasoning which impelled America into the Vietnam War was the *domino theory*, which held that if one country was allowed to fall to communism, others in the area would soon follow.

Eventually the U.S. won the Vietnam War. Our purpose was to stop the invasion into South Vietnam. In that, we succeeded, and in that regard, we won. In late 1972 President Nixon ordered the bombing of Hanoi and Haiphong. This made North Vietnam ready to talk peace. In 1973 an agreement was reached that included North Vietnam's promise not to invade the South and our commitment to South Vietnam to defend them if that happened. The war was over, and we had accomplished our goal of stopping the invasion into South Vietnam. We pulled our troops out, promising South Vietnam that we would immediately be back if the northern communists invaded again.

Unfortunately, South Vietnam fell to the communists. What happened? In a word, the Democratic Party happened. The 94th Congress of 1975 was controlled by liberal Democrats who had no intention of allowing America to keep its commitment to South Vietnam. After American troops were gone, North Vietnamese troops tested our resolve by making incursions in various places into the South. Then they waited to see what we would do about it. Sadly, we did nothing, which only encouraged their aggression.

President Ford pleaded with the Democrats in Congress to provide military aid, to allow him to do something. Instead, they tied his hands further. The Democrats passed the Foreign Assistance Act of 1974, cutting off all military aid to South Vietnam and removing the President's option of airstrikes should North Vietnam break the terms of the peace accords.

President Ford, along with the president of South Vietnam, pleaded with Congress not to let South Vietnam fall and let the deaths of 58,000 of our GIs be in vain. All that was needed was a little support to South Vietnam, and the North would back off. The communist North had already lost 1.1 million lives. They were not about to restart the war if we were committed to defending the South. But their intelligence told them that the Democratic Party was in control of Congress; they were betting that they would stop America from taking any action.

Once the North Vietnamese saw that we were going to do nothing, they invaded South Vietnam, and it quickly fell to the communists within two years of our withdrawal. All of our blood, sweat, and tears were for naught. We had won the war. We had saved Vietnam, but the Democrats shamefully let it all go to the communists. Today, communism rules in South Vietnam. There is no religious freedom there. Christians are persecuted, and their churches have been outlawed.

In 1975 the free-love peaceniks and the news media got the peace in Vietnam they had campaigned so long for. But get this: In only the first year of "peace," more people died in Vietnam than in all the previous years of the war put together. 44 Millions died. They were tortured, butchered, raped, sent to "reeducation camps," or incarcerated as political prisoners. And the domino theory was proved correct. Cambodia and Laos soon quickly fell to the communists also.

_

⁴⁴ An American Amnesia, Bruce Herschensohn, P.63



Boat People Escaping Socialism

There was a mass exodus from South Vietnam as people tried to escape the cruelty of communism, but there was nowhere to go except into the ocean. In South Vietnam, one million people floated out to sea on makeshift rafts, rowboats, and just about anything that would float. One-half of these *boat people*, as they were called – 500,000 of them – drowned in the sea. But this was only the beginning of sorrows.

In neighboring Cambodia, the communists herded three million people out of the cities in one day alone and put them on a forced march. Anyone who refused or complained was instantly killed. They forced them to work as slave labor in the fields, compelling them to toil under the hot sun until they dropped dead. Then they bulldozed them into mass graves. In his book *An American Amnesia* Bruce Herschensohn tells of the communist cruelty in Cambodia:

On the trek to the fields, it was a capital offense to complain to guards about the food. It was a capital offense to talk to one another. The next day all married couples were separated, their children taken away, or compelled to watch their parents tortured and executed, often by decapitation, while the chief

method of execution was to have the person's skull smashed by hammer. Children were forced to watch their parents lined up with others, each person in each row having their skulls smashed by Khmer Rouge with hammers, and the same fate came later for selected children...Public executions were held throughout the country, and starvation was rampant. All street signs were whitewashed. All money was declared illegal, with rice as the only currency. Medical facilities, postal, telegraph and telephone religious temples. communications were destroyed. Death was the sentence for having a radio or having any timepiece or dozing at work. Flirting was considered to be promiscuity punishable by death. All people had to wear black tops and black pants. Atheism was the new official creed, with the practices of Buddhism and Catholicism punishable by death. All books published before April 17, 1975 were ordered to be burned. In a quest for extinction of lives, the genocide of an estimated two million (somewhere between one-quarter to one-third of the entire population of the country) began on that date. 45

Many of the leaders of the Cambodian genocide had developed their appalling socialist philosophy at leftist universities in the United States. The new Cambodian communist utopia emphasized back-to-nature organic food produced with no technology. It was a climate-change green new deal that Al Gore would have loved. Private ownership of property was strictly forbidden. If you want to know what society the new-age leftist socialist environmental-wacko movement would lead to, just look at the communist Khmer Rouge of Cambodia.

The peaceniks of the Vietnam era chanted, "give peace a chance." but when the war ended, millions died, which illustrates the truth that sometimes war is the least of two evils. We had won the war for nothing. The liberal Democrats managed to snatch defeat from the jaws of victory. The lesson

_

⁴⁵ An American Amnesia, Bruce Herschensohn, P.37-38

is clear: There can be no compromise with socialism. Wherever it is not resisted, it takes root, and people die.

Socialism's Bedfellows

Atheism and evolutionary theory are philosophies that go hand-in-glove with socialism. Nearly all socialist countries promote atheism and use the so-called science of evolution to bolster their faith in the idea of no creator. "Religion is the opiate of the people" is one of the most frequently quoted statements of Karl Marx, the father of socialism. His meaning was that there was no real intrinsic truth or reality to religious belief. Marx claimed religion was only a fantasy of the mind created to help endure the world's sufferings.

Marx promulgated the abolition of religion as consistent with the elimination of suffering through socialism. In the old USSR, North Korea, North Vietnam, and China, as in nearly all communist countries, Christianity is practically outlawed, and the Bible is contraband. Evolutionary atheism is the official state position in those lands.

The Lies of Socialism

"The rich get richer because they make the poor get poorer. The rich aren't paying their fair share. The rich have all the money; that's why we have poverty." These are the lies of socialism. Those who are suffering and are desperate for hope will latch onto such lies. They are fooled into becoming socialist puppets, doing the bidding of those who pull the strings.

Our American education system has become a disseminator of socialist Marxism. Young people struggling to get started in the workplace are easily seduced by the idea of the government just giving them free stuff. They imagine the government has an endless supply of cash to give them. They don't realize that the only thing the government can give is what it takes from others, and in many cases, the others that

are forced to do the giving will be the children and grandchildren of those it gives to. Socialism is robbery from the next generation.

The Fruit of Socialism

It is estimated that communism has killed more than 94 million people in China, the Soviet Union, and North Korea alone. Wherever socialism reigns, suffering, death and loss of freedom is the consequence. Where you find full-blown socialism, you find no Bibles, no churches, no liberty, and no hope. You see only poverty and pain. Venezuela's recent experiment with socialism has destroyed its once-booming economy, resulting in starvation, riots, and unrest. Nowhere has socialism ever worked in the long term. It is a foolish philosophy that lies smoldering on the ash-heap of history's failed ideologies.

Today the Democrats want more and more socialism for America. Liberal teachers have infested our schools and raised a whole crop of young adults with heads full of communist lies. Perhaps Khrushchev was right. He said they would bury us, and if we don't teach the truth to our children, socialism will destroy us. While people worry over the imaginary threat of man-made global warming, the real threat of socialism secretly encroaches upon our nation.

One has to wonder why the failed philosophy of socialism continually pops up all over the world. It has been unable to help mankind wherever it has been tried. Every socialist experiment has resulted in a wrecked economy and a totalitarian government that takes away the people's rights. Yet, people keep falling for the lies of socialism. Why? There is more here than meets the eye. Scripture says we war against spiritual principalities and powers and wickedness in high places. There is a powerful demonic influence that works tirelessly at spreading socialism wherever possible. Satan has found it one of his most effective weapons to enslave mankind and stamp out the Christian Church.

And that is why Satan is politically active. He loves socialism, for it does his evil work for him. It steals freedom and enslaves mankind. It denies the truth of God's word. Socialism kills people. It hinders the Church of the Living God. For these very reasons, we must fight against it. We must fight wars to stop it if necessary. As JFK said, we must "support any friend, oppose any foe, in order to assure the survival and the success of liberty." We must teach our children and our neighbors about the evils of socialism. Its wickedness has destroyed nations, murdered millions, and enslaved billions.

Socialism is a malignancy born in hell and bent upon devouring the earth. Just look at the sort of countries that love it: Red China, the old USSR, North Korea – countries with the worst record of human rights abuses. It is embraced by dictators and repressive regimes the world over. That should suffice to show that it is an evil ideology. We cannot let it be the downfall of America. Any nation that drifts away from Christianity becomes susceptible to the lies of socialism. America has been drifting and, as a consequence, has begun to lean more and more towards socialism. Only the truth of God's word can set us free from Satan's deceptions. The evil of socialism must be proclaimed and exposed from America's pulpits and by every Christian who knows the truth. Our very freedom depends upon it. Let the Church be silent no longer.

8

Sexual Perversion

Nor did they repent of their murders, their magic arts, their sexual immorality or their thefts. (Revelation 9:21).

The list of Democrat politicians that have engaged in fornication and adultery is a long one, President John F. Kennedy is one of the most prominent. JFK had a propensity for prostitutes and risqué sexual encounters that bordered on the criminally irresponsible. He bedded Marilyn Monroe numerous times before passing her on to his brother Bobby, who carried on a much longer affair with the famous actress. Some of his more notable conquests include Judith Campbell, an ex of Chicago mob boss Sam Giancana. She says she aborted JFK's child. Another is an East German high-class call girl named Ellen Rometsch, who was expelled from the U.S. in 1963 on suspicion of being a Communist spy.

JFK's brother, Senator Ted Kennedy, is infamous for the Chappaquiddick incident, wherein the married Kennedy was at a drinking party late into the night and drove off with 28-year-old Mary Jo Kopechne. Driving recklessly and at a high rate of speed, Kennedy drove off a bridge into a river. Kennedy escaped the submerged car. Mary Jo was trapped inside the vehicle but survived into the next day, breathing an air pocket in the car. Kennedy failed to report the accident to authorities until the following day, presumably because he didn't want to be tested for intoxication. By the time the car was removed from the river, Mary Jo was dead. As is typical for Democrat politicians in America, he received a slap on the wrist. He got a suspended sentence.

Democrat President Bill Clinton is the most notorious of all political sex offenders. His most famous liaison was with Monica Lewinsky, an affair that eventually got him impeached for lying under oath and obstructing justice. Allegedly, Clinton brazenly engaged in sexual acts in the Oval Office while conducting government business on the phone. There is a long list of women with whom Clinton was involved, some of whom accuse him of criminal sexual behavior. Juanita Broaddrick accused Clinton of raping her. Leslie Millwee also accused Clinton of sexually assaulting her. Paula Jones says that Clinton exposed himself to her. Kathleen Willey accused Clinton of groping her without her consent in the early 90s.

Then there is Bill Clinton's association with pedophile Jeffrey Epstein, who was recently "suicided" in prison under circumstances. suspicious Dead men tell. no Nevertheless, tales do abound. Flight logs show that Clinton was frequently on Epstein's Boeing 727, nicknamed the Lolita Express, because it was outfitted with private rooms complete with bed and staffed with underage girls. Flight logs show Clinton on the plane more than two dozen times. Sometimes Clinton left the Secret Service behind for these trips, some of which, according to witnesses, took Clinton to Epstein's privately owned isle, known as "Orgy Island."

Of course, there are Republicans who have fallen into sexual sins as well. The point I wish to make is that it is much more prevalent on the Democrat side, and at least when it happens with a Republican there is usually some disciplinary action and a general outcry of disappointment. When it happens with a Democrat, the response from the Democratic Party is different. Clinton's dalliance with Lewinsky was dismissed with, "It's only about sex. That is private. It doesn't matter." The truth is that rampant sin, especially in leaders, always matters.

As a point of fact, the Democratic Party has officially embraced sexual sin. Their stance on homosexuality is part of their platform. As of November 2020, there are ten openly LGBTQ members of the U.S. Congress – all Democrats. Including past congresses, there are a total of 20 homosexual Democrats that served.



Obama Celebrates Sexual Sin

When the Supreme Court greenlighted homosexual marriage, Obama lit up the White House with rainbow-colored lights to celebrate, while men gathered and kissed each other with sodomistic passion. That was a day that lives in infamy, a sad event that disgraced our country. The Bible says, "Righteousness exalts a nation, but sin is a reproach to any people." (Proverbs 14:34)

While Christian compassion compels us to extend mercy toward sinners, we must also consider this: It is one thing to be tolerant of others and give them the freedom to choose good or evil, just as God gives them their free will, but it is an entirely different thing for the government to sanction sexual perversion by calling it marriage. That one despicable act by the U.S. Supreme Court encouraged countless numbers of teenagers to experiment with this dangerous lifestyle, dooming many to a life of disease amidst a cauldron of psychological and spiritual difficulties.

Since the United States government bowed to the perverted desire of the Democratic Party and officially sanctioned sexual sin, it behooves us to consider what the Bible says on the matter of homosexuality. God utterly destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah for their sins, chief of which was homosexuality. Proponents of homosexuality deny that sexual preference had anything to do with why those cities were destroyed. However, Scripture makes the reason clear. Jude 1:7 says, "Sodom and Gomorrah and the cities near them, which like them committed sexual sins and pursued homosexual activities..." (International Standard Version). The men of Sodom even wanted to rape the two angels that came to Lot's home. Those two scriptures firmly establish that Sodom and Gomorrah were destroyed for their many sins, chief of which was homosexuality.

Homosexuality and transgenderism have swept our country, indeed, the entire world. Sexual perversion has always been with us, but only in various places. Never before in history has the whole world – every nation – been swept into such a cesspool of debauchery. The sodomy which homosexuals engage in spreads the incurable AIDS virus, as well as hepatitis A and B. It also leads to anal incontinence, anal cancer, and other maladies. One in five homosexuals is infected with the deadly HIV virus that leads to AIDS. AIDS originated with homosexuals, and according to Human Life International, "85% of all new cases still occur in male homosexuals and bisexual men." The Bible tells us that homosexual desire is a punishment from God that comes upon those who deny that God is our sovereign Creator:

For the wrath of God is revealed from Heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who by their unrighteousness suppress the truth. For what can be known about God is plain to them, because God has shown it to them. For his invisible attributes, namely, his eternal power and divine nature, have been clearly perceived, ever since the creation of the world, in the things that have been made. So

-

⁴⁶ https://www.conservapedia.com/Homosexuality and AIDS

⁴⁷ https://www.hli.org/resources/is-aids-a-gay-disease/

they are without excuse...Therefore God gave them up in the lusts of their hearts to impurity, to the dishonoring of their bodies among themselves, because they exchanged the truth about God for a lie...For this reason God gave them up to dishonorable passions. For their women exchanged natural relations for those that are contrary to nature; and the men likewise gave up natural relations with women and were consumed with passion for one another, men committing shameless acts with men and receiving in themselves the due penalty for their error. And since they did not see fit to acknowledge God, God gave them up to a debased mind to do what ought not to be done...Though they know God's righteous decree that those who practice such things deserve to die, they not only do them but give approval to those who practice them. (Romans 1:18-32)

This passage says that they became homosexuals because "they exchanged the truth of God for a lie." What lie? The lie that there is no God, that man was not created by God but evolved by mere chance and accident from a primordial soup of chemicals billions of years ago. Many leftist liberal professors propagate this lie in our colleges across the nation. Both Charles Darwin and scientist Richard Dawkins admitted that believing in the theory of evolution led them to atheism. Our schools are producing a generation that no longer believes in God. Godless evolutionary theory and homosexuality are bedfellows. Scripture says that because they believe "the lie," "God gave them up to a debased mind to do what ought not to be done." When our universities teach atheism, we should not be surprised that homosexuality becomes rampant.

-

⁴⁸ http://asktheatheists.com/questions/121-does-belief-in-evolution-lead-to-atheism/

⁴⁹ There are those who believe in God and evolution. They are called theistic evolutionists. Although I believe theistic evolution is an untenable position, those who hold to that are not in the same wicked category as those who believe in what I have called "Godless Evolutionary Theory." For my refutation of theistic evolution, see my book Theistic Evolution by Mark Swarbrick available on Amazon.com

The fact that godlessness and homosexuality go hand in hand was recently demonstrated at the 2020 National Convention of the Democratic Party. "One nation under God" was intentionally removed from the Pledge of Allegiance in two of the convention's meetings. ⁵⁰ Although the phrase was included in some of the televised meetings, it was removed in the LGBTQ Caucus meeting and the Muslim Delegates Assembly on the eighteenth of August.

In the LGBTQ meeting, the speaker made a long pause after "one nation" to emphasize that they were deliberately leaving out "under God." It is certainly understandable that lesbians, gays, bisexuals, and transgenders want nothing to do with God since they are steeped in sexual sin. But it is disturbing that supposedly decent people running for political office would be so debased as to allow the denial of God and the corruption of a pledge that represents our traditional American legacy of recognizing the sovereignty and blessings of God over our nation. To deny that we are one nation under God is to deny our country's founding documents, which verbally recognize that our rights and liberties are given by God. Our elected leaders take an oath of office declaring to support and defend our Constitution, concluding their oath with "so help me God."

Democrats said they thought that mentioning God was insensitive and may trigger homosexuals across the country. When the omission was reported, politicians became concerned it might hurt their chances in the upcoming presidential election. The mass media and liberal fact-checkers quickly denied the omission. Facebook and the Google search engine censored any reports of the omission. Nevertheless, it happened, and the words of President Ronald

_

 $^{^{50}}$ <u>https://thefederalist.com/2020/08/19/dnc-committee-omits-under-god-from-the-pledge-of-allegiance/</u>

⁵¹ The video of the omission of "under God" can be seen here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oB2aS7RfVAc

Reagan are apropos: "If we ever forget that we're one nation under God, then we will be a nation gone under."

Making a commitment to a sinful and sexually perverted, disease-spreading relationship does not equal the sanctity of God-ordained marriage between a man and woman. In short, God made Adam and Eve, not Adam and Steve. God calls homosexual practice a transgression and a wicked abomination. Scripture says, "Do not have sexual relations with a man as one does with a woman; that is detestable." (Leviticus 18:22) 1 Corinthians 6:9 says, "Do not be deceived; neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor homosexuals...will inherit the kingdom of God"

Today, if you own a business, the government forbids you from making your choice of an employee based upon "sexual orientation." If you have a family-run business, you may not want a sexual deviate working around your kids, but the law says that sexual orientation cannot be a basis for not hiring someone. Perhaps a job applicant is a man who prefers men, or maybe his "orientation" is towards little boys or girls, or possibly goats. Maybe he is a man who make-believes he is a woman. The law says you can't discriminate because of his sexual orientation. How absurd is that? In America you are forced to employ sexual deviates and have them around your family. If you own a bakery and you feel it violates your Christian beliefs to bake a cake in celebration of a so-called "same-sex marriage," and you refuse, the law will take your business, your home, fine you into poverty, and if you can't pay, off to jail you go. That is happening in America today and it is brought to us courtesy of the Democratic Party.⁵²

It is not just God's morals on sexual behavior that the Democratic Party disregards. They are antagonistic to the entire biblical construct of family, marriage, and gender. Jesus said, "from the beginning of the creation, God made them

 $^{^{52}}$ <u>https://www.christianpost.com/news/bakers-fined-135000-refusing-make-gay-wedding-cake-appeal-supreme-court.html</u>

male and female." (Mark 10:6) The liberals just hate that. They hate it because God said it. There are only two genders. That is a fact of science and a fact of Scripture. The leftists insist there are many others. How many? Apparently, as many as they wish to conjure up in their vain imagination.

God instituted the whole concept of family. He made us to have a mother, a father, brothers, and sisters. It is a fact of nature. It is a truth of Scripture. And guess what, the Democrats hate that too. On January 4th, 2021, the Democratic-controlled U.S. House of Representatives passed new rules that forbid the use of gender-specific terms such as "father," "mother," "himself," or "herself" and replace them with gender-neutral terms like "parent" and "themself."

The Democrats congratulated themselves in a press release, saying this historic change will "honor all gender identities by changing pronouns and familial relationships to be gender-neutral." Republican Senator Graham stated it well when he wrote: "God created them male and female. This is shaking a fist in the Creator's face, trying to deny His authority." Evangelist Franklin Graham said, "If those claiming the name progressive are allowed to have their way, we won't even recognize this nation in a very short time."

Emanuel Cleaver, a United Methodist minister and Democratic congressman, ended a prayer on the first day of the new Democratically controlled Congress of 2021 by saying "amen and a-woman." This is the epitome of ignorance. "Amen" is not an English word; it is a Hebrew word of affirmation that has nothing to do with gender. As a popular Facebook meme puts it, "If you say a-woman instead of amen you are a-moron."

9

Election Fraud

So the law is paralyzed, and justice never goes forth. For the wicked surround the righteous; so justice goes forth perverted. (Habakkuk 1:4)

The Democrats made a lot of hay with their accusations that Russia interfered with the 2016 election. The storyline was that Russia wanted Trump to be president of the United States. The concocted idea that Russia wanted Trump in office was ludicrous from the start. The Kremlin would have wanted Hillary – the Hillary who pushed the silly Russia reset button, the Hillary that gave the Russians twenty percent of our uranium, the same Hillary that accepted half a million dollars from the Russians, a transaction that many believe was bribery. They Russians knew she could be bought. But I digress. The point is, the Democrats go on as if election interference is the unforgivable sin.

But the fact of the matter is that the Democrats stock-intrade is election interference, even of our allies' foreign governments. The Obama regime interfered in Israeli elections. Obama funneled hundreds of thousands of dollars of taxpayer money to the opposition of Israel's Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. The Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations found that Obama's State Department, in conjunction with a group called One Voice, coordinated political activities against Netanyahu – including building a voter database, the training of activists, and the hiring of a political consulting firm tied to President Obama himself.

-

⁵³ See Chapter 14, The Party of Crime

Why is it acceptable for the United States government to interfere in another nation's elections, but not acceptable for other countries, like Russia, to interfere in our elections?

Election interference is standard operating procedure for the Democratic Party. Before Trump was even elected, the Democratic party weaponized the Justice Department and the FBI to spy on the Trump campaign illegally. Hillary Clinton's campaign purchased the Steele dossier, also known as the Trump—Russia dossier, a political opposition research report filled with Russian disinformation. The general public did not find out until later that it was a pack of lies compiled by Russian intelligence agencies.

Yes, there was Russian interference in the 2016 election, but it was not on Trump's part, but on Hillary's. The Russians very much wanted her to be elected They had seen her change State department policies in their favor after Russian money flowed into her coffers. The Kremlin became convinced that she could be influenced by money. Russia's purpose with the Steele dossier was to get Hillary Clinton elected to the presidency.

Election irregularities that Democrats engage in within the U.S. are of the most urgent concern. As I have said, election fraud is the *modus operandi* of the Democratic Party and has been for a very long time. From disenfranchising Black voters after the Civil War to stealing the 1960 election for John F. Kenney, the Democrats have demonstrated that they have developed the fine art of election fraud while leaving little evidence.

The 1960 presidential election is a prime example. The race between John F. Kennedy and Richard M. Nixon was a close one. It came down to winning Illinois, and for that, the Democrats counted on the corrupt political machine of Chicago boss Mayor Daley. In the aftermath, at least three people were sent to jail for election-related crimes, and 677 others were indicted but were acquitted by a crony of Mayor Daley. Much of the fraud involved practices that could not be

detected by a recount. The Chicago Tribune concluded, "Once an election has been stolen in Cook County, it stays stolen."

An analysis of FBI wiretaps by a former Justice Department prosecutor showed that there had been enough fraud to overturn the election. FBI director J. Edgar Hoover believed the same and sent recommendations to the Attorney General to take action; however, the Attorney General was Bobby Kennedy, JKF's brother, so of course nothing was done. It was all buried. Nixon knew the election had been stolen. At a 1960 Christmas party, Nixon commented, "We won, but they stole it from us."

Free and fair elections are an American right that is key to keeping the peace in our country. A fair election is what allows a country to decide differences with ballots instead of bullets. Unfortunately, The Democratic Party is systematically destroying this safeguard. In the 2020 Presidential election, the Democrats knew that it would be impossible for them to win against President Trump's undeniable and unprecedented record of achievement. Here is just a partial list of President Trump's accomplishments:

- ✓ Almost 4 million jobs created since his election.
- ✓ Stopped ISIS and decimated its ranks.
- ✓ In the past four years under Trump, carbon emissions decreased by .5% annually over the past 4 years, with 2019 alone having a decrease of 2.9%
- ✓ President Trump created a thriving business culture that allowed companies to find smart, sustainable, durable, and profitable ways to care for the environment and reduce emissions. The United States has had the largest decline in emissions in the world. According to the EPA, in the last four years under Trump, air pollution has gone down by 7%, 27 contaminated Superfund sites have been delisted, 1,200 air pollution improvement plans have been approved, and

- backlogs have been cut in half due in large part to Trump's effectiveness at cutting red tape and streamlining projects.
- ✓ Trump empowered private property owners and local problem
 solvers by signing on to the Trillion Trees Initiative. By joining
 the Initiative, the United States has committed to planting at
 least 855 million trees by 2030 that will, combined with global
 efforts, have the potential to sequester up to 10 years' worth
 of emissions.
- ✓ Trump signed into law the Great American Outdoors Act. This law permanently funds the Land and Water Conservation Fund and addresses the \$12 billion maintenance backlog in America's national parks.
- ✓ The Trump administration also invested in renewable and clean energy technologies such as offshore wind, solar fields, and advanced nuclear power. While the Department of Energy and the Trump Administration innovated and invested in all of these technologies, the United States also led the world in natural gas and petroleum production, providing energy security and economic prosperity with clean and reliable energy with reduced carbon emissions.
- ✓ Trump signed executive orders that prevented Democrats from using the Johnson Amendment to stop pastors and churches from preaching biblical truth.
- ✓ Rebuilt our military, which Obama had decimated.
- ✓ Ended our dependence on foreign oil, making America a number one energy exporter.
- ✓ With his "Warp Speed" program, Trump got the drug companies to produce a Corona Virus vaccine in 9 months instead of 5 years, which will save tens of thousands of lives.
- ✓ Trump worked for free for four years, donating his salary.
- ✓ Trump policies created 400,000-plus manufacturing jobs.
- ✓ Economic growth of 4.2 percent under Trump
- ✓ Unemployment claims hit a 49-year low.
- ✓ Median household income hit the highest level ever recorded.
- √ 3.9 million Americans off food stamps since the election.

- ✓ Trump signed the most significant package of tax cuts and reforms in history. After tax cuts, over \$300 billion poured back into the U.S. treasury in the first quarter alone.
- ✓ Opened ANWR and approved Keystone XL and Dakota Access Pipelines.
- ✓ Trump eliminated a record number of regulations.
- ✓ The Obamacare individual mandate penalty was repealed.
- ✓ Trump pressured and convinced the FDA to approve more affordable generic drugs than ever before in history. He signed executive orders that drastically reduced all drug prices by eliminating middlemen and preventing companies from making Americans pay for drugs sent to foreign countries.
- ✓ Trump signed Right-To-Try legislation, saving many lives.
- ✓ Signed VA Choice Act and VA Accountability Act, expanded VA telehealth services, walk-in-clinics, and same-day urgent primary and mental health care.
- ✓ Increased our coal exports by 60 percent; U.S. oil production recently reached an all-time high.
- ✓ The United States is a net natural gas exporter for the first time since 1957.
- ✓ Trump withdrew the United States from the job-killing Paris Climate Accord that allowed China to continue polluting.
- ✓ Trump canceled the illegal, anti-coal, so-called Clean Power Plan, saving America's coal industry and decreased our dependence on coal from China.
- ✓ Trump secured a record \$700 billion in military funding; \$716 billion is allocated for the following year.
- Trump convinced NATO allies to pay their fair share of defense. They are now contributing \$69 billion more annually on defense.
- ✓ Trump created the Space Force as a 6th branch of the Armed Forces.
- ✓ Trump withdrew the U.S. from the dangerous, one-sided Iran deal that would have given Iran a nuclear weapon in just a few years.
- ✓ Trump moved our Israeli U.S. Embassy to Jerusalem.

- ✓ Trump protected Americans from terrorists with the Travel Ban, which was upheld by the Supreme Court.
- ✓ Trump imposed tariffs on China in response to China's forced technology transfer, intellectual property theft, and chronically abusive trade practices.
- ✓ Trump began construction of a wall on the Mexican border to stop the flood of illegal aliens. The massive influx of illegals was drastically reduced. Central American convoys stopped coming.

As a result of all this success, the people's love and enthusiasm for President Trump reached a crescendo during the campaign. Trump regularly drew huge crowds to his rallies, sometimes with as many as sixty-thousand in attendance. The crowds would have been much larger if it had not been for the Corona Virus. In contrast, Biden barely campaigned at all, staying locked down in his basement. When he did venture out, only a handful of people bothered to attend his pathetic attempts at holding a rally.

Knowing that Trump's unparalleled success would make it nearly impossible to beat him at the polls, the Democrats began planning early to steal the election by fraudulent means. They had several things on their side:

- ✓ The mass media news agencies now owned by liberal globalists are no longer legitimate news outlets. These agencies are the propaganda wing of the Democratic Party. They are strongly prejudiced in favor of any Democrat candidate.
- ✓ Big Tech, such as Google, Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube, are owned by leftists who have a backroom deal with the Democratic Party. They will be allowed to keep their Section 230 protection that enables them to abridge free speech, just so long as they continue to skew search results and ban truthful reporting in order to affect elections favorably for Democrats.
- ✓ The Corona Virus had devasted the economy.
- ✓ Rioters were burning cities in Democratically controlled cities.

✓ The Corona Virus scare allowed governors to get away with creating or changing election laws on their own without action from the state legislatures, in violation of the U.S. Constitution, Section 2, Article 1, Paragraph 2.

The Democrats capitalized on the unrest in the streets. They encouraged the rioters and funded bail money for them so they could get an immediate release from jail and rejoin the riots. Biden's running mate, Kamala Harris, was herself complicit in this instant bail scheme. The Minnesota Freedom Fund was a bail fund promoted by Democratic vice-presidential nominee Kamala Harris and many staffers on Joe Biden's campaign.

Even though the virus and the riots were not Trump's fault, they manufactured lies that said he was culpable. The liberal news media buried any negative news about Biden (and there was a lot). Unless people watched One America News, Newsmax, or Fox News, they had no idea what was actually going on in the country. Those who watched standard news programs knew nothing about Biden selling America out to the Chinese, the Russians, and the Ukrainians for millions of dollars in what many consider to be deals that were tantamount to illegal bribes, the details of which are covered in Chapter 14.

The Corona Virus was of great benefit to the Democrats, so much so that it is fair to say they probably could not have stolen the election without it. It ended up being a huge win for Communist China as well, as we shall see. Here is how the Democrats worked the virus in their favor: As soon as it hit, they ridiculed Trump for shutting down travel from China to the United States. Biden called Trump a xenophobe for doing it. Nancy Pelosi went to Chinatown and did a photo op, telling people to come to Chinatown – no danger here from the virus, she said.

Later, when it was evident how much danger there was, they switched tactics. They blamed Trump for allegedly not taking action quickly enough. They began to engender as much fear as possible about the virus by insisting on the use of feckless masks and shutting down the American economy for months on end. They planned to wreck the economy as much as possible and then blame that on Trump.

Then they implemented the most important part of their plan to steal the election: They insisted, long and loud, that voting in person was far too dangerous and, therefore, mail-in ballots were required. Although the evidence is still coming in, what we do know gives us a pretty good picture of how the Democrats executed the most elaborate scheme of election fraud ever fostered upon the American public.

Mail-in ballots were sent out as never before in history. They were sent out, by the millions, to people that had not even asked for them. Many people have testified to receiving as many as half a dozen unrequested ballots. These ballots were sent to addresses of dead voters and even mailed out of state. The massive production and mail-out of these ballots made it possible for hundreds of thousands of these blank ballots to be stockpiled in key areas to be used where they would be needed the most. Many states had more people vote than are registered to vote. It is surmised that in hidden backrooms across America, Democrat operatives began filling out ballots for Biden. These were then held in reserve until needed in swing states. They carefully calculated which Democratically controlled cities would be most useful for their nefarious plan.

A speed bump that hindered their plan was the signature on the envelope. It has to match the signature on file, and it is impossible to make hundreds of thousands of fake ballots that have matching signatures on the envelope. The Democrats had a plan to ensure that the Republican poll watchers would not be able to verify that signatures were being checked. In critical cities of battleground states, particularly where Democrats were in charge of the voting locations, they made sure that the Democrat poll workers harassed and repelled Republican poll watchers. It happened the same way in every single

battleground state, so we know that this was a planned strategy orchestrated from the top of the Democratic Party.

In several of the swing states, after the vote, hearings were held wherein witnesses came forward with signed sworn affidavits, testifying to the rampant lawlessness that occurred at the polls. Republican poll watchers were intimidated, threatened, shoved around, pushed away and locked out so that they could not see what the Democrats were doing. One Republican who saw irregularities and brought attention to them was consequently threatened with a knife-wielding Democrat who forced her to withdraw. Republican poll watchers were verbally abused and threatened when they questioned why Biden ballots were run through ballot counters over and over again. They witnessed vast bins of ballots that had not one vote for Trump in them.

Whenever Democrat poll workers were on the verge of getting caught cheating by a poll watcher, they would start screaming, "Covid! Get Back! You're killing us!" They would call the police and have them removed, saying they were not social distancing. This scenario happened so frequently in different states it was apparent they had been coached to do this by higher echelons. Once Democrat poll workers separated the ballots from the envelopes, the envelopes containing the signature were discarded so that if a recount were to be ordered, there would be no way to verify the signature after the fact.

State legislatures had established several restrictions designed to prevent fraud. For example, there were laws concerning cutoff dates for receiving absentee ballots, rules for examining postmarks and signatures, witness requirements for absentee ballots, and poll watcher requirements by both parties. To circumvent these laws, the Democrats, just before the election, simply had Democrat politicians, such as a Secretary of State or governor, declare a change to the election law in key states that would relax or abolish these restrictions.

These changes to election law without state legislative consent violated the U.S. Constitution, which gives that authority exclusively to each state's legislature. Article II, Section 1, Clause 2 of the U.S. Constitution contains the Electors Clause, which states that the state legislatures have complete, absolute, and exclusive power over establishing election law in that state. Governors do not have the authority to changes those laws. Consequently, the changes made to these laws by rogue governors and other officials violated the Constitution, rendering any ballots received under the imposed new laws unconstitutional and therefore invalid. One of the changes made in many states allowed for greatly increased use of mail-in ballots because of the pandemic. This worked out so well for Democrats, that after the election, they introduced a bill that would permanently and massively expand mail-in voting.

For years Democrats have opposed voter ID. This was so that illegal aliens could vote Democrat. That is why they are in favor of illegal immigration. During this election, we found another reason the Democrats oppose voter ID; across the nation, numerous Republicans had testified that when they showed up at the polls to vote, they were turned away, being told they can't vote because the records show someone already voted under their name. Without voter ID, people can show up at the polls, say they are anybody and vote in someone else's name. Untold numbers of Democrats appeared and gave the name of a Republican they found on social media and voted Democrat in their name, stealing their vote.

Despite all this effort, Trump was winning in a landslide. However, the Democrats were ready for this. Twenty-eight states use Dominion voting machines. Before we talk about exactly how the Democrats used these machines to steal the vote, we must first review what is known about Dominion. To a great extent, the election was done using devices made by a foreign company called Dominion, founded initially in Venezuela but currently with headquarters in Canada. Canada itself does not use Dominion machines because they do not

believe they are secure. Dominion is owned by left-wing radicals who share office space with an organization funded by George Soros. Dominion gave \$50,000 to Hillary Clinton's campaign in 2016. They are anything but a neutral actor.

Dominion machines were used in twenty-eight states in the 2020 election, including every major swing state. The Dominion machines use software made by a Venezuela company called Smartmatic, which is a company started by Hugo Chavez. Chavez had Smartmatic develop voting software for him that was designed and used for the express purpose of fraudulently rigging elections.

The Secretary of State for Texas ordered an analysis of the Dominion/Smartmatic system. This was performed by a panel of forensic software experts. They concluded that the Dominion system was not secure and was susceptible to "fraudulent or unauthorized manipulation." The Secretaries report of that examination can be seen here:

https://www.sos.texas.gov/elections/forms/sysexam/dominion-d-suite-5.5-a.pdf

If you think all this sounds sinister, it gets worse. The chairman of the board of the Dominion/Smartmatic conglomerate is Peter Neffenger, a member of Joe Biden's transition team. The majority of Smartmatic shares are owned by SGO corporation, and the chairman of that company is Lord Mark Malloch Brown, a member of the UK House of Lords who also sits on the global board of George Soros Open Society Foundation, a radical left-wing and rabidly anti-Republican organization. Mayor Rudy Giuliani, along with many other officials, has stated that they consider the Dominion voting system to be "a national security problem."

Dominion Voting Systems recently received \$400 million from a Swiss bank with close ties to the Chinese Communist Party, indicating that Communist China either purchased Dominion Voting in October 8th for \$400 Million or they paid this to Dominion for the service of setting the algorithm in the

machines to weight the count in Biden's favor. Red China has much to gain by putting Biden in the White House. Trump has handled China's theft and shenanigans with a firm hand. Biden is likely to give China whatever they ask because, as we learned from Hunter's laptop emails, he is compromised by his acceptance of vast sums of money from Red China.

Peter Schweizer, best-selling author of "Secret Empires: How the American Political Class Hides Corruption and Enriches Family and Friends" explains that in In December of 2013, Hunter landed in Beijing aboard Air Force Two, accompanying his father on an official visit to China. Less than two weeks later, Hunter became a partner in a new investment company backed by the state-owned Bank of China. Hunter Biden began receiving millions of dollars from the Communist Chinese in exchange for introductions with his father, Vice President Biden. Although Joe Biden said he knew nothing of his son's business dealings, the revelation of Hunter Biden's emails showed that, according to Hunter, Joe Biden was getting fifty percent of the take from these foreign deals. In light of that, the Obama/Biden administration's unusually lax response to China's illegal activities is understood. It would appear that Biden had been paid off. This brings into focus China's motivation to elect Biden and why they paid \$400 million dollars to Dominion.

When a Dominion machine was discovered to have changed 6,000 votes from Trump to Biden, an analysis of the machines was undertaken. A forensic analysis of several of the Dominion machines in Georgia revealed that votes were indeed switched from President Donald Trump to Joe Biden, and it was more than just a "glitch" as Democrats claimed. The machines were programmed to do it. Georgia Representative Jody Hice stated that the analysis of the Dominion software code shows "In actual algorithmic terms...a vote for Trump was counted as 87% of a vote and a vote for Biden was counted as 113% of a vote...this is sufficient evidence to question the validity of the entire election in the 28 states that used Dominion software." In

another test performed by the team, a set of ballots were run through having an equal number of votes for Trump and Biden, yet the results gave a 26 percent lead for Biden.

In Michigan, a judge permitted the release of results from another forensic imaging examination of Dominion Voting Systems machines. Allied Security Operations Group did the independent audit. Their 23-page report was published, and it stated, "The team concluded that Dominion's system intentionally generates an enormously high number of ballot errors…we conclude that the Dominion voting system is… designed with inherent errors to create systemic fraud."

Now back to how the Democrats used these machines to steal the election. As has been noted, the algorithm in the software can be adjusted to weight the votes in favor of one candidate over another. Even though this was done, the landslide of votes coming in for Trump was putting him far in the lead, despite the weighted results. At least some of the Dominion machines were connected to the Internet, making it possible for the Democratic Party (and perhaps Red China) to monitor the vote as it came in. The Democrats quickly realized their carefully laid plans were being overwhelmed by Trump votes.

Apparently, the Democratic Party had a contingency plan for this event. There is a lot of circumstantial evidence which indicates that stockpiles of ballots may have been pre-filled for Biden and been trucked into Democrat-controlled cities in the key swing states where Trump was ahead. Pallets of boxes of ballots for Biden were ready and waiting to be fed into Dominion machines where they were needed. Witnesses have testified to seeing vans with Biden-Harris bumper stickers pull in and unload cartloads of ballots in the middle of the night. One truck driver for the postal service has signed an affidavit under oath and testified at a hearing that he was ordered to truck in ballots from New York to Pennsylvania, which is a violation of federal law.

In four battleground states, Wisconsin, Michigan, Georgia, and Florida, the vote count was mysteriously halted in the wee hours of the morning. Trump was far ahead in each state. Fake ballots for Biden were pulled out of hiding or rushed to locations where they were needed. In Georgia, a security camera caught Democrats in the act: Claiming that a water line had broken, they declared they had to stop the count. After the poll watchers were sent home, they opened back up, pulled cases of ballots from their hiding places, and with no one watching, started running fake ballots for Biden. The data shows a massive spike for Biden when they supposedly were shut down for the night. In one example, we see that Trump was almost a million votes ahead before the inexplicable shutdown but was behind when they started back up.

Fraud Statistics by State

Here are the facts concerning fraud in five battleground states. The data indicates there was enough fraud to change the winner of the presidency from Trump to Biden.

Pennsylvania

- 1. Affidavits from hundreds of witnesses prove 822,777 ballots were entered without independent observers present, which is against state law. When Trump was ahead by 600,000 votes, counting was stopped, and observers sent home. Then new ballots were brought in, and counting resumed without observers in violation of state law. Of those mysterious new ballots, Biden got 578,014 votes, and Trump got only 3,290 votes, a statistical anomaly that is not credible.
- 2. There were more mail-in ballots counted than ballots sent out. 1.8 million mail-in ballots were sent out, 1.4 million ballots were filled out and returned. However, 2 million ballots were counted. In other words, at least 600,000 ballots were forged by the Democratic Party and then sent

out, or Biden ballots were run multiple times. The fact that Democrats in Pennsylvania will not allow a forensic examination of the ballots shows that they have something to hide. It could easily be determined by examining the ink and the paper how many ballots were counterfeited.

Arizona

- 1. The massive number of mail-in ballots exacerbated the long-standing problem of illegal aliens voting in Arizona. Biden supposedly won by only 10,000 votes, yet it is estimated that between 36,000 and 237,000 illegal aliens in Arizona voted for Biden in the 2020 election.
- Uninspected mail-in ballots in Pima, Coconino, and Maricopa county are in the hundreds of thousands. If these are not counted, as they should not be, then Trump easily wins Arizona.
- 3. The Arizona State Senate Judiciary Committee, suspecting fraud in Maricopa County, subpoenaed the Maricopa County supervisors for access to examine the ballots and the Dominion voting machines. The supervisors refused to comply. What are they afraid of?
- 4. The subpoenas demanded access to copies of the more than 2 million ballots cast by Maricopa County voters in the Nov. 3 election, and for access to the equipment used to tabulate those ballots and the software that ran the equipment.

Michigan

- There was an unprecedented dump of 100,000 ballots at 4:30 AM after everyone was told to leave. Trucks pulled up with ballots. Counting was done without observers in violation of the law. 100 percent of the ballots were for Biden, a statistical impossibility if they were legitimate.
- 2. In Wayne county 71 precincts could not balance their books, meaning that there were more votes counted than

- there were people who voted. A forensic audit was considered, but voting officials received death threats against them and their families, so they decided to certify the votes out of fear for themselves.
- 3. Eighty-two percent of ballots were not immediately registered because the machine could not read them. This is another anomaly. There is no real-world scenario where this would happen. It has been found in forensic analysis of some Dominion machines that they can be set to reject good ballots intentionally. These ballots can then be sent off for adjudication where someone makes out new ballots with no observers. Thus, they can be marked however the Democrat poll worker wants.
- 4. Democrats are currently blocking forensic examination of these Dominion voting machines.
- 5. Of the few Dominion machines that were tested, they were found to give differing and erroneous results every time a test run was made on them.

Georgia

1. Security video shows Democrats telling everyone to leave. After everyone is gone, they quickly and nervously pull out boxes of ballots that were concealed underneath a blanket-covered table. They frantically start running them. Some of them are run over and over and over to add votes for Biden. By examining the video, it has been determined that about 130,000 votes were entered for Biden while only 2,000 votes for Trump were recorded. Since Biden only won Georgia by 10,000 votes, this one example of fraud, caught on video, would change Georgia's election.

Wisconsin

Wisconsin has strict rules for absentee ballots. They
cannot be solicited; you have to show that you want to
vote absentee, make out an application and mail it in
yourself. When counted, any absentee ballot without an

application is to be discarded. And you must be a person who is indefinitely confined at home to vote by mail-in ballot. This is Wisconsin law, as set by the State legislature as per the U.S. Constitution. Without any change in the law by the legislature, the Secretary of State illegally ordered that anyone can send in an absentee ballot. This violation of the U.S. Constitution resulted in hundreds of thousands of people voting by mail-in ballot. The U.S. Constitution, Article 2 says that the legislature alone sets election law in each state. The Secretary of State has no authority to make a change in election law. This was a violation of Wisconsin State law and a violation of the U.S. Constitution. Because of this illegal order, in a few counties alone, 226,000 votes came in illegally. If these are discounted, as they should be, Trump wins Wisconsin.

In addition to these irregularities, there was also voter fraud via out-of-state voters, underage voters, dead voters, and no proper verification of absentee ballot signatures and postmarks. Laws concerning poll observers were flagrantly violated. If the law were followed in each of these states, voter fraud would have been prevented, and Trump would have won each of these five states, making Trump the winner of the presidential election.

Sidney Powell is the world-renowned attorney who defended and obtained justice for former United States National Security Advisor, U.S. Army Lieutenant General Michael Flynn, who was falsely accused and persecuted by the Obama administration because he supported President Trump. Sidney Powell is now working tirelessly to reveal the fraud that occurred in the election of 2020. On Rush Limbaugh's radio program of December 29th, 2020, she gave a concise overview of the evidence of the fraud that was committed. Here is what she said:

"The very night of the election, many people saw something they have never seen before in the history of our elections. They saw votes being changed on the screen in front of them, going from President Trump to Mr. Biden. On top of that, the morning after the election, well, even that night, the voting stopped. They stopped counting in multiple districts, at the same time, before the vote got to 270 electors for President Trump. That's never happened before.

The only time votes have ever been stopped being counted in this country on election night was when the Broward County problem developed over the hanging chads in that one county in Florida. So, for five states to stop counting on election night, it's absolutely unprecedented. And they did it because the vote count for the electoral college was about to hit, and go over, 270 for President Trump, because of the massive outpouring of votes for him that night.

By the next morning, multiple mathematicians had contacted me and told me they knew the algorithm that had been run to change the votes. It was that obvious to people with mathematical expertise. It is a mathematical impossibility for hundreds of thousands of votes to have shown up in the middle of the night for President Biden alone. I mean, for Vice President Biden alone, and to have been injected into the system the way that they were. We have eyewitness testimony of countless people who saw votes coming in, in unsecured containers and improper means, on the night of the election.

These people have come forward, at great personal risk to themselves and their families, to provide thousands of affidavits of voting abnormalities and actual crimes that they witnessed happen on election night. The very fact that the other side is working so hard to hide all this – federal law requires transparency in our electoral process and our elections. There's a federal statute that requires all the documents pertaining to elections to be maintained for twenty-two months following an election, for the very reason that it has to be completely auditable.

A federal judge in October in Atlanta found all kinds of problems with the Dominion system that Georgia bought and crammed down for everybody across the state to use. That's where the most problems have been, is in Georgia. Witnesses have come forward. There was supposedly a water leak they shut down voting for. That was an abject lie. We have video of witnesses pulling suspect ballots out from under a table after they ran off all the observers. Somebody told me that one of the people that did that has told government officials how it happened and what happened.

But has that information been provided to the public, to know there is rampant voter fraud of all kinds? Federal violations...all the misconduct on election night – the flipping of votes by Dominion is even advertised in their manual – their ability to do that, to run a fraction to make a Biden vote count 1.6 percent and a Trump vote only count .4 percent. They've done it before. They've done it in Venezuela. They've done it in other foreign countries. They've done it in this country. We have evidence even that it was done in 2016 in California to benefit Hillary over Bernie, and it's been done in other local elections and in smaller elections and in different places.

This is the only time it's been this widespread. And the reason it didn't work this time – they've been able to shave these votes for a long time – but the reason it didn't work completely this time and they had to shut down in so many places – was because so many Trump supporters poured out on the day of the election to vote for President Trump.

And what was a landslide victory and historic victory is because it broke the algorithm. That's why they had to stop counting that night. That's why they had to bring in ballots and try to backfill. And it still doesn't work because there's still hundreds of thousands more votes than there were actual voters to vote them. The math simply doesn't add up. And if they had nothing to hide, why aren't they providing transparency into the voting system of the United States of America, the country that is founded on the rule of law? And it's supposed to be above all of this. It's absolutely the most appalling criminal operation in the history of our country."

General Flynn has recently spoken of the death threats and the violence being perpetrated against election officials who reported vote counting irregularities:

"I'm aware of people who are witnesses, one of whom is in ICU, another woman who's had her house broken into twice, another woman who's had her tires slashed, uh, multiple threats to people's lives, certainly to their job losses...that woman up in Michigan talked about that yesterday, she had to move, change her phone number, she's got two children, I mean geez. So, we cannot have that. And, I have at least one example — and it's actually more than that — but one example of someone going to the FBI reporting what is clearly a crime and then never hearing back from them...I'm telling you we cannot have that in this country in this crisis that we are going through right now."

After the biggest vote heist in history, big tech got in on the act by helping to cover up the fraud. Say anything at all about the election, and Facebook will attach a note that says everything was done fair and square, and Biden won. Give evidence to the contrary, and Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter will black out your post or even lock your account. If you google 2020 election fraud, you get pages of results claiming election fraud is all a hoax by conspiracy theorists. But if you go to an unbiased search engine, such as resulthunter.com, you find information on all the hearings, irregularities. and lawsuits surrounding the Fortunately, the hearings were covered by One America News, Newsmax, and other online news outlets. I myself spent a week watching the hearings and was floored by the massive amount of testimony of fraud and outright disregard for election law.

Why such a lack of truthfulness in reporting from Google, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube and mass media news agencies? It is because they are owned by liberals who want to define the narrative and limit free speech. They get away with this

because of Section 230 of the 1996 Communications Decency Act, which gives these large tech companies immunity from civil liability. Pressure from Republicans is mounting to repeal this. To counter this, the Democrats have made a backroom deal with these tech giants – support us, help keep us in power, and we will ensure that you can go right on abridging the free speech of Americans.

When a condescending Democrat asked Giuliani why some Democrats and RINOs don't believe there was significant election fraud, Giuliani responded:

"The reason why people are reaching those conclusions is because, like you, they don't have the discipline, maybe the intelligence, I don't know, to read through this, to read this and to read twenty more like it. You can't tell me there's no evidence, when I have a couple thousand affidavits from people. You can't tell me that your credibility is better than the credibility of those people. They swore under oath; you didn't! I gave you the answer. The answer that I gave you is that you didn't bother to interview a single witness. Just like you, they don't want to know the truth!"

Just prior to the Revolutionary War, the slogan "Taxation without representation is tyranny," became popular and variations on it became a powerful means of spreading the patriot message in 1764–1765. Americans rightly felt it was unjust to pay taxes to a government that gave them no say in English elections. "No taxation without representation" expressed a universal outrage at an injustice that led to America declaring its independence from England.

Today we are in a similar situation. The citizens of America have essentially lost the right to vote. For decades the Free World has derided foreign socialist governments that have mere show elections that are rigged from the start. We know that such people as Putin, Chavez and Maduro are not leaders that were elected in free elections. They were placed in office through powerful forces, and the elections were only a sham to seduce and quiet the people. Our elections have

likewise become a sham, no better than what is done in banana republics. American elections are now controlled by foreign voting machines that are rigged for a set outcome. Our election laws have been changed and slackened to allow for mass voter fraud. The election laws that exist are being ignored and flaunted. Democrats are complicit and feckless RINO Republicans lack the courage to fight back and demand legal and fair elections.

The upcoming election in Georgia will use the same Dominion machines as in the presidential election, so we can expect it to go to the Democrats.⁵⁴ We have no reason to expect a different result from these nefarious machines. A win in Georgia in January of 2021 will give the Democrats control of all three branches of government. At that point, there will be nothing to stop them. When that happens, you can be sure that we will never again see a free and fair election in America. The Democrats have told us their plans for a one-party system to continue in perpetuity. Here is how they have told us they will do it:⁵⁵

- They will eliminate the electoral college, which will mean that the people in major cities on the east and west coasts will choose our leaders, with the rest of America having no choice in the matter.
- > They will eliminate the filibuster in Congress so that Democrats can easily ram through their socialist agenda without any opposition from Republicans.
- They will pack the U.S. Supreme Court with liberal justices. The max number of justices on the court is nine. Five of them are Republican, four are Democrat. They will change the law to allow the addition of several more liberal Democrat justices who will legislate from the bench instead of following the Constitution.

-

⁵⁴ I was correct. The Democrats stole the Georgia elections also.

⁵⁵ Many of these points have already been implemented, just as predicted.

- They will continue their expansion of mail-in ballot voting, which is rife with fraud, allowing dead people and illegals to vote, and allowing people to vote numerous times.
- ➤ They will throw the borders open to illegals From Mexico, Central, and South America. ⁵⁶ They will encourage them to come here and vote Democrat. Biden promises on his first day in office to present legislation that would give citizenship and voting rights to 22 million illegal aliens that are already in the country.
- They will continue to oppose voter ID, so that illegals can easily vote and so that Democrats can vote under Republican names.
- Dominion machine use will increase so that no matter what, Democrats will win.
- They will outlaw all charter schools so that children will be indoctrinated in leftist socialist ideas, teaching them to become good Democrats.
- ➤ They will make Washington DC and Puerto Rico states, giving the liberals four more Democrat senators.

The liberal news media and the big tech social media platforms are all echoing the mantra of the Democrats: "Nothing to see here – it was the fairest election in history." Republican Senator Rand Paul boldly proclaimed the truth: At a congressional hearing on December 16th, 2020, he said, "The fraud happened, the election, in many ways, was stolen..."

And now the Democrats have control of all three branches of our government. They have it within their grasp to inflict upon us all the items on their socialist wish list that will ensure Republicans never win another election. Our right to vote will be gone forever. Oh, to be sure, we will still go vote. It just won't matter how we vote. The Democrats will always win, regardless. And they want us to make peace and accept it all.

⁵⁶ I was correct – Biden has stopped construction of the border wall and caravans of illegals are once again heading our way.

Without free and fair elections, we no longer have a country; we no longer have freedom. We will have nothing, except to anticipate what President Ronald Reagan warned us about: "We will spend our sunset years telling our children and our children's children what it was once like in the United States where men were free."

In Biden's inaugural address he talked about peace and unity, but the kind of unity he refers to is that of complete conformity to the wishes and whims of the Democratic Party. I am reminded of a conversation in the movie The Outlaw Josey Wales. When a crooked politician says "Our side won…now we must busy ourselves winning the peace. There's an old saying: To the victors belong the spoils." The response is apropos. It expresses what I feel – what we should all feel – about the Democrats dissembling denials of election fraud and their flippant expectation for us to quietly acquiesce to the demise of America: "There's another old saying, Senator: Don't piss down my back and tell me it's raining."

10

The Party of Control

"Now I will tell you the answer to my question. It is this. The Party seeks power entirely for its own sake. We are not interested in the good of others; we are interested solely in power, pure power...We know that no one ever seizes power with the intention of relinquishing it. Power is not a means; it is an end...The object of persecution is persecution. The object of torture is torture. The object of power is power. Now you begin to understand me."

— George Orwell's <u>1984</u>

"If the Son makes you free, you will be free indeed...the thief comes only to steal and kill and destroy; I came that they may have life, and have it abundantly." — Jesus Christ (John 8:36, 10:10)

There is such a thing as good and evil in this world. There is God, and there is Satan. That is reality. Satan hates us. He hates that some people have freedom. He wants to enslave us. He craves power over human lives, the power to hurt us, the power to wreck our lives, our cites, and our countries.

Numerous countries in the world have no freedom. These are the Communist/Socialist dictatorships, where there is no freedom of speech, no freedom of religion, no freedom to think what you want or say what you believe, where the Bible and Christianity are outlawed. How did this happen? It was not by accident or happenstance that these unfortunate countries came to suffer under the yoke of virtual slavery to a ruling regime. It happened because Satan wished it. It came

about because Satan got a foothold in the people's lives and influenced some of them with doctrines of demons that became philosophies that became government policies that now control every aspect of their lives. Satan inspired people to crave power as he does. That is how it happened.

Most of us have never experienced great power and have never felt its intoxicating effects. It is a powerful drug. Many of those who have held power have succumbed to its siren song and are now enslaved to a desire for more of it. Once men have more money than they could ever spend in a lifetime, they go after something else – power. They want it just for the sake of having it, and control is power. They want control over people.

Power-mad politicians crave ever-increasing control. It matters not if it makes sense or if it does them or anyone any good. They want power because it is their drug, and they must have it, must always strive to get more of it; they must wield it like a sword to show they have it until eventually all humanity is erased from their soul. The more they can hurt others and make them do things they don't want to do, the more they feel the intoxicating rush that comes from ultimate power over people. George Orwell in 1984 gives us a line that shows the results of unchecked power: "If you want a picture of the future, imagine a boot stamping on a human face—for ever."

Checks & Balances

Our founding fathers and the framers of our Constitution understood these things. They knew that the number-one killer of people was government. They knew the reality of the nature of man, that we have a fallen nature. They understood that power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Realizing that government was a necessary evil, they put in place numerous checks and balances to limit the power of government.

They split up the power by giving us a government with three separate but equal branches. They made the government answerable to the people by requiring periodic elections. They gave us a Constitution that limits the power of the federal government. They made it a permanent constitutional right that citizens can bear arms, not for hunting or even just for self-defense, but to provide a further check against the possibility of a future tyrannical government. England had tried to take arms away from Americans prior to the War of Independence. They knew from experience that when a government wants to take your weapons, they likely have nefarious plans of riding rough-shod over individual rights.

Thomas Jefferson was the primary draftsman of the Declaration of Independence of the United States and the nation's first Secretary of State, its second Vice President, and our third President. Let us consider his words of wisdom, which tell us why the right to bears arms is essential. He wrote, "What country can preserve its liberties if their rulers are not warned from time to time that their people preserve the spirit of resistance? Let them take arms." We are an armed populace with the blessings of our forefathers. We have guns, not so that we will inflict violence upon wayward leaders, but as a safeguard to ensure that government leaders will be deterred from inflicting violence upon us.

Another safeguard of a free republic is morality. John Adams, our first vice president and our second president, said, "Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." One of the best safeguards against a corrupt government enslaving its people is a populace constituted of a moral people surrendered to the lordship of Jesus Christ. We have enjoyed unprecedented freedom in America because of the fidelity of our founders to Jesus.

Our Lord and Savior not only sets us free from sin but when a nation follows him, we are blessed with freedom from corrupt tyrants. Returning our hearts to God is the best cure for our current problems. As it is written, "If my people who are called by my name humble themselves, and pray and seek my face and turn from their wicked ways, then I will hear from heaven and will forgive their sin and heal their land." (2 Chronicles 7:14) When a nation abandons God, they end up with corrupt leaders, and with that comes much sorrow. As the Bible says, "Like a roaring lion or a charging bear is a wicked ruler over a poor people." (Proverbs 28:15)

The Global Warming Hoax

Even though our government has numerous checks and balances to prevent politicians from riding roughshod over individual rights, politicians have discovered that there is one thing, one tool, that they can use effectively to let them bypass these safeguards and rule us with unchecked power and control. That wicked implement is fear. If they can make us afraid, if they can declare an emergency, governors, and mayors become emperors of their own empire, their whims become laws, and the people cower before them because they have allowed themselves to be made fearful.

Let us now turn our attention to how corrupt politicians, filled with lust for power and control, are implementing policies that do nothing for mankind but bolster the high they feel from making others do stupid things, just because they can make them do them. It is pure power for the sake of having power. Control for the sake of control. To the sane, such a thing makes no sense, and we can hardly believe it until we realize these leaders are not sane. They are mad with power, and fear is a tool they use to exercise their power. If they can make people afraid, they can get them to do things they would not normally do.

While politicians will sometimes try to get people to do things just to show their power, such as mandating the wearing of cloth masks that science has shown do not work,⁵⁷ more often they have a reason and a method behind their madness. The Democrat's promotion of global warming hysteria is one platform that has an ulterior motive behind it. If anyone thinks that global warming is about saving the planet from severe weather, they have fallen for the ruse and not discerned the real motive behind the movement.

Politicians have changed the name of global warming to "climate change." They had to do this because it was discovered that in the '70s, these same alarmists were screaming that pollution was going to send us into an ice age. Also, in 2006 and 2007, the average global temperature declined significantly. Instead of admitting their predictions failed and their ruse had been discovered, they quietly changed the name from global warming to climate change. That way, whether it gets colder or hotter, they can still blame it on modern technology.

A sincere investigation of the global warming craze shows that its proponents have one main goal in mind, to implement socialism. Global warming is the baby of the Democratic Party. They cuddle it, coddle it, and promote it. They continually cry that global warming will kill us all, but all the while, their real motive is the redistribution of wealth.

Let's examine how the Democrats do this. Step one in their agenda is to cry that the sky is falling. They attempt to terrify people with unfounded proclamations that the world will end if something isn't done. Remember, fear is the tool of control freaks; they make you afraid by saying things like this:

"The scientific community is telling us if we do not address the global crisis of climate change, transform our energy system away from fossil fuels to sustainable energy, the planet we're going to be leaving our kids and our grandchildren may

-

⁵⁷ https://thefederalist.com/2020/11/18/major-study-finds-masks-dont-reduce-covid-19-infection-rates/

well not be habitable. That is a major crisis," -- Senator Bernie Sanders

Echoing this sensationalism, former Vice President Joe Biden said that climate change is "the existential threat to humanity." Politicians are those who speak the loudest about global warming, while scientists are forced into submission and made to agree with these assessments, or they will not be hired or receive grant money for their projects. Many federally funded scientists have testified to being intimidated by threats to their funding if they don't acquiesce to the party line. ⁵⁸ The Democrats rake in billions of dollars off the global warming scam and are not about to let real science hinder that. Many of these scientists are not even experts in weather, yet it is claimed that they all agree with global warming, while the voice of real meteorologists is ignored. ⁵⁹

Politicians, such as former presidential candidate Al Gore, have become millionaires by promoting global warming with cap-and-trade schemes. A cap-and-trade system works like this: The government makes an environmental policy that sets outrageously low limits on harmful pollutants and then sets an arbitrary price for exceeding those emission limits. Since many companies and factories cannot operate profitably within those limits, they are forced to purchase permits to allow them to exceed those limits. These permits, which are often called "allowances," or "carbon-credits," are actually a commodity that can be bought or sold at prices determined by supply and demand. You can even go online to the Carbon Trade Exchange⁶⁰ and buy and sell these credits. Al Gore set up his own carbon credit-company, which has turned him into a multi-millionaire.

 $^{^{58}}$ https://americaswatchtower.com/2009/02/15/scientists-are-afraid-to-debate-global-warming-for-fear-of-losing-federal-grants/

⁵⁹ <u>https://thefreedomarticles.com/scientists-refute-manmade-global-warming/</u>

⁶⁰ https://ctxglobal.com/exchange-guide/

That Al Gore profited handsomely from global warming is a well-documented fact. Marketing global warming took Gore's net worth from a million dollars to one-hundred million dollars. Through passing "cap-and-trade laws" and setting up their own "carbon credit" companies, politicians are selling licenses to pollute. It is equivalent to armed gangster thugs walking into a neighborhood store with baseball bats and saying, "Hey Joey, you better buy protection from us, or someone might whack your store." The Democratic Party has become the mob.

Democrats make global warming a large part of their agenda because doing so gets them lots of money from Communist China. These politicians are in lucrative business relationships with China, relationships China has engineered in order to gain influence over American politics. The Chinese know full well that climate change agreements hurt the United States and help them, so they use their money and influence to enrichen politicians that support global warming policies.

The real purpose behind promoting alarm about climate change is to facilitate the transfer of wealth. Besides enriching themselves, politicians promote global warming hysteria because it facilitates the destruction of capitalism and the triumph of socialism. A global welfare state is their goal. They have said so themselves. For example, United Nations climate official Ottmar Edenhofer, who is co-chair of the U.N.'s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change said, "One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with the environmental policy anymore... We redistribute de facto the world's wealth by climate policy." They are no longer even trying to hide their motives. Christiana Figueres, executive secretary of U.N.'s Framework Convention on Climate Change, also made a statement about the real intent of climate change policies: "This is probably the most difficult

-

^{61 &}lt;u>https://www.newsbusters.org/blogs/nb/noel-sheppard/2007/10/03/al-gore-getting-rich-spreading-global-warming-hysteria-medias</u>

task we have ever given ourselves, which is to intentionally transform the economic development model for the first time in human history."

In her book This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate, Naomi Klein explains the mechanics of how global warming is really about destroying capitalism. In her book, she explains that the plan of the global warmists is to allow third-world countries to emit as much carbon dioxide as they wish while at the same time restricting emissions in advanced nations, which will choke their economic growth. This will allow socialist countries such as Red China to advance while at the same time holding back the economy of America. Klein gives an excellent summary of the modus operandi of global warming proponents when she says: "This is how the global warming alarmist community thinks. It wants to frighten, intimidate and then assume command. It needs a "crisis" to take advantage of, a hobgoblin to menace the people, so that they will beg for protection from the imaginary threat. The alarmists' 'better world' is one in which they rule a global welfare state. They've admitted this themselves."

Temperature Cycles are Natural

The simple fact of the matter is this: Global weather patterns go through cycles. They always have. The earth warms and cools and warms again. It has always been so. At one point in time, North America was covered with glaciers. As the planet warmed, they receded. This is basic earth science and it all happened way before the industrial age of man. The earth naturally warmed, and man had nothing to do with it.

A thousand years ago, the earth was warmer than it is now. Greenland is a perfect example of natural weather cycles. Discovered in 982 A.D. by Erik the Red (father of Leif Erikson), Erik named the country Greenland because much of it was green with vegetation, not covered with ice as it is today. The Vikings were able to farm in Greenland. After a

few hundred years, they stopped farming due to a cooler climate. The temperature continued to decline for a few hundred more years. Even the Thames River in London began to freeze regularly. The decline in temperature reversed course in about A.D. 1700. If this warming trend continues, it may again be possible to farm in Greenland, and the sea ice in the north Atlantic may again become scarce. The study of ice cores and mollusk shell data backs up this historical data, showing that in the period between 800 and 1300 A.D., the average temperature in Greenland was significantly higher than it is today.

The earth's temperature cycles are governed by sun activity, the flow of ocean currents, volcanic eruptions, the changing obliquity of the earth's tilt, and the corresponding movement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone, and many other factors. Man's contribution to the mix is minuscule. For example, the amount of CO2 greenhouse gas that the earth puts into the atmosphere through volcanic activity far outweighs what man has done. Meteorologists have pointed this out for years, but the Democrat global warming alarmists obfuscate the data and try to say it isn't so. For example, I found this misleading statement on a global warming alarmist website:

"When you realize that volcanism contributes 645 million tons of CO2 per year – and it becomes clearer if you write it as 0.645 billion tons of CO2 per year – compared to humanity's 29 billion tons per year. it's overwhelmingly clear what's caused the carbon dioxide increase in Earth's atmosphere since 1750." 63

The writer is trying to make the data show that man has caused much more CO2 than volcanoes. The data presented might carry a valid point if the earth only produced CO2 since the year 1750, the same as man. But according to these global

_

⁶² https://phys.org/news/2015-12-earth-tilt-climate.html

⁶³ https://www.forbes.com/sites/startswithabang/2017/06/06/how-much-co2-does-a-single-volcano-emit/?sh=151514c45cbf

warming alarmists, the earth is 4.5 billion years old and has been spewing forth CO2 the entire time. Assuming that the earth's emissions were not greater in the past (even though evidence indicates they were), let us calculate how much CO2 the earth has made, using the data of the global warming fanatics. Here is the formula:

<u>Earth</u>: .645 Billion tons per year x 4.5 Billion Years = 29,025,000,000 Billion tons, or 29,025,000 Trillion tons of CO2.

Now let's calculate how much CO2 man has made. The year 1750 is not accurate, as man-made CO2 was insignificant until around 1920. We need only consider the last 100 years. Here is the formula:

Man: 29 Billion tons per year x 100 years = 2,900 Billion tons.

So while man has made only 2,900 billion tons of CO2, the earth has made 29,025,000 *trillion* tons of CO2. Or to look at it another way, mankind's contribution to CO2 is only 0.00000999138 percent of the CO2 produced by volcanic activity. Even if you go with a 6,000-year-old earth as defined in Scripture, the CO2 made by the earth still far exceeds what man has done.

There are numerous scientists who take issue with the current obsession with climate change. Dr. Denis Rancourt, former professor of physics at the University of Ottawa, describes manmade global warming as a social phenomenon with no credible scientific evidence. He labels global warming as a \$1.5 trillion dollar-per-year political behemoth. He says it is hard for scientists and politicians alike to get off such a comfortable and profitable moving gravy train since their reputations, prestige, and salaries all depend on it.

Freeman Dyson is a 91-year-old mathematical physicist and scientist at Princeton University. He has been studying the effects of carbon dioxide on vegetation for the past 37 years. He states that the increase in CO2 has been very beneficial for the Earth:

"There are huge non-climate effects of carbon dioxide which are highly favorable...the whole Earth is growing greener as a result of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, so it's increasing agricultural yields, forests and all kinds of growth in the biological world — and that's more important and more certain than the effects on climate. It's enormously important for food production."

Dr. Judith Curry is Professor and former Chair of the School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences at the Georgia Institute of Technology. She writes:

"No one questions that surface temperatures have increased since 1880...however, there is considerable uncertainty and disagreement about the most consequential issues: whether the warming has been dominated by human causes vs. natural variability, how much the planet will warm in the 21st century, and whether the warming is dangerous. We have been misled in our quest to understand climate change by not paying sufficient attention to natural causes of climate variability, in particular to the sun and from the long-term oscillations and ocean circulations. How, then, and why have climate scientists come to a consensus about a very complex scientific problem that the scientists themselves acknowledge has substantial and fundamental uncertainties? Climate scientists have become entangled in an acrimonious political debate."

Global warming is a farce and does not stand up to sound science. It is a fiction manufactured by Democrats to increase taxes and make companies purchase ridiculous "carbon credits," which is nothing but a fancy name for another liberal tax scheme to promote socialism. The cult of global warming is a plot used by foreign governments such as Red China to harm western, particularly American, productivity.

Liberal voters have swallowed this con, not realizing it is a shake-down scam hatched in the bowels of their very own party. Those in the higher echelons of power in the Democratic Party understand that climate change is their tool, a device to make people afraid, a means to increase taxes, and an instrument to get money to their foreign accomplices who will return large portions of it to them through off-shore backroom deals. It is a means for politicians to get rich by shaking down corporations and forcing them to buy "protection" from the very laws they have created. It is the theft of America and the gangsterization of politics. The extreme environmental wacko-ism of man-made global warming is nothing but another communist lie. It is a smokescreen to bring in more taxes to increase socialism and government control.

Finally, it is an institutionalized weapon in the hands of wicked politicians, a device that gives them power and control over people so they can feel the head-rush of wielding power for the sake of power. It is control over businesses and people by the means of fear propagated by fake science. The Democratic Party, by its full-on fanatical embrace of global warming, has shown itself to be an out-of-control run-away train of ruthless power and corruption. They are the Big Brother of George Orwell's 1984, and if they are not stopped, we can anticipate the future Orwell warned of: "Imagine a boot stamping on a human face—for ever."

11

The Party of Antichrist

For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work; only he who now restrains will do so until he is taken out of the way. Then that lawless one will be revealed. (2 Thessalonians 22:7-8)

Scripture speaks of a future world ruler, a man so wicked, so opposed to all that Jesus stands for, that he is called the Antichrist. He will come in the last days when the people of the world have turned their hearts toward evil. He will be an insidiously malevolent man, operating with supernatural power given to him by Satan. The Bible also says that there is a spirit of Antichrist operating in the world today which is called the *mystery of lawlessness*. This is the devil's influence working to bring in conditions for the coming Antichrist. In 1 John 4:3 we read, "Every spirit that does not confess Jesus is not from God. This is the spirit of the antichrist, which you heard was coming and now is in the world already." So we see, the spirit of the Antichrist – the mystery of lawlessness – is already here and actively working against Christianity. This is a biblical fact, and since this is so, we should be able to look about us and see it at work. To ignore this evil influence, to pretend it is not happening is to deny what God's word says.

There are certain political realities that Satan is working on to prepare the way for the Antichrist. First of all, freedom needs to be curtailed. Totalitarianism and globalism need to increase so that the Antichrist can implement his one-world government. The changes to bring this about are political changes, and for that reason, Satan is a political animal. Much of what Satan orchestrates is in the political realm. The enemy of our souls uses evil politicians to accomplish his purposes.

Now politics is not the answer to the world's problems. Jesus is. I have heard that maxim preached from the pulpit nearly all my life. And it is true. However, it is not the whole truth. There is more to it than that. The reason I say that is because many people have misinterpreted that statement to mean that politics doesn't matter or shouldn't matter much to the Christian. I want to explain why politics should matter a great deal to Christians.

Jesus *is* the answer. But we must realize that until He returns, the works of Christ are performed on this earth by the hands and feet of Christians. So for right now, the answer is not just waiting for Jesus' return and hoping God's will happens. The answer lies in the hands of Christians who will pray for God's will to be done on earth as it is in heaven, and then get out there in the world and work to bring it to pass. Leading people to Christ is the foundation, but working for God's will to happen on earth should extend beyond that into every area of human endeavor.

Satan's Playground

As we examine history, we see that politics has always been a battleground between good and evil. Consider the rise of Adolf Hitler as an example. His effort to take over the entire world was a reign of terror unequaled in all of earth's history, causing the death of 85 million people. Millions more were wounded and crippled. Cities were reduced to rubble by years of bombing. It took a worldwide effort to stop the satanic evil that was Adolf Hitler.

But how did all that evil start? With a small political party. The National Socialist German Workers' Party, to be exact, otherwise known as the Nazis. If only Germans had been more concerned about the political happenings in their own country. If only more German Christians had been more politically active instead of staying in their little Christian bubble and ignoring what was happening around them.

The danger was readily apparent to any German who cared enough to read Hitler's book, Mein Kampf, which was written in 1925 before Hitler came to power. In it, he taught that it was the right of the strong to exterminate the weak. Hitler was indeed a beast, believing a nation should behave like a wild animal and devour those that could be conquered, and he said so loud and clear in his book. Survival of the fittest through war was the way of evolution, he said.

It was no secret what Hitler was. But there were not enough Christians in Germany who cared. And it wasn't that there were not plenty of Christians. Germany was the springboard of Protestantism and the birthplace of Martin Luther. There were enough Christians, just not enough Christians who cared about what was happening to their government. It was politics, you see, and cultured people shouldn't mix religion and politics, or so they had been led to believe.

Satan has a plan that he has been trying to implement throughout history. From the Tower of Babel to Hitler's Germany to the United Nations, to the World Health Organization, and to the European Union, we detect an unseen force working to bring about a one-world government. I do not mean to imply that everyone involved in those movements was evil. I am saying Satan has had a hand in corrupting, twisting, and directing those endeavors toward a global government. Scripture reveals a devil who plans to put one evil man at the head of such a government. That is Satan's plan, and we dare not be ignorant of his schemes.

As part of this plan, Satan has fostered and influenced evil men to establish totalitarian governments that ban the Bible and forbid Christianity. Today fifty-two countries have already made the Bible illegal. Satan has been relentlessly and purposely pursuing his plans for governmental control over the world, and that is why politics is the devil's playground. He uses it to stamp out Christianity, ban the Bible and persecute Jews.

Satan's Work in America

As I have illustrated, Satan attempts to use political processes to gain control over a country. Satan's diabolical efforts are plainly seen in America in the Democratic Party. As I have documented, that party favored slavery during the Civil War. They cruelly forced the Indians onto reservations. They opposed civil rights in the 1960s. They voted against any mention of God in campaign literature. They viciously promote the murder of innocent babies. They encourage homosexuality and transgenderism. They want men in ladies rest rooms. They are in favor of a large totalitarian socialist government. Their open border stance is in line with Satan's one-world plan. They are anti-Semitic, frequently siding with terrorists against the nation of Israel. They oppose any mention of Jesus within the public political arena. They accuse Christians of being "anti-science" because we believe in prayer and a creator.

Under Obama's Democrat regime, we saw no mention of Jesus, but only rainbow lights over the White House, celebrating same-sex marriage. Obama gloried in sodomy. He proclaimed we were no longer a Christian nation. Republican President Trump was a big contrast, for he openly spoke of the value of personally knowing Jesus Christ and frequently attended prayer meetings. He brought prayer and Christmas celebration back into the White House, two things Obama had forbidden. Satan has launched a campaign of lies and deceit against President Trump that is unprecedented. It is abundantly clear what is happening right here in America: Good and evil are at war with each other within the political arena. Because Satan is involved in politics, he tries to keep Christians out of it so he can work unopposed. Those who oppose Christians influencing politics like to "separation of Church and State!" When they do, they are doing the devil's bidding. Satan does not want the morals of Christianity to mess up what he is trying to do.

Spiritual Warfare

Ephesians 6:12 says: "For we do not wrestle against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the authorities, against the cosmic powers over this present darkness, against the spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly places."

We will consider what that passage means, but first, let us consider what it does not mean. It does not mean that good men do not ever struggle against flesh and blood. That should be obvious, otherwise, a Christian police officer could never apprehend a bank robber. It would also mean America was wrong to go to war against Hitler's Germany. Indeed, sometimes we do wrestle against flesh and blood, just as Jesus did when he chased the money changers out of the temple with a whip.

In the Old Testament, we see where Israel's spiritual battles often involved going to war against evil nations. In the New Testament, we see the Apostle Paul sometimes took a stand against evil people. We find Paul saying such things as:

Some...concerning faith have made shipwreck: Of whom is Hymenaeus and Alexander; whom I have delivered unto Satan, that they may learn not to blaspheme...Among them are Armenaeus and Phileitus, men who have gone astray from the truth...their message will spread like cancer. Hymenaeus and Philetus are of this sort, who have strayed concerning the truth...whose mouths must be stopped, who subvert whole households, teaching things which they ought not... (1 Tim 1:19-20, II Timothy 2:16-18 & Titus 1:11)

So yes, we fight against unseen spiritual principalities and powers, but sometimes that means opposing people, movements, political parties, governments, and nations that have aligned themselves with those evil principalities and powers. In the Book of Revelation, Jesus had a message for the church in Pergamum. There were some false teachers there that had to be dealt with. Jesus warned: "Therefore repent. If not, I will come to you soon and war against them with the

sword of my mouth." (Rev 2:16) Jesus was not saying these false teachers would make his return happen sooner. He was saying He would visit that church through the Holy Spirit operating in the elders of the Church, and Jesus says that he would make war by "the sword of my mouth." In other words, elders and prophets would rise up under the guidance and power of the Holy Spirit and publicly and powerfully oppose their false teaching.

In all these cases, we see Jesus and the apostles wrestling against flesh and blood. What then does Ephesians 6:12 mean when it says we do not wrestle against flesh and blood? It means that our primary fight is not against people but against demonic hosts. It doesn't mean we never have to oppose people. It merely means that we rely on the spiritual power of prayer and the gifts of the Holy Spirit and that we recognize the real enemy is not deceived people but the devil who has deceived them.

As an aside, let me say this: The Democrats are not the real enemy. Satan and his lies are the real enemy. Democrats are just people who have been fooled by the devil's lies. We need to pray for them. Nancy Pelosi recently said that "the enemy is inside the House of Representatives." She went on to say that she believes Republican Congressmen want to bring guns into the House to murder her. This sort of over-the-top rhetoric is perilous for our country. She appears to have taken leave of her senses. The Democrats have become paranoid because they know they are guilty of committing election fraud, and they are fearful of the consequences of their evil actions. We must pray for them to see the light. Some of them already have. But I digress.

As I was saying, there are times that spiritual evil manifests physically through people, and at such times, a physical response may be appropriate. Let us not forget there was a time when Jesus had to say to Peter, "Get behind me, Satan! You are a hindrance to me. For you are not setting your mind on the things of God, but on the things of

man." (Matthew 16:23) I said this earlier in this writing, but it bears repeating: We must not sit on our laurels and be so heavenly minded that we are no earthly good. A famous preacher of the eighteenth century said it concisely: "The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men should do nothing." We must be actively involved in politics and counter evil when we see it.

America at a Crossroads

In 1967 President Ronald Reagan said, "Freedom is a fragile thing and is never more than one generation away from extinction. It is not ours by inheritance; it must be fought for and defended constantly by each generation, for it comes only once to a people. Those who have known freedom and then lost it have never known it again."

America is at a crossroads. Opposition to the laws of God has reached a crescendo. Never in my lifetime have I seen such debauchery and vile wickedness paraded in the streets and across the airwaves such as it is today. From high government leaders down to the most common of people, godless men and women revel in their sexual perversions and blood lust for the genocide of innocent babies. They are clamoring for a godless socialist country. They would turn the USA into the USSR.

They are proud of their wickedness. They are working relentlessly to abolish our Constitution and bring in an evil government that deprives us of our God-given rights. Who can say what horrors would follow? If we refuse to take a stand concerning moral issues, then we have acquiesced to evil. Let us not be like the Christians of Germany in the 1930s. They ignored the wickedness in a political party and let it go on. As a result, they saw their nation destroyed and the world wrecked.

Jesus is the answer, but that answer will only come to the world as we surrender our hearts and lives to the point where we become doers of the word and not hearers only. We must care enough to work hard to change the world according to biblical principles and standards. We must not put our light under a bushel but put it up on a stand for the world to see.

We must be so empowered by the Holy Spirit that we dare to speak up and promote righteousness while opposing evil in every form. Abraham Lincoln said: "Elections belong to the people. It's their decision. If they decide to turn their back on the fire and burn their behinds, then they will just have to sit on their blisters." In other words, fail to let our Christian morals influence our nation, and it shall be ourselves and our children's children who will suffer. We ignore our civic duty at our own peril. As President Ronald Reagan so aptly put it, "If we ever forget we are one nation under God, then we will be a nation gone under."

Jesus and his love, his forgiveness, his righteousness, his teaching about the judgment to come – all these truths and more must be proclaimed in every venue, in the backyards, the streets, the alleys, in the marketplace, in homes, and the halls of Congress. The message of Christ must go forth from the Christian pulpit and permeate the podiums of political discourse where it can change the course of a wayward nation before it is too late.

We have a political party in America that wants to continue slaughtering babies at the rate of nearly a million a year. Hear the word of God:

"If you faint in the day of adversity, your strength is small. Rescue those who are being taken away to death; hold back those who are stumbling to the slaughter. If you say, 'Behold, we did not know this,' does not he who weighs the heart perceive it? Does not he who keeps watch over your soul know it, and will he not repay man according to his work?" (Proverbs 24:10-12)

The Democrat War on Christianity

The Democratic National Committee has taken a stand against orthodox Christianity. On August 17, 2019 they passed a resolution that strongly denounces Christian belief and action, which states:

"Those most loudly claiming that morals, values, and patriotism must be defined by their particular religious views have used those religious views, with misplaced claims of "religious liberty," to justify public policy that has threatened the civil rights and liberties of many Americans, including but not limited to the LGBT community, women, and ethnic and religious/nonreligious minorities."

In other words, they want Christians to sit down and shut up about the evils of premarital sex, homosexuality, transgenderism, and gay marriage. They want Christians to stop offending Muslims by quoting Jesus' words: "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one comes to the Father except through me." (John 14:6) They want a Christianity without Christ and an America without the God of the Holy Bible. Religion is acceptable to Democrats just so long as it is bereft of biblical morals.

The Democratic National Committee unanimously passed a resolution in August of 2019 which says, "Religiously unaffiliated Americans overwhelmingly share the Democratic Party's values." Imagine that – Democrats are celebrating the fact that their values appeal to godless people. The truth is, Democrats have, for quite some time now, made it abundantly clear that Christian morals and values have no place within their party. If Christians show support for traditional marriage, Democrats label them homophobic bigots. If they oppose murdering babies via abortion, they are labeled racist and misogynist.

In 2012 the Democratic Party voted to drop the word "God" from their official party platform statement. While their 2008 platform mentioned God twelve times, the 2012

version has no reference to God whatsoever. Obama became infamous for his quote wherein he condescendingly derided people who "cling to guns or religion." The general feeling Democrats have about religion is the same as that of Karl Marx, the founder of communism, who expressed his contempt for faith in God with his statement, "religion is the opiate of the people."

Under Obama, the Democratic Party ramped up its persecution of Christians, attempting even to censor pastors' sermons. In 2014 the city government in Houston issued subpoenas requiring pastors to submit their sermons to the city. Any ministers failing to comply would be held in contempt of court and could be thrown into jail. The lesbian mayor wanted to make sure that no pastor read any Bible passages about homosexuality. The city government was no longer satisfied that the Church stay out of politics. They were now going to make sure that political power ruled the Church and controlled what they said. Once upon a time, such things only happened in Red China and Communist Russia. Now they happen here in America.

In the Obama regime, public officials were fired merely because of what they wrote in a Bible study. Then on April 6th, 2009, President Obama stated, "One of the great strengths of the United States...is we do not consider ourselves a Christian nation." With presidential approval, the persecution spread like wildfire; bakers, florists, and wedding photographers were hit with massive fines simply for wanting to run their businesses according to their Christian beliefs and not participate in homosexual "weddings." Democrats were content to let Christians quietly practice their faith within the walls of their own homes and churches, but if they dared let their Christian faith be seen in public, they would be treated as criminals. Obama's IRS was weaponized against anyone with conservative ideals. Organizations seeking non-profit

⁶⁴ <u>https://www.npr.org/2017/10/27/560308997/irs-apologizes-for-aggressive-scrutiny-of-conservative-groups</u>

status had their applications held up indefinitely. Over 400 groups sued and won. Later, the IRS stated that it "expresses its sincere apology" for its persecution of these groups

The War on Christmas

The Democratic Party despises the name of Christ and has equal disdain for Christmas because it is about Christ. Obama broke with longstanding tradition and refused to have a Christmas tree in the White House. Fortunately, President Trump reinstituted the practice by ensuring there was a Christmas tree every year at the White House. First Lady Melania Trump brought back the Nativity scene to the White House, which had also been cast aside by the Obamas. The fervor for saying Happy Holidays instead of Merry Christmas shows the desire of liberals to remove Christ from Christmas. It was not enough for the Democrats that they got prayer and Bible reading removed from our schools in the 1960s, but they have also successfully banned the singing of Christmas carols with any Christian overtones. Christmas break can no longer be called that. It is now called "winter break." Easter break is now called "spring break." Across the nation, Democrats, in cahoots with atheist organizations, are erasing our history and removing crosses and statues across the land. Anything to do with Christ must go.



Church Arise!

This is the spirit of Antichrist, the mystery of lawlessness at work. It is wickedness. It is pure evil that must be opposed. It is time for the Church to arise and fight back. Apathy can be more deadly than a virus. Every Christian must oppose the Democratic Party just as every German should have opposed Hitler's Nazi party. You cannot serve both God and Satan, and you cannot be a Christian and support a party that murders the innocent, flaunts vile wickedness, and denounces Christ and the morals He taught. "Come out from among them and be separate, says the Lord. Do not touch what is unclean, And I will receive you." (2 Co 6:17) A Christian who is not an activist for righteousness in some manner has retreated from the battlefield. I will conclude this chapter with these words of wisdom from a world traveler who came to America in the 17th century. He made this profound observation:

"I sought for the greatness and genius of America in her commodious harbors and her ample rivers, and it was not there. I sought for the greatness and genius of America in her fertile fields and boundless forests, and it was not there. I sought for the greatness and genius of America in her rich mines and her vast world commerce, and it was not there. I sought for the greatness and genius of America in her public-school system and her institutions of learning, and it was not there. I sought for the greatness and genius of America in her Democratic Congress and her matchless Constitution, and it was not there. Not until I went into the churches of America and heard her pulpits flame with righteousness did I understand the secret of her genius and power. America is great because she is good, and if America ever ceases to be good, she will cease to be great."

12

The Party of Incarceration

Remember those in prison as if you were their fellow prisoners, and those who are mistreated as if you yourselves were suffering. (Hebrews 13:3)

For the Lord hears the needy and does not despise his own people who are prisoners. (Psalms 69:33)

Democrats like to lock people up they don't like. They throw them in prison or into prison camps. They prosecute them, close their businesses down and ruin them financially through endless and vigorous legal prosecution. The Democratic Party has become the Gestapo of Nazi Germany and the KJB of the former Soviet Union. In this chapter we examine the atrocities they have committed.

Japanese-Americans

In the 1940s the Democrats committed another outrage that was reminiscent of the Democratic imprisonment of American Indians upon reservations in the 1800s. I am speaking of Executive Order 9066 issued by Democrat President Franklin D. Roosevelt. On February 19, 1942, Roosevelt ordered that all Japanese immigrants and their descendants be imprisoned even though most of them were U.S. citizens. How did the Democrats get away with this horrendous miscarriage of justice and violation of constitutional rights? The same way they are doing it today. The same way they have always done it. By using fear to get people to blur the distinction between right and wrong. With

the bombing of Pearl Harbor by Imperial Japan, people were just afraid enough to go along with it.

The War Relocation Authority rounded up more than 100,000 people and detaining them in crowded barracks surrounded by barbed-wire fences. As with the forced relocation of peaceful American Indians, these harmless and peaceful Japanese-American citizens were only allowed to take whatever possessions they could carry. They were forced into concentration camps consisting of crude, cramped, hastily and cheaply built quarters, often built on remote and barren sites located hundreds or thousands of miles from their home. Many lost their businesses, homes, and farms as a result. A number of them died in the camps due to insufficient medical care and emotional stress. Some were killed by military guards for supposedly resisting orders.



Internment Camp for Japanese-Americans

Republican Governor Carr (Colorado) publicly opposed the internment of Japanese-Americans, calling it "inhumane and unconstitutional." In his speeches and writings, he railed against these extreme and illegal measures that robbed U.S. citizens of their civil rights and personal property. Finding himself unable to stop the internment of Japanese in his state, he nevertheless continued to be an advocate for the Japanese and worked tirelessly to ensure those imprisoned in his state were treated well, proclaiming, "If you harm them, you must harm me." His excellent work for these unfortunate people brought down the ire of powerful Democrats, and it ultimately cost him his career. It took a Republican president to finally make an official admission by the United States that a great injustice was done. In 1988 Republican President Ronald Reagan signed the Civil Liberties Act, which offered a formal apology for this sad affair and paid \$20,000 to each survivor.

Dinesh D'Souza

Filmmaker, lecturer, and author Dinesh D'Souza has been named one of America's most influential conservative thinkers by the New York Times Magazine. He is best known for his informative documentaries. Most notable are: *Obama's America* (2012), *Hillary's America* (2016), *Death of a Nation* (2018), and *Trump Card* (2020). His film *Obama's America* enraged President Obama. The Obama administration came after him with a vengeance. It is common knowledge that Obama weaponized the IRS, the FBI, and the entire Department of Justice to go after anyone who was a serious threat to him politically. *Obama's America* put D'Souza on their radar. They locked their crosshairs on him, looking for anything they might use to hang him.

They found nothing, but they kept looking and watching. Finally, two years after *Obama's America* was released, they caught him in a mistake. They managed to find an insignificant federal campaign law that he had violated. He had given \$20,00 in 2014 to a New York politician. That gift did not jive with the complicated campaign donation rules. However, the penalty for this had always been just a fine. His attorney responded to the charges by saying his client "did not act with any corrupt or criminal intent whatsoever."

No one had ever gone to jail over something so insignificant, but that was about to change. The Democrats

desperately wanted D'Souza incarcerated for daring to make a movie critical of Obama. They were able to arrange a stiff penalty by selecting a prosecutor and judge that were Obama sycophants. Obama's minions would see to it that he was put away, and he was. D'Souza was sentenced to eight months in a minimum-security facility, received a \$30,000 fine, five years' probation, community service, and mandatory psychological counseling to try to make him think like a liberal. The severity of the sentence – for something that no one had ever received jail time for – shows the intense hatred that the Obama regime had for D'Souza. Many years later, President Trump pardoned D'Souza, realizing it was nothing but a political hit job.

General Flynn

Using the FBI as his own personal KJB, and the intelligence agencies like his own secret police, Obama went after anyone who was a danger to his regime. Like Hitler, who was careful not to issue his war-crime orders in writing, so also Obama had his minions carry out his wishes without leaving a clear track back to him.

U.S. Army Lieutenant General Michael Flynn was a war hero in Iraq and Afghanistan. He has an exemplary record. Nevertheless, he garnered Obama's ire, so he had to be thoroughly punished. What was his crime? His first mistake was to dare to disagree with Obama. The lie that ISIS had been defeated was being pushed by Obama, and General Flynn knew better. At the time, Flynn was head of the Defense Intelligence Agency, and he embarrassed Obama by refusing to go along with Obama's deception that the Islamist enemy had been defeated. While Obama was dismissing ISIS as nothing but a "JV team," Flynn reported the actual facts to the Senate Armed Services Committee, warning that ISIS was a growing threat. Obama fired Flynn soon afterward for "insubordination."

By the time Trump won the 2016 election, Obama already had his deep state⁶⁵ operatives setting into motion his plans to get rid of Trump by creating the lie that he and his administration were colluding with the Russians. However, Trump was thinking of making General Flynn his national security adviser. Obama knew this would be a problem, because Flynn, with his vast experience and connections, would be savvy enough to detect what his deep state cronies were up to.

Obama tried to forestall that problem by repeatedly warning Trump not to hire Flynn, but Trump hired him anyway. The deep state continued its campaign against Flynn. Obama's former director of national intelligence, James Clapper, who became a CNN reporter, began proclaiming on national television that Flynn was a "Russian asset."

Deep state hold-overs in the FBI and the intelligence services launched an investigation. They arrested Flynn on trumped-up charges (no pun intended). It is now known that there was no basis even to investigate him. The investigation turned up nothing, but after many days of interrogation, they finally came up with some statement by Flynn wherein he seemed to remember something differently. The FBI agents who interviewed Flynn said they thought he was truthful in his statements. One agent, wondering why they were investigating Flynn, wrote in his notebook, "What is our goal? Truth/Admission or to get him to lie, so we can prosecute or get him fired?"

Finding no criminality in his actions, they finally charged him with a process crime, alleging that he had not reported something accurately under FBI questioning. Flynn's legal fees had already financially ruined him, and now they were trying to imprison him, not for a few months, but for life. Such

_

⁶⁵ Deep State Definition: Democrats holding influential positions within government agencies or the military who are involved in the secret manipulation or control of government policy or practices in contradiction to the President's or the state department's stated policies and objectives.

is the hate of Obama and his ilk. Eventually, investigations revealed that Flynn had never done anything wrong and that the investigation into Flynn was politically motivated. The Attorney General declared that the Justice Department was dismissing all charges against Flynn. Still, the Democrat Judge, another Obama minion appointed by Democrat President Clinton, refused to stop the prosecution, even though there was no one to act as prosecutor, an unheard-of situation. When ordered by a higher court to dismiss the case, the judge refused. Finally, President Trump pardoned Flynn, and the judge relented and dismissed the case. Although Flynn avoided a 15-year prison sentence – which at his age could have been a life sentence – he was still financially ruined by the ordeal.

George Papadopoulos

Holdovers from the Obama administration within the deep state concocted an illegal scheme that involved cruelly abusing an innocent person by the name of George Papadopoulos. Their plan was motivated by an effort to coverup the unlawful domestic political surveillance the Obama administration had engaged in and also to sway the 2016 presidential election in Hillary Clinton's favor via the nowinfamous Russia collusion hoax.

Papadopoulos was an unpaid campaign volunteer for Donald Trump during the 2016 presidential election. Deep state actors within the FBI and U.S. intelligence agencies framed Papadopoulos in order to create the false Russia collusion narrative. Here is how they did it.

Step 1 - The CIA instructed one of their operatives (Joseph Mifsud) to approach Papadopoulos and masquerade as a Russian intelligence asset. He was instructed to tell Papadopoulos a fictional narrative. The false information he was ordered to plant was that the Russians had Hillary Clinton's emails.

- **Step 2** The CIA sent another agent (Erika Thompson) to lure Papadopoulos into repeating the false information that the first CIA agent had fed Papadopoulos.
- **Step 3** The CIA then used Thompson's testimony as "proof" that the Trump campaign supposedly was in cahoots with Russian spies. They saw to it that the false allegations were passed on to James Comey, Andrew McCabe, and Peter Strzok of the FBI.
- **Step 4** The FBI used this to justify opening an official counterintelligence investigation against the 2016 Trump campaign.
- **Step 5** The CIA and FBI ran similar campaigns with other people and spread rumors to the press about this that would bolster the fake evidence already disseminated.
- **Step 6** The FBI investigated and prosecuted Papadopoulos to bolster the appearance of their entire case against the Trump campaign. They planned to make it look like he lied when questioned and then convict him on some insignificant process crime so as to add credence to their false allegations about a Trump-Russia conspiracy. Since they had nothing to substantiate a charge against Papadopoulos, they threatened to ruin him and his family financially if he did not plead guilty, which he eventually agreed to in order to save his family.

The evil Democrat operatives in the deep state pulled this mendacious and malevolent ploy off flawlessly. Nevertheless, as time went on, the facts leaked out, and it is now common knowledge that the Democratic Party used their deep state operatives to frame Papadopoulos in order to impugn Donald Trump. After all was said and done, President Trump pardoned Papadopoulos. To date, the criminals in the FBI and CIA who engineered this operation have not been brought to justice.

Lockdowns

The draconian lockdowns in response to the Chicom virus are ruining lives and businesses. Children are going hungry, and families are becoming homeless because of it. Across America, Republican states and cities have opened up their businesses, but in Democrat-controlled states and towns, governors and mayors behave like emperors, creating laws by decree and ordering police to raid churches, businesses, and homes. Mothers are being hauled off to jail for not wearing masks, even when they were socially distanced. Businesses are being raided and fined, and restaurants are having their licenses revoked. The police state has come to America.

At first, these lockdowns were used by Democrats to hurt Trump's reelection campaign by hurting the economy. After the election, they are being continued because Democrat governors are drunk on power and enjoy seeing people confined to their homes. The destruction of small businesses is part of the socialist game-plan. The more turmoil that can be instigated, the easier it will be to bring in socialism. That is how it has been done in other socialist countries. Socialism feeds on unrest. Furthermore, destroying small businesses is a boon to big corporations. As mom-and-pop shops are put out of business, more money will flow to Walmart and other large corporations that have thrown their support and donations to the Democratic Party.

<u>13</u>

The Party of Murder

"But whoever hurts one of these little ones...it would be better for him if a millstone were hung about his neck and that he were drowned in the depth of the sea." (Matthew 18:6 NMB)

It has been said that liberalism is a religion and that abortion is its sacrament. This is most certainly true. The most important tenet of the Democratic Party is defending the "right" of promiscuous women to murder their babies. In the United States, 85 percent of women who get abortions are single women. In order to evade the consequences of their fornication, they murder their babies. When Trump won the election of 2016, stand-up comedian Jena Friedman, proclaimed "Get your abortion now" while you still can. Fear that Trump would get Roe v. Wade overturned was, and still is, one of the main reasons Democrats hate President Trump.

Democrats campaign vigorously in support of abortion, insisting that abortions be given free, right up to the day of delivery, and that it be paid for by our tax dollars. They call it an investment in "women's health." How appalling it is to have the cold-blooded murder of an innocent little baby referred to as "women's health."

The founder of Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger, was a racist and eugenics proponent. Her intent to exterminate the Blacks is well documented. Speaking to a Ku Klux Klan group, she spoke in favor of "breeding for the gradual suppression, elimination and eventual extinction, of defective stocks — those human weeds which threaten the blooming of

the finest flowers of American civilization."⁶⁶ In a letter, penned by Sanger on December 10th, 1939, she said, "We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population..."⁶⁷ She would have fit right in with the Nazi party in Germany. Just like Hitler, she had visions of building a master race.

In the book of Genesis, we read that God told Adam and Eve, "be fruitful and multiply; spread out across the earth and multiply upon it." (Genesis 9:7) In defiance of God's command, Margaret Sanger made the following statements:⁶⁸

"But for my view, I believe that there should be no more habies."

"The most merciful thing that the large family does to one of its infant members is to kill it."

"The most serious evil of our times is that of encouraging the bringing into the world of large families."

"No woman shall have the legal right to bear a child, and no man shall have the right to become a father, without a permit for parenthood."

Although Margaret Sanger has been burning in the fires of Hell for over fifty years since her death in 1966, the Democratic Party continues to reverence her. In March of 2009, Democrat Secretary of State Hillary Clinton accepted Planned Parenthood's Margaret Sanger Award and said these words:

"I admire Margaret Sanger enormously, her courage, her tenacity, her vision ... And when I think about what she did all those years ago...I am really in awe of her...Margaret

-

⁶⁶ https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2020/07/23/racism-eugenics-margaret-sanger-deserves-no-honors-column/5480192002/

⁶⁷ https://www.americamagazine.org/politics-society/2017/11/27/margaret-sanger-was-eugenicist-why-are-we-still-celebrating-her

⁶⁸ https://tfpstudentaction.org/blog/margaret-sanger-quotes

Sanger's work here in the United States and certainly across our globe is not done."

The Democratic Party is the party of baby killers. And the killing is not only just for the sake of killing, but it is killing for money; it is murder for hire. Abortion is big business. Many of these abortionists, greedy for money, want to seduce as many women as possible into getting rid of their babies, and as they do, they lick their lips in anticipation of selling the body parts to the highest bidder. Recently a Planned Parenthood abortionist admitted under oath that she did indeed say, "I want a Lamborghini," in relation to "what I would receive in compensation" for "fetal tissue" from aborted babies. 69

At the other end of the spectrum are the victims, decent people who have been lied to and tricked into thinking abortion is no big deal. Countless women have testified that they were talked into getting an abortion by a high-pressure abortion salesperson. Many of those regret their decision. They tell of medical complications, emotional trauma and continual feelings of guilt, which they struggle with for years afterward. Some of these suffered severe medical complications and some have even died.

These injured people don't need condemnation. They are already getting that from their conscience. They need to know that Jesus will forgive their sin. They need to know that repentance and faith in Jesus will cleanse them and heal their broken spirit. God forgave the Apostle Paul for killing Christians before he met Christ. God will forgive those who killed their babies if they choose to turn away from death and embrace life through repentance and faith. But for those who defend or continue to engage in this evil practice, the Bible pronounces doom upon them. The Scripture says those who

⁶⁹ https://aclj.org/pro-life/planned-parenthood-abortionist-forced-to-admitunder-oath-that-she-said-i-want-a-lamborghini-in-relation-to-compensation-foraborted-babies-body-parts

"do not obey the truth, but obey unrighteousness, there will be wrath and fury. There will be tribulation and distress for every human being who does evil." (Romans 2:8-9) The Bible says the time will come when, "The Lord Jesus will be revealed from heaven with His mighty angels in flaming fire, dealing out retribution to those who do not know God and to those who do not obey the gospel of our Lord Jesus. These will pay the penalty of eternal destruction." (2 Thessalonians 1:7-9) This is the fate of all those who support the murder of babies. 1 John 3:15 says, "you know that no murderer has eternal life residing in him." Many religious people from apostate churches have tried to defend this evil practice by saying that babies in the womb are not human and other nonsense. Thus it behooves us to consider what the Scripture says on this subject.

What the Bible Says on Abortion

God had the Canaanites destroyed because they practiced infanticide. In Jeremiah 32:35, God calls the killing of little children "a detestable thing." The Bible records God's indictment: "They built the high places of Baal...to offer up their sons and daughters to Molech, though I did not command them, nor did it enter into my mind, that they should do this abomination..." (Jeremiah 32:35) Again God says, "They...burn their children in the fire as offerings to Baal-something I did not command or mention, nor did it enter my mind." (Jeremiah 19:5)

Jesus said, "But whoever hurts one of these little ones...it would be better for him if a millstone were hung about his neck and that he were drowned in the depth of the sea." (Matthew 18:6 NMB) Children are very precious in God's sight. Jesus said, "Let the little children come to Me, and do not forbid them; for of such is the kingdom of heaven." (Matthew 19:14) The Bible says of John the Baptist, "He will be filled with the Holy Spirit while yet in his mother's womb." (Luke 1:15) An unborn child (John the Baptist) was the first to recognize the

Savior, for Mary said, under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, "As soon as the sound of your greeting reached my ears, the baby in my womb leaped for joy." (Luke 1:43) Here we have Scripture telling us that unborn babies can feel joy and be filled with the Holy Spirit. This proves that they are not "just a fetus" or "a mass of cells," as abortion advocates say.

Scripture teaches that man is alive, not just because of biological processes, but because God created our soul, our spirit within us. Without it, we are not alive.

...as her soul was departing (for she died) ... (Genesis 35:18)

...the body without the spirit is dead... (James 2:26)

...this very night your soul is required of you. (Luke 12:20)

The spirit shall return unto God who gave it. (Ecclesiastes 12:7)

When Jesus raised the young girl from death, we read:

But taking her by the hand he called, saying, "Child, arise." And her spirit returned, and she got up at once. (Luke 8:54)

Scripture affirms that the spirit is created by God and put into a baby before birth:

As you do not know the way the spirit comes to the bones in the womb of a woman with child, so you do not know the work of God who makes everything. (Ecclesiastes 11:5)

It is clear from the passages above that a baby in the womb is a living soul, for God has created the individual spirit and placed it within the child before birth. The growth of a baby in the womb is more than just biological growth, for we are all more than just a conglomeration of chemical processes. Our spirit is our real self, and our body cannot live without it. Our spirit continues after death. Our spirit existed within us before we were born. It was created by God and placed within us at the moment of conception. The growth of an unborn baby is the work of God:

Thus says the Lord, your Redeemer, and the One who formed you from the womb... (Isaiah 44:24)

Before I formed you in the womb I knew you, before you were born I set you apart; I appointed you as a prophet to the nations. (Jeremiah 1:5, NIV).

Behold, children are a gift of the Lord; the fruit of the womb is a reward (Psalm 127:3, NASV).

For you formed my inward parts; you knitted me together in my mother's womb. I praise you, for I am fearfully and wonderfully made...My frame was not hidden from you, when I was being made in secret...Your eyes saw my unformed substance.' (Psalms 139:13-16)

It is God who knits a baby together in the mother's womb. Although Jesus said the following about marriage, it applies equally well to an unborn child: "What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder." (Mark 10:9)

God's laws recorded in the Old Testament recognized that an unborn baby was a living person and that killing it (even inadvertently) required the same punishment as if an adult person were murdered:

If men who are fighting hit a pregnant woman and she gives birth prematurely...and...there is serious injury, you are to take life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot, burn for burn, wound for wound, bruise for bruise" (Exodus 21:22-25).

In the true story recounted in the book *Heaven is for Real* and the movie by the same name, Colton Burpo dies from a ruptured appendix. The doctors were able to revive him. Colton, who was only four years old, told his astonished parents that he had been in heaven with Jesus. One day Colton surprised his Mom by saying, "I have two sisters." His mother corrected him and said he only has one sister. Colton told his mother, "You had a baby die in your tummy." Colton explained that he saw his sister in Heaven, and she kept hugging him, explaining that she was his sister. Colton's

mother had never told Colton that she had a miscarriage. Indeed, unborn babies are real living souls. If they die before birth, their souls go to Heaven.

Americans have traditionally held life to be dear and precious. In most states, if a man assaults a pregnant woman and the fetus dies due to the attack, the assailant is charged with murder for causing the death of the baby. If a pregnant woman is murdered, the killer is charged with a double-homicide because he killed not one but two people, the mother and the baby.

Yet, it is legal for a mother who doesn't want her baby to go to a clinic and have it killed by the doctor. Why is that legal? What is the difference? Why is it murder in one case but not in the other? In the first case, the baby is wanted, but in the second, it is not. That is the only difference. So it is legal to kill babies that are unwanted, but not legal to kill babies that are wanted.

Why do we then condemn Nazi Germany for killing six million Jews? The Jews were unwanted, not just by one person but by lots of people. If it is morally justifiable to kill the unwanted, what moral high-ground do we then have to say the Nazis were evil killers? America kills over a half-million babies a year by abortion. Why? Because each baby is unwanted by one person. How is that not just as evil as the holocaust?

The sins of Nazi Germany piled up to Heaven, and God's patience was exhausted. God used the nations of the world to punish Germany. We bombed her back to the stone age. Her cities were turned into rubble — God's judgment. In the United States, over a half million babies are killed by abortion every year. There have been over 61 million babies killed since Roe v. Wade. Is America in danger of judgment?

In January of 1984, President Ronald Reagan stated that a fetus suffers "long and agonizing pain" during an abortion. The media and abortion industry doctors scoffed at Reagan's

remarks, declaring they were unscientific. In time though, Reagan was eventually proven correct. Scientists have discovered that a fetus can feel pain very early in its development. According to the Charlotte Lozier Institute, "The basic anatomical organization of the human nervous system is established by 6 weeks." Studies have established that a fetus can feel pain at just 12 weeks, if not younger.⁷⁰

These facts illuminate the horrors of partial-birth abortion wherein the baby is delivered feet first, and while alive and mostly born, the doctor stabs the back of the baby's head. While it squirms in agony, its brains are sucked out. Today fifty-million children are killed per year worldwide by abortion. Some of them are killed as they are being born, as described above. In a standard abortion, the baby is ripped limb from limb while inside the womb. Scientists have testified that many of them suffer pain as they are torn apart by the doctor's cruel instruments. Afterward their dismembered body parts and organs are sold to the highest bidder.⁷¹

One abortion nurse testified: "From my position I was able to see him extracting perfectly formed little arms, legs, toes, fingers, spine, and finally the head. I could see the baby's face. I don't know how to describe what I felt at that moment. I felt death. I was ashamed and confused as I was staring at the bloody parts of the baby. I can even say I felt the presence of the devil."⁷²

Can anyone imagine that when God created mankind that he ever intended for people to be engaging in such a brutal horror and on such a scale? Recently Virginia Democrat Governor Ralph Northam spoke of plans to begin "aborting" babies after birth. He said that after they are delivered, the baby could be kept comfortable until the mother decides if she

-

⁷⁰ <u>https://lozierinstitute.org/fact-sheet-science-of-fetal-pain/</u>

⁷¹ <u>https://www.foxnews.com/politics/daleiden-planned-parenthood-testimony-depositions</u>

⁷²https://www.pop.org/i-saw-the-humanity-of-the-fetus-the-testimony-of-an-abortion-clinic-worker/

wants to keep it.⁷³ If you destroy the egg of an eagle, you can be sent to prison for five years and ordered to pay a quarter of a million dollars in fines. Yet politicians speak of killing living babies already born if keeping it is not convenient for the mother. Why is it a crime to destroy an eagle egg, but it is not a crime to cruelly and brutally kill a living baby?

God says in Leviticus 18:21,24-25, "Do not give any of your children to be sacrificed to Molech...Do not defile yourselves in any of these ways, because this is how the nations that I am going to drive out before you became defiled. Even the land was defiled; so I punished it for its sin, and the land vomited out its inhabitants." In the past, God punished nations for sacrificing their babies to the god Molech. Today women sacrifice their children upon the altar of convenience.

Proverbs 24:11-12 says this:

"Rescue those who are being taken away to death; hold back those who are stumbling to the slaughter. If you say, Behold, we did not know this," does not he who weighs the heart perceive it? Does not he who keeps watch over your soul know it, and will he not repay man according to his work?"

Scripture tells us: "In the last days...men shall be...without natural affection" (2 Timothy 3:1-3). What can be more unnatural than a mother having her baby ripped out of her and killed? The Greek word stergeo means natural affection – the Greek word refers to the kind of affection between parent and child. It is the love a mother normally feels for her unborn baby. The phrase "without natural affection" in the above passage is translated from the Greek word, astergeo, which means, "without stergeo." In other words, Scripture says that in the last days people will be without familial love. They will be lacking the usual kind of affection a mother should have for her child, especially the child growing within her. The

^{73 &}lt;u>https://www.foxnews.com/politics/va-gov-faces-backlash-for-comments-on-</u>controversial-third-trimester-abortion-bill

current scourge of abortion in the world is something that the Bible predicted would come to pass in the last days.

The Democratic Party's support of abortion has turned that party into a band of baby killers. No one who fears God should risk His judgment by being part of such a cult of death. In 2 Corinthians 6:17, God says, "Therefore go out from their midst, and be separate from them, says the Lord, and touch no unclean thing; then I will welcome you." To quote Jesus: "He who has ears to hear, let him hear."

14

The Party of Crime

The wicked accepts a bribe in secret to pervert the ways of justice. (Proverbs 17:23)

Democrats are the party of crime. How does that sound? It's true. It's true. They're the party of crime. Sounds a little tough, but it's true. (President Trump, October 1st, 2018)

Barack Hussein Obama, Hillary Clinton, and Joe Biden are mob bosses of a crime syndicate whose primary goal is to enrich themselves. In his book, *Secret Empires: How Our Politicians Hide Corruption and Enrich Their Families and Friends*, Peter Schweizer tells how they operate. In Obama's case, he had his friends open a private equity investment firm called Vistria. Then-President Obama used his vast political and regulatory power to destroy specific industries. Many of these companies were destroyed under the guise of stopping global warming. His investment firm would then swoop in and buy up these companies at pennies on the dollar. After some time expires, Obama quietly eases those government restrictions, and the value of those businesses skyrocket, and Obama and his cohorts rake in a windfall profit.

The Biden Crime Family

Joe Biden operated similarly, turning his stint as vice president into a lucrative financial smorgasbord for himself and his family. In 2010 the U.S. was about to build 100,000 homes in war-torn Iraq. The contract was awarded to Hillstone International, whose president was a friend of Vice President Biden. Of course, if Hillstone had offered money to Joe Biden

to make this deal happen, that would be a violation of the law. Politicians cannot give out government contracts in exchange for bribes. But Biden seems to know ways around such inconvenient rules. Apparently, as part of the deal of Hillstone getting the lucrative contract, Joe Biden's brother James, who had no experience in construction, would get to be executive vice president of Hillstone. A company official later bragged about the \$735 million in profits to be made and said, "it really helps to have the brother of the vice president as a partner." Common sense tells us that if Biden family members make millions from deals orchestrated by Joe, that Joe himself is going to get a cut of the pie, someway, somehow, albeit under the table. Of course, we don't find out how or when because it is illegal for Crooked Joe to profit from his government dealings.

This is how politicians take bribes. They simply have them given to a family member. They still get their cut in secret. Another crooked scheme involved Joe Biden's son Hunter, who, along with his friend Devon Archer, pulled a caper under the company name of Burnham Financial Group. They perpetrated a \$60 million fraud scheme against one of the most impoverished Indian tribes in America, the Oglala Sioux. A federal investigation ensued, and Devon Archer was arrested and charged with "orchestrating a scheme to defraud investors and a Native American tribal entity of tens of millions of dollars." What happened to Hunter Biden, son of Vice President Joe Biden, who was involved in this scam? Nothing.

Joe Biden's son Hunter Biden launched businesses on the back of his father's power that led him to lucrative deals with a plethora of rogue governments and oligarchs around the world. Hunter would often hitch a ride with his father aboard Air Force Two on visits to countries where he could court business with foreign entities that were seeking favors from America's State Department. For example, when Obama appointed Vice President Biden to be the point man to deal with Ukraine, Hunter Biden conveniently got put on the board

of a Ukrainian energy company known as Burisma Holdings, owned by an infamously corrupt oligarch.

Hunter, who had zero experience in energy, was paid \$80,000 a month for doing nothing. Well, not exactly nothing; as the Vice President's son, he was able to arrange important meetings and favors for the company. For example, when Ukraine's then-prosecutor General Viktor Shokin was investigating Burisma Holdings, Biden threatened to withhold \$1 billion in aid to Ukraine. Quid-Pro-Quo-Joe bragged about what he did to save the corrupt company that his son worked for. The video is on YouTube for the world to see. 74 Joe wanted the prosecutor fired so that the crooked company would not be exposed. That is why they were paying his son in the first place. It was protection money. Mob boss Biden went into action. Joe himself brags about how he extorted cooperation out of Ukrainian President Poroshenko by threatening to withhold American aid:

"I said, 'You're not getting the billion.' I'm going to be leaving here in, I think it was about six hours. I looked at them and said: 'I'm leaving in six hours. If the prosecutor is not fired, you're not getting the money.' Well, son of a bitch. He got fired."

It all worked out. Biden's son Hunter would still be getting his \$80,000 a month, and the corrupt company would get off scot-free. Everyone was happy, except for Ukraine citizens who were getting cheated and for the Americans, who now have their image tarnished by a corrupt Vice President. How ironic: America sends her Vice President to Ukraine to help them straighten out their corruption problems, and what does he do? He engages in even worse corruption. He helps bad men continue their corruption in exchange for bribe money paid to his son. How embarrassing for America. God will judge these evil men.

⁷⁴https://pjmedia.com/news-and-politics/matt-margolis/2019/09/24/did-joe-biden-implicate-barack-obama-in-ukraine-quid-pro-quo-scandal-n69132

The U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs issued a report⁷⁵ on these improprieties, which hugely understates the case: "The presence of Hunter Biden on the Burisma board was very awkward for all U.S. officials pushing an anticorruption agenda in Ukraine." I must concur; it's pretty awkward when your Vice President behaves like an underworld mob boss and then brags about it. It gets worse. This unsavory character is now our president. God help us.

We have only scratched the surface in the activities of the Biden Crime Family. The government report cited above also states that records from the US Treasury Department "show potential criminal activity relating to transactions among and between Hunter Biden, his family, and his associates with Ukrainian, Russian, Kazakh and Chinese nationals." The report goes on to say that some of these transactions are linked to what "appears to be an Eastern European prostitution or human trafficking ring." Imagine that. According to the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Democrat president of the United States and his family appear to be involved in human trafficking. Unbelievable, yet true!

One mysterious transaction documented in the government report concerns a \$3.5 million wire transfer to Hunter Biden from Yelena Baturina, the richest woman in Russia. She is the former wife of the late Moscow Mayor Yuri Luzhkov. According to the US ambassador to Russia, Luzhkov sat on top of a "pyramid of corruption" involving Russia's police force, political parties, various crime groups, the Kremlin, and its security service. Think about this: Millions of dollars were sent to the Biden family from a lady, who, from all appearances, is a well-connected Russian mafia queen. Why? It is all a big secret. I am sure the American people would like to know, but the mainstream media isn't

⁷⁵ https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Ukraine%20Report_FINAL.pdf

asking any questions, and the Biden Crime Family isn't offering any answers.

Of all the foreign money that Joe Biden has received, the most alarming transactions are those which came from America's rival and avowed enemy, Communist China. The U.S. Senate Committee report alleges that Hunter Biden had "business associations" with "Chinese nationals linked to the Communist government and the People's Liberation Army," Peter Schweizer, author of Secret Empires, had this to say in an article in the New York Post: "In 2013, then-Vice President Joe Biden and his son Hunter Biden flew aboard Air Force Two to China. Less than two weeks later, Hunter Biden's firm linked a \$1 billion private equity deal with a subsidiary of the Chinese government's Bank of China. The deal was later expanded to \$1.5 billion. In short, the Chinese government funded a business that it co-owned along with the son of a sitting vice president."

This spectacular deal happened right after Vice President Biden was dispatched to China to discuss America's concern over Red China's military incursions and occupation of islands of the South China Sea. A little review is in order to get the context, as China's aggressive actions are not reported by the American news media. The fact of the matter is that China is in the process of taking over the world, one piece at a time. They have recently forcibly taken and occupied land belonging to 18 different nations.⁷⁶

Hunter Biden accompanied his father on his trip to China and was wined and dined and offered the lucrative business dealings described above. The Bidens returned from China with no resolution for restraint on the expansionist actions of China. It was wondered by many why Obama and Biden were so soft on China and made little objection to their illegal activities. Now that these secret business dealings have come

 $^{^{76}}$ <u>https://zeenews.india.com/world/china-faces-territorial-issues-with-18-nations-check-details-2292826.html</u>

to light, we know why. Based on the above facts, millions of Americans believe that Joe Biden was bought off by China.

The revelation of the emails on Hunter Biden's laptop is the smoking gun that presents an air-tight case against Joe Biden. How is that? The emails show Hunter Biden claiming that his father, Joe Biden was getting a cut of all this money. That would establish that Joe Biden was accepting what was essentially bribe money from foreign powers, ostensibly in exchange for favors from the American government. This is corruption at its worst. It not only betrays the American people, but it creates a dangerous security risk for the nation, and indeed, for the entire world.

In reference to one of the business deals with Red China, an email on Hunter's laptop says that "the big guy" gets ten percent of the deal. Tony Bobulinski, former business partner of Hunter Biden, says that "the big guy" was indeed Joe Biden. He describes a meeting he had with Hunter and Joe Biden in which it was discussed that the former vice president was to receive a share of the profits from a mysterious Chinese energy firm. Bobulinski issued a statement saying that Biden's assertion that he never talked to Hunter about his business is a lie and that he can testify that he personally witnessed Biden discussing Chinese business deals with his son. The most damning evidence is a text on Hunter Biden's laptop wherein he is ranting against one of his children, and he says, "Don't worry, unlike pop, I won't make you give me half your salary."⁷⁷ That statement certainly lends credence to the claim that Joe was getting half the take on these deals but was having his son handle the money so his father wouldn't have to report it. Joe Biden was making money from foreign powers in a manner that could conceivably induce him to alter foreign policy. These matters should be investigated, for they are

⁷⁷ https://www.wnd.com/2020/10/4862318/

indicative of felonious crimes against the people of the United States.

The Clinton Crime Family

Everyone has heard about Hillary's private email server. It was illegal for her to be conducting government business on anything other than a secured government server. What is not talked about so much is why she did that. She knew it was against the law, but she did it anyway. She is an attorney. She was the Secretary of State. She knew better. Why did she do it?

I think she did it because she was making secret backroom deals with foreign powers to make millions and she didn't want people to find out what she was up to. A lot of people think that. Millions suspect it. If her emails were on a government server, then her emails could be seen by others. I believe that is why she conducted her government business on a private illegal email server. Taking the risk of getting a hand slap for having a private server is better than going to prison for exchanging government favors for bribes.

One example of the Clinton's use of their political power to enrich themselves is a Columbian caper that has enraged environmentalists the world over. A man by the name of Frank Giustra, owner of Prima Colombia Properties, wanted to clear-cut a biologically diverse rainforest on the pristine Colombian shoreline. The Columbian government would not allow it for environmental reasons. Giustra, who wanted to clear-cut the Columbian forest, had a meeting with the Clintons and donated \$100 million to the Clinton foundation and gave the Clintons free use of his jet, which they later used over 26 times.

The Clintons then flew to Columbia and spent an evening with the Columbian president. Apparently, Secretary of State Hillary convinced the Columbian president that if he would allow Giustra to mow down the forest, she could see to it that things would turn out in Columbia's best interest. Since the

U.S. gives billions of dollars of aid to Columbia, such a statement from the U.S. Secretary of State carries a lot of clout. Not surprisingly, the government of Colombia promptly granted exclusive approval to Giustra's firm to cut down the forest.

Democrats scream the loudest and longest about how important the environment is and how rainforests are key to worldwide climate stabilization. Indeed, rainforests are vitally important to the world's ecosystem. They absorb carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, and release life-giving oxygen. Yet, it would seem that Hillary has no scruples about throwing around her political weight to coerce actions that hurt the environment, just so long as it fattens her wallet. Pure hypocrisy. Rafael Cabargas, a union leader in Columbia, exemplifies the attitude of people in Columbia toward the Clintons. He spoke of the Clinton Foundation's work in Columbia with disgust, saying, "They are doing nothing for workers. I don't even know what they are doing in this country other than exploiting poverty and extracting money."

Hillary Clinton has a long history of using the Clinton foundation as a conduit for selling favors through the Department of State to wealthy, prominent, and influential multinational corporations, individuals. and governments unfriendly to the United States. Nations and wealthy individuals knew precisely where to send their money to influence the State Department. In exchange for hard cold cash, Hillary pulled the levers of government to send taxpayer money to where it would do her, not America, the most good. Most of the money donated to the foundation came from corporations and countries seeking favors or legislative action from the federal government. Since her foreign income was laundered through their foundation and did not go directly to her bank account, the Clintons had a legal loophole to evade corruption laws.

When Hillary became Secretary of State, donations from foreign governments to the Clinton foundation skyrocketed but immediately plummeted when she left office. For example, \$249 million was given to the foundation during Clinton's first year as Secretary of State. In 2018 total revenue had fallen to a mere \$30.7 million. That indicates that many people giving the money were only doing so when they felt it would buy them favor with the U.S. government. As long as Hillary was in office, that money kept rolling in, but when she left office, giving plummeted. The foreign governments donating the finances thought they were getting their money's worth only so long as Hillary was Secretary of State.

So how exactly do Bill and Hillary get the money out of the foundation into their pockets? It is easier than you might think. IRS documents show that the Foundation raised over \$500 million from 2009-2012, and yet the Clinton Foundation only spent \$75 million on helping others. For a foundation that claims to be a philanthropic entity, that is a terrible balance sheet. What happened to the other \$425 million? It got spent, but not on any humanitarian needs.

\$25 million went for travel expenses. Picture the Clinton family and friends flying around the world in 1st class, staying at the finest hotels and eating at world-class restaurants, all on the foundation's dime. It's all part of feeding the hungry. \$110 million went for employee salaries and benefits. Who works at the foundation? The Clintons themselves, along with their friends and family. A job at the Clinton Foundation can be lucrative. Eric Braverman, a friend of Chelsea Clinton, was paid nearly \$275,000 in salary, benefits, and a housing allowance for just five months' work. That is a salary of over half a million dollars a year. Executive salaries exceeded \$100,000 in 2013. \$290 million was spent on "other expenses."

One of the most damaging crimes the Clintons engaged in is known as the Uranium One scandal, a scheme that brought the Clintons a large influx of cash in exchange for letting Russia get 20 percent of our uranium. It went down like this: Russia coveted America's rich uranium mines and set in

motion a plan to get 20 percent of America's strategic uranium resources. They successfully acquired this through purchasing a Canadian company known as Uranium One, which has uranium mines in the United States. Since American national security was at stake, Secretary of State Clinton had to sign off on the deal and push it through.

Newsweek reported that \$145 million was donated to the Clinton Foundation by sources linked to the Uranium One deal. Even more damning is the fact that, during the timeframe of the Uranium One deal, Bill Clinton was paid a staggering \$500,000 for a speech to a Kremlin-tied Russian bank. Hillary did her part and got the deal approved, a deal that was critically harmful to America's national interests, but was apparently quite lucrative for the Clintons. The Clintons got half a million into their personal bank account and their foundation got \$145 million, and the Russians got 20 percent of America's precious uranium.

After all was said and done, The FBI informed a congressional committee that they had information that Moscow had routed millions of dollars through third parties to the Clinton Foundation in order to influence decisions made by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. One news caster put it succinctly: "Flatly stated, Bill and Hillary Clinton are career criminals who have been running a grand scale criminal racketeering scheme to defraud America and peddle political influence to the highest bidder."

It would appear that under the Obama/Biden regime, Hillary put U.S. policy up for auction. Elected officials are to take action in the best interest of the citizens who elect them, not make decisions that are in their own interests. The Clintons have repeatedly violated the sacred trust placed in

⁷⁸https://www.nationalreview.com/2017/10/uranium-one-deal-obama-administration-doj-hillary-clinton-racketeering/

⁷⁹ <u>https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/372861-uranium-one-informant-makes-clinton-allegations-in-testimony</u>

⁸⁰https://www.naturalnews.com/055082_Clinton_Foundation_political_corruption_bribery.html

their hands by the American people. These things are common knowledge, which begs the question; how do people like this keep getting elected? Indeed, how and why? Could it be that the Democrats of America are so consumed with lust for killing their babies, so crazed for sexual perversion, so desirous of free government handouts, that they give no thought to the future?

Bribery is a serious breach of federal law, amounting to a felony if convicted. Hillary had planned well ahead to avoid legal entanglements. She set up a private email server, and when the contents of that server were subpoenaed, she had the server wiped clean and smashed all the cell phones that had emails on them. A sham investigation by a corrupt FBI exonerated her. Did she pay off all the right people? Deep state Democrat operatives in the FBI and the Justice Department whitewashed all of her evil doings. An old maxim says that crime doesn't pay, which I suppose is true unless your last name is Obama, Biden, or Clinton.

<u>15</u>

The Party of Despotism

"Our way of taking power and using it would have been inconceivable without the radio..." -- Nazi Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels

Professor Timothy Snyder, a historian at Yale University has explained that Hitler came to power by "exploiting a crisis" and consolidated it by "undermining constitutional institutions." Does that not sound eerily familiar to what the Democratic Party does today? They took advantage of the coronavirus to steal an election by creating laws that undermine the specific instructions for elections outlined in the U.S. Constitution – very Hitlerian. But how exactly does the Democratic Party get away with this? One would think the populace would be outraged. They get away with it the same way Hitler did. He controlled the narrative by controlling the press and the media, which at that time was radio. Keeping the people in the dark about what is happening is the key to being a successful despot.

The 1st Amendment

The Nazi party seized control over all radio stations and newspapers. They banned any books they didn't like, and controlled all forms of mass communication, which also included music, literature, radio, and film. It didn't happen all

⁸¹ https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-hitler-freedom/false-claim-hitler-quote-on-controlling-people-and-eroding-freedoms-idUSKBN22X1W5

in one day. The takeover was gradual. The 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states, "Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press." Even though "freedom of the press" and "freedom of speech" are hallmarks of American democracy, these constitutional rights are gradually being eroded. Conservative speakers are frequently banned from many college campuses. ABC, CBS, NBC, MSNBC, and CNN have all been co-opted by leftists. They are owned by Democrats who fire any journalist who does not tow the party line. These news outlets are the mouthpiece of the Democratic Party, so much so that it is hard to tell who is calling the shots – the Democrats, or the news media. President Trump was one-hundred percent correct when he tweeted that the press is "truly the enemy of the people." Lies are always the enemy of a free people.

While these outlets misrepresent facts nearly all the time, Fox News presents the truth about half the time. In their effort to be "fair and balanced," they have deceived themselves into thinking that telling half the truth is somehow "balanced." Conservatives are abandoning Fox News for One America News and Newsmax. Unfortunately, the liberal news channels dominate the airwaves and cable channels, so most of America is not aware of what is going on in the country or the world. The conglomerate of leftist news agencies has come to be derisively known as the "lamestream media monopoly" or as President Trump bluntly puts it, "fake news."

Even though the Democrats almost have a monopoly on the news media, that is not enough for them. As this is being written, conservative platforms and voices on the Internet are being forcibly shut down and silenced by the Democrats and their big tech partners in crime. Democrat Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez says that government needs to "rein in the press." After President Trump and several other well-known conservative commentators were banned from Twitter, they moved to the Twitter-like Parler platform. In response, Apple and Google removed the Parler app from their app stores. Then Amazon Web Hosting fell into lockstep and

took down Parler's servers, which completely killed the platform. Why did they do this? They did it so they could silence President Trump and the 75 million-plus conservatives who voted for him.

It isn't just news agencies that Democrats want to silence. It is everyone and anyone who says anything they don't much like. After stealing the election, Democrats began working hard to cover their tracks, including silencing anyone who tells the truth about what happened. Recently Pennsylvania Lt. Governor John Fetterman, a Democrat, made remarks which show he does not believe the 1st Amendment applies to sentiments he disagrees with. He said, "This idea that saying that Pennsylvania was 'rigged' or that we were 'trying to steal the election' — that's a lie. And you do not have the right, that is not protected speech."

It is bad enough that the Democrats committed election fraud, it is even worse that election workers that testified to what they saw have had to go into hiding to evade the Democrat hooligans who threaten their lives, but now Democrat governors even want to jail anyone who merely says they cheated. We are still the home of the brave, and we will not be silenced, even when threatened with jail for exercising our right of free speech. Here is a news flash for Governor Fetterman: That *is* protected speech.

Since this governor went so far as to pervert the applicability of the 1st Amendment, I shall elaborate concerning the veracity of his presumption. Speech that is not protected by the 1st Amendment would need to be something that harms a specific person and has been proven to be a lie. For it to be proven to be a lie, it would first have to be established as such in a court of law. That has not happened. Furthermore, no one can successfully bring a suit unless they can show *locus standi*, that they have legal standing to sue. To establish *injury in fact*, that is, you must prove that you personally have been injured by what was said. This goes

beyond having your feelings hurt or not liking what was said. You have to show some sort of loss, financial, medical, or otherwise, because of what was said. Secondly, you must show *causation*, that the party you are suing caused this injury. Thirdly, you must show *Redressability*, that the court has jurisdiction and can do something to redress your grievances.

If the loquacious governor were to take this to court, he would be hard-pressed to find a lawyer that would take the case, for any attorney would know that it would be immediately thrown out of court. Indeed, the lawyer could find himself in a heap of trouble for bringing a frivolous lawsuit. A general statement that the election was rigged does not harm any specific individual, thus no injury in fact, which means that the governor would have no locus standi. The court would most certainly consider such a general statement as nothing more than a political opinion, which most certainly is protected by the 1st Amendment. I rest my case. Millions of Americans know the election was stolen. It is stated so on news broadcasts across the nation. If indeed such speech is not protected, then the first thing the Democrats need to do is build a lot of new jails, for they are not going to have room for the millions of people who know and say that the election was, in fact, stolen.

Legalese aside, the point is that Democrats want to silence conservatives, and they don't give two hoots about the Constitution and the 1st Amendment. That should send chills down the backs of freedom-loving Americans everywhere. Biden, as his cohort Obama once bragged, wants to "fundamentally change America," which means doing away with the freedoms that have distinctly set America apart as a beacon of hope and light to the world. The first step in being a despot is to do away with these freedoms and personal freedom of speech and freedom of the press are at the top of the Democratic Party's hit list.

Not only do Democrats want Republicans silenced, but they also want them unemployed. Democrat Representative Stephanie Murphy has introduced a bill that will restrict who can be employed at a government job based upon their political beliefs.⁸² This bill will restrict from government employment those who:

- 1. Were involved in uncovering evidence of fraud in the 2020 election.
- 2. Were involved in any organization that spreads what the current regime considers "conspiracy theories" or "false information."
- 3. Were present at the rally and peaceful protest in Washington D.C. on January 6th, 2021, which took place regarding the massive election irregularities in the presidential election.

It is abundantly clear that the Democrats are terrified that what they have done will be remembered and come back to haunt them. They are desperate to bury the evidence of their election fraud, and they don't mind canceling freedom of speech to cover up their crime. Democrat Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez said recently that President Trump, by claiming the election was stolen, has pushed a conspiracy theory that has radicalized his supporters. She went on to say, "There are people who are radicalized right now. It's going to take a very long time to de-radicalize these people and a lot of effort...the federal government needs to fund the deprogramming of white supremacists and conspiracy theorists." She went on to say that research has shown that "healing is possible...we need to double, triple or quadruple the funding for these programs," What sort of re-education camps she has in mind has not been revealed. She also has plans to silence news media. She recently stated, "We're going to have to figure out how we rein in our media

⁸²https://murphy.house.gov/uploadedfiles/summary_of_security_clearance_improvement act of 2021 rep. stephanie murphy.pdf

environment so that you can't just spew disinformation, and misinformation." Of course, her Orwellian view of "disinformation" means anything that the Democrats don't want you to know. MSNBC took up the same totalitarian mantra, saying, "...millions of Americans, almost all white, almost all Republicans, who somehow need to be deprogrammed. They are members of a cult, a Trumpist cult, and they have to be deprogrammed."

Limbaugh presented an insightful regarding the theft of free speech and free elections when he said, "Another question, ladies and gentlemen, is it fair to think that what is happening to conservatives on social media - if we are being censored out of existence, we are being silenced out of existence, we are being pummeled, we are being threatened, we are being intimidated out of existence. So is it fair to think that what is happening to conservatives on social media is the same thing that happened to their votes?" The answer to his rhetorical questions is, "Yes, it is the same." They stole our vote, and they are stealing our voice so that no one will know or remember that they have taken both. And if anyone dares to say so, they are desirous to "deprogram" and "de-radicalize" them. Anyone who has read Orwell's 1984 recognizes the dangerous parallels and realizes that the dictatorial oppression predicted in that novel has come upon us, albeit forty years later than expected.

The 2nd Amendment

Gun confiscation has frequently preceded despicable government behavior. Gun control was congruent with the Redcoat's mistreatment of American colonists. Arms seizures by the English were one of the causes of the American Revolution. In 1768 it was ordered in Boston "that the inhabitants of this Province are to be disarmed," and by 1774, the British were routinely conducting warrantless searches and seizures of firearms in the Boston area. Soon after, King George III ordered the seizure of any firearms imported into

the colonies. English General Thomas Gage ordered all the Boston's inhabitants to turn in their arms at Faneuil Hall for "temporary safekeeping." The weapons were never returned.

The Nazi party of Hitler's Germany restricted gun ownership with several new laws. In particular, military rifles were targeted, much as the left today targets the AR-15, which looks like a military rifle but isn't. Military rifles are full-auto, while the AR-15 is only semi-auto. 83 On March 28, 1934, the Nazi State Ministry of the Interior ordered the population to surrender any military weapons in a timely manner. After the gun confiscation, anyone possessing a military rifle or handgun was a public enemy unless they were a member of a Nazi-approved military organization. Jews were considered "enemies of the State" and were not allowed to own any type of firearm.

When the Communists seized power in Russia, they did the same thing as the Nazis. In Tsarist Russia, people loved their guns. Officers, merchants, students, and young ladies all had a favorite handgun, frequently more than one. Guns were in abundant supply in Russia. After the Communist Party came to power, that all changed. The Bolshevik Revolution put an end to the free circulation of guns among the general public. The leaders of the uprising knew what they planned to do to the people. To get away with it, they had to take their guns first. In 1918 the Marxist Bolsheviks initiated a nation-wide confiscation of firearms, outlawing their possession and threatening up to 10 years in prison for a violation of the new laws. Under Stalin, in excess of twenty million people were slaughtered after their guns had been confiscated.⁸⁴

Socialist Venezuela is another case in point. Modest gun control laws were implemented in the country during the early

⁸³ A full-auto weapon fires like a machine gun; when the trigger is pulled and held it keeps firing. A semi-auto weapon only fires once every time the trigger is pulled. Military assault rifles are full-auto.

⁸⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mass_killings_under_communist_regimes#Soviet_ <u>Union</u>

1900s and the restrictions gradually increased. There was not much alarm over it, as the government was benign. Then came Hugo Chavez's anti-gun agenda. Citizens became disarmed subjects. Under Chaves, and then Maduro, Venezuelans found themselves defenseless against a government that runs roughshod over their civil liberties. The Venezuelan government's death squads have murdered over 7,000 people in the past year and a half.⁸⁵

America's 2nd Amendment states, "the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed." Foreigners may scoff at America's Second Amendment and not appreciate its significance. Yet, it is one of the most important rights the framers of the Constitution took pains to protect, and for good reason. It is part of the checks and balances our founding fathers recognized as essential to preventing tyranny. Any country in the world could conceivably turn into another Nazi Germany. An armed citizenry is a safeguard against an out-of-control government committing genocide against its own population, a sad reality that tends to happen in countries that embrace socialism.

The Reichstag Fire

There is an interesting parallel between what happened in Germany on February 27th, 1933, and what happened in Washington D.C. on January 6th, 2021. On February 27th, the Reichstag building, home of the German parliament, was set on fire. The Reichstag building is equivalent to our Capitol building in Washington D.C. The Nazis claimed that this fire was part of a plot to overthrow the government. After World War II, evidence of Nazi complicity came to light, and historians now affirm that the Nazis started the fire themselves in order to justify what they did next, which was to make some new and very radical laws.

-

 $^{^{85}}$ https://www.rfi.fr/en/americas/20190705-venezuela-death-squads-have-killed-forc-7000-18-months-un

The following day, Hitler issued the *Reichstag Fire Decree*, which he said was "for the protection of the people and the State," It stripped citizens of their constitutional liberties and allowed the Nazi government to arrest people they saw as a political threat. They also passed the *Law Against the Establishment of Parties*, which stated, "The National Socialist German Workers Party constitutes the only political party in Germany." Establishing a political party other than a Nazi Party would be "punished with penal servitude up to three years or with imprisonment or with imprisonment of from six months to three years." All this was in line with Hitler's policy called "*Gleichschaltung*," meaning a switch to the same wavelength. Hitler wanted all of Germany to think exactly the same, to think how he wanted them to.

Democrat Rahm Emanuel, former chief of staff to Obama, infamously counseled Obama that during the 2008 financial collapse, the Democrats should "Never let a crisis to go to waste." He repeated his quote again during the 2020 pandemic. Whenever there is a crisis, the Democrats can be counted on to take advantage of it and pass laws that steal Americans' freedoms. When there is no crisis, the Democrats do precisely what the Nazis did; they create one.

On January 6th, 2021 we had our "Reichstag fire." Democrat agitators infiltrated the crowd of Republicans who were peacefully protesting the massive election fraud committed by the Democratic Party. Trained in subversive crowd-agitation, these leftist activists dressed up like Trump supporters and violently broke into the Capitol building. It was all done with one purpose in mind: Blame it on the Trump supporters – make it look like they were attempting to overthrow the government – all so Democrats could pass laws and take actions that will limit the rights of Americans and make America a one-party nation, just like Nazi Germany. To further the narrative, the Democrats called out 26,000 troops to guard the Capitol for Biden's inauguration on January 20th.

No such force was needed. Nothing happened.⁸⁶ The troops were merely there to force the narrative that Republicans are dangerous, out of control, and must be silenced, reined in, ruled over, and de-programmed. To make their point, they are keeping the troops there indefinitely.

The American Reichstag Fire ploy worked wonderfully for the Democrats. Big tech fell into line. Twitter kicked President Trump, and many other conservatives, off their platform. Conservative books are being banned and removed from shelves.⁸⁷ Miranda Devine, an Australian conservative columnist, describes what the Democrats are currently doing to Republicans. She accurately outlined the left's attitude that supported President Trump "excommunication, de-platforming, and reputational and financial ruin." She goes on to say that Democrats believe that "Anyone who supported the President, voted for him or worked for his administration now has to be hunted down and purged...they're losing their jobs, having their insurance canceled, their book contracts and recording deals torn up. They are being banned from flying or banking or speaking on social media...A petition at Harvard University even demands Trump staffers have their degrees revoked...A feverish mood is afoot, evoking the spirit of McCarthvism and the Salem witch trials, in which irrationality and hysteria point fingers at the innocent."88

The clever Democratic ploy on January 6th effectively took the country's attention off of the Democratic theft of the election and put it upon President Trump and his 75 million supporters. It has paved the way for Democrats to pass laws that will purportedly be "for the protection of the people" but

⁸⁶ My original text, written before the inauguration read, "No such force is needed. Nothing will happen." I was right.

⁸⁷ https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2019/05/malloch-is-amazon-banning-conservative-books/

⁸⁸ https://fox40jackson.com/headlines/miranda-devine-why-Democrats-demonizegood-Republicans-too/

will actually be designed to ensure one-party rule in America. We are on the road of *Gleichschaltung*. We are being herded like cattle upon a path that will have us all on the same wavelength. We shall all soon be programmed to think appropriately.

Non-consenting voices are being silenced, for our own protection, we are told. The President of the United States has been deemed too dangerous to be allowed on Twitter, but Ayatollah Khamenei, Iran's supreme leader, can tweet. Communist Chinese state officials can tweet. Venezuelan dictator Nicolas Maduro, who, according to a United Nations Human Rights Council-commissioned report, has committed extensive and systematic human rights abuses – he can tweet. None of these people have been banned from Twitter. But President Trump, who has worked for free for four years, who has done an untold number of good things for America; has been banned, and the Democratic Party is ecstatic about it.

We have fallen down a slippery slope. Consider Germany. Let us remember and learn. Germany was once a peaceful, Democratic, Christian nation, not unlike America. They let one political party gain control, and within a few short years, Germany became a dreadful beast, something so wicked and evil that even its own citizens abhorred it. By the time they realized what had happened, it was too late. They had been swallowed alive.

President Abraham Lincoln, in his Lyceum Address, warned of how the fall of America could come:

At what point shall we expect the approach of danger? By what means shall we fortify against it? Shall we expect some transatlantic military giant, to step the ocean, and crush us at a blow? Never! All the armies of Europe, Asia and Africa combined, with all the treasure of the earth in their military chest; with a Buonaparte for a commander, could not by force, take a drink from the Ohio, or make a track on the Blue Ridge, in a trial of a thousand years. At what point then is the approach of danger to be expected? I answer, if it ever reach

us, it must spring up amongst us. It cannot come from abroad. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and finisher.

Many governments fall into despotism without the populace having much warning. Germany didn't see it coming, and neither did Venezuela. It strikes as unexpectedly as a rattlesnake. Totalitarianism must be carefully guarded against. In the United States, we have some worrisome signs which indicate danger. The Biden regime has assumed power through election fraud and is showing their intention to come for our guns, exactly as despots the world over have done. They are taking control of the narrative by commandeering the means of communication. Social media and the news media have been compromised, and they are actively seeking to shut down any conservative news outlets. Free speech is under assault. They are talking about re-education camps and deprogramming political opponents. Under the Obama/Biden regime, they weaponized the IRS against their political enemies, and under Biden/Harris we can expect more of the same.

At Biden's inaugural address of 2021, he spoke of declaring war on "political extremism," "white supremacy," and "domestic terrorism." He was referring to Republicans. In the mind of Democrats, anyone who disagrees with their political viewpoints and objectives is a political extremist. Anyone who believes that election integrity has been compromised is spreading dangerous disinformation and must be silenced. Anyone who voted for Trump is a domestic terrorist, and anyone who believes in legal immigration as opposed to illegal immigration is a white supremacist.

Hitler did the same thing. He denigrated political opponents to make them appear to be "the enemy of the people." Biden's rhetoric shows the only kind of unity he is interested in is the unity of Big Brother coercing everyone's thoughts and stomping on any dissent that clashes with the leftist machinations of the Democrats, a party that has taken a

radical swing to the left, embracing the dangerous, deadly philosophy of socialism and mixed it with the despotic tactics of Hitler's Germany.

16

The Way Forward

"He could call out the state militia. He could call out the national guard and kill hundreds and hundreds of innocent people and argue they were inciting a riot. They know how to handle violence, but they've proved over and over again that they don't know how to handle non-violence." – Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

Where do we go from here? First, let us examine where "here" is. The Democratic Party very carefully planned to steal the presidential election of 2020. They knew exactly where to hit us – in a few major cities of five battleground states. They did not need widespread fraud across all the counties in every state of the nation. If they could win certain vital areas, they could take the country. They only needed to cheat in a few crucial locations. And cheat they did, as we have already delineated.

Red China had already paid off Biden with over a billion dollars. China then paid \$400 million to Dominion Voting Systems⁸⁹ to do what was needed.⁹⁰ The Democratic Party printed up hundreds of thousands of fake ballots and stored them in secret locations close by to where they would be

⁸⁹ Fact Check websites claim this is not true, however their debunking of the facts has been debunked. The following website shows how Red China has cleverly tried to cover up their purchase of Dominion. https://www.ntd.com/400-million-sec-filing-links-dominion-ubs-and-china 536295.html

⁹⁰ http://www.christianitydaily.com/articles/10232/20201203/communist-chinese-gov-t-linked-bank-account-gave-400m-to-dominion-voting-systems-before-us-election.htm

needed. They queued in key loyal Democrat operatives working the poles as to what they should do, instructing them how to use the pandemic to insist that observers stay back so no one could observe what was going on. Once they stole the election, they did the same thing in the Georgia run-off election, giving them control of the Senate.

On January 6th, as the elector votes were being counted in Congress, nearly one million Trump supporters gathered at the Capitol to peacefully protest the stolen election. The First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution guarantees "the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." That is why they were there. Washington D.C. has seen some large gatherings in the past. Martin Luther King's *I Have a Dream* Speech was delivered from the steps of the Lincoln Memorial in 1963. It was one of the most iconic speeches in American history. There was a crowd of 250,000.

Yet what took place on January 6th dwarfed that. Four times as many – somewhere between eight-hundred thousand and a million people – gathered to show their support for Republicans who were at that moment presenting plans to Congress to deal with the election fraud. A show of support with a gathering of such magnitude was unprecedented, and it was all peaceful. Since Trump supporters are known for being from the party of law and order, no trouble was expected.

To put into context what happened at the Capitol building, we must consider all that had occurred during the year leading up to this gathering. Democrat thugs had been rioting for months, burning cities, destroying stores, killing, raping, and pillaging, with little or no interference from Democrat mayors and governors. They bailed out rioters that were arrested. They oversaw and promoted violence all summer in Democrat states and cities. Democrats planned and incited the violence

⁹¹ White House Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany tweeted, "AMAZING! More than one MILLION marchers for President Trump descend on the swamp in support."

with extremist rhetoric. For example, former Attorney General Loretta Lynch threatened, "There will be blood in the streets." Brother of New York Governor and CNN talking head declared, "Who says protests have to be peaceful?" Democrat Representative Ayanna Pressley said, "There needs to be unrest in the streets." Democrat Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi said, "I just don't know why there aren't uprisings all over this country. Maybe there will be."



Pelosi's Childish Temper Tantrum

After a glorious State of the Union address to Congress by President Trump, Pelosi violated the House Chamber's solemn sanctity and despoiled the decorum of etiquette that exemplifies mature legislative procedure. On national television, Pelosi tore up the President's speech in front of him and the entire Congress. Her insulting and disrespectful behavior was an affront to the President and the millions who voted for him. Her juvenile and inflammatory act disgraced the United States before the world and increased tension in the country.

None other than our upcoming Vice President Kamala Harris spit out, "Protesters should not let up." After months

of rioting in the streets that led to the burning of major cities and numerous deaths, Kamala Harris was interviewed on "The Late Show with Stephen Colbert" and recklessly declared, "Everyone beware, because they're not gonna stop, they're not gonna stop before election day in November, and they're not gonna stop after election day...and everyone should take note of that on both levels, that they're not gonna let up, and they should not, and we should not." The broader context of that statement was the destruction caused by rioters in numerous major American cities that resulted in more than two billion dollars in damage and dozens of deaths, making it one of the most expensive summer of riots in American history.

Harris's statement was utterly irresponsible. She knew full well that so-called peaceful protests were turning into murder and mayhem all across the country, and she wanted it that way so as to hurt President Trump's chance at re-election. When the liberal hooligans attacked the White House, President Trump, following the lead of the Secret Service, retreated to the bunker. Were the Democrats aghast at this riotous behavior by their lapdogs? No, they made fun of President Trump for retreating to the bunker.

In contrast to the Democrat riots, this gathering of Republicans at the Capitol was remarkably peaceful. Those who were there describe it as a happy group of patriotic people feeling thankful that their representatives were voicing objections in Congress to the illegal votes. Trump has been a vocal supporter of the police. Consequently, Trump supporters tend to be a law-abiding pro-police bunch, and the police know that. Capitol police even pulled back the barricades and allowed the crowd closer to the building. It is common knowledge that the Democrats are the ones who had declared war on the police, not the Republicans.

What no one expected or planned for was what the subversive paramilitary arm of the Democratic Party would do next. The socialist *Black Lives Matter* group and the fascist

organization ANTIFI were bused in. They are trained in tactics that are designed to turn large peaceful gatherings into a wild mob. Dressed up as Trump supporters, they infiltrated the group, worked their way to the front, and then attacked the police, broke windows, and invaded the Capitol building. Even now, as they are being identified from video footage, tracked down, and arrested, it is being learned that they were Democrat supporters, not Trump supporters, a fact which is being carefully hushed up by the media.

A Capitol policeman shot and killed an innocent Trump supporter who was not doing anything other than being in the wrong place at the wrong time. The crowd of a million people quickly dispersed when trouble broke out. They didn't sign up for that. There was no rioting, burning of buildings, or street violence, as had been seen from the Democrat rioters for the past year. As soon as Trump got word of what happened, he put out a video calling for peace and asking that everyone go home, but Facebook and Twitter banned his video. His statement, "Go home with love and in peace," was banned, for they did not want his supporters getting the word to go home. The Democrats wanted this violence, for it gave them something to blame on Trump.

It was all part of their carefully orchestrated plan – and it worked. Immediately Congress forewent any plans for investigating the fraud. They regathered later that day and quickly voted to make Biden president. The wicked plans of the Democratic Party to prevent their fraud from being analyzed was wildly successful. They got away with it. Not only had they stolen the election, but they also managed to steal the largest peaceful protest in U.S. history as well.

The Democrats then voted to impeach Trump again for insurrection. They say that his speech the day of the rally in Washington D.C. amounted to "inciting insurrection" and was the cause of the incursion into the Capitol building. That is impossible for two reasons. One, Trump's speech started after the incursion began and was well underway before his speech

was finished. It is now known that planning for the incursion began days prior. Secondly, President Trump called for his supporters to march to the Capitol building to "peacefully and patriotically make your voices heard." After the breach of the Capitol, President Trump took to the airwaves and called on his supporters to go home. He said there must be "law and order" and that "we have to have peace." These are not the words of an insurrectionist. Once again, the Democrats are lying for political reasons.

The House convicted Trump and impeached him in record time, just seven hours. He was not allowed to call witnesses or mount a defense. It was all done in complete violation of existing House rules and etiquette and in contradiction to the right of due process, which is supposed to be afforded to all Americans. Even now, after Trump has left office, they are working on continuing the impeachment in the Senate, an illegal move, since you cannot impeach a private citizen, which is what Donald Trump is now. It is being done so that Democrats can stick their finger in the eyes of 75 million Republicans who voted for him. It is being done because the Democratic Party is filled with hate. It is being done for the same reason that Biden is letting boys take showers at school with girls – to humiliate the populace and show they have the power to demean whoever they wish. This is what despots do.

To continue the narrative that President Trump is an evil insurrectionist, the Democrats called in over 26,000 troops to protect Washington on Inauguration Day, an unnecessary move. Nancy Pelosi even requested two-man machine-gun nests; a fortification used only to mow down crowds of people indiscriminately. But the evidence shows that Trump supporters are not violent. For over a year Trump has held huge rallies, drawing tens of thousands of people, yet there was never any riots at the Trump rallies. Trump supporters did not even riot at the Capitol after the Democrats stole the election. As I have said, the violence that occurred was orchestrated by leftist organizations that hate Trump, the same people who have been rioting all year.

Rudy Giuliani has an excellent video that demonstrates that Antifa had been escorted to the capitol by the State Police. The video shows Trump people trying to stop ANTIFA when they began committing violence. It also shows Trump supporters putting themselves in harm's way to protect the police. That video can be seen here:

https://noqreport.com/2021/01/11/heres-the-rudy-giuliani-video-now-on-rumble-that-youtube-deemed-inappropriate/

Another video that shows similar action is here:

https://www.facebook.com/noddinglady/videos/770735103792199

Mainstream media is not reporting these facts because it does not fit their "hate Trump" narrative. The Democrats now have control of the House, the Senate, and the Presidency. They can do anything they want to American citizens, and they are vowing to do it. There is no way to stop them. No sooner had the leftists consolidated their power than big tech went into action. Knowing that the Republicans in government were now wholly powerless to do anything about it, Facebook and Twitter started deleting conservatives' accounts like never before. Even Donald Trump, the President of the United States, had his account permanently deleted. People began moving to MeWe.com and to Parler.com, social media sites that don't censor people for sharing their religious or political views. In response, Apple and Google took steps to stop those platforms. They both removed Parler from their app stores, which effectively stopped anyone from installing that app on their smartphones. Amazon took down Parler's servers, preventing anyone from accessing Parler with a computer.

That is where we are. Communist China has installed their man in the Oval Office and the baby-killing leftists have control of the government. They have telegraphed their intentions. They intend to persecute Christians and conservatives, monitor sermons, and weaponize the IRS

against our churches and close them down. The Supreme Court has forced them to stop doing that, but they have told us they will pack the Supreme Court with liberal justices. Once they do that, they can get away with anything. They have a list of people who supported President Trump, and they say they are coming after us. They want us defenseless, so they plan to take away our 2nd amendment rights. They will come for our guns. They have already neutered the 1st amendment by inhibiting our freedom of speech.

Hordes from Central America are already headed our way, knowing that the Democrats will let them in and give them full welfare benefits. They are on their way, bringing sickness, disease, and gang members with them. It is only a matter of time until we will be forbidden to preach that sexual sin is wrong, that abortion is murder, that Jesus is the only way, and that there is a heaven and hell. It will all be branded as "hate speech." It is already dangerous to fly an American flag or wear a MEGA hat. Pastors have already been arrested for holding services. It is only going to get worse. The U.S.A. is no longer the home of the free.

So that is where we are. Where do we go from here? When we don't know the way forward, we must look to the past to find the answer. As I pondered that question, I asked myself, has there ever been a time in American history when the Democratic Party stomped on the rights of a group of people? Has the Democratic Party ever prevented people from speaking their minds, gathering to peacefully protest, owning firearms, or voting without fear of repercussion?

Yes, there is such a time. In the 1960s, the Democratic Party did all these things, and more, to the Blacks. They were not allowed to use the same drinking fountain or use the same public restrooms as white people. They had to sit in the back of the bus on any public transit, and they were not allowed to have the same wages as white people. They were subjugated in every way. What did they do about it?

The Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. showed them the way forward, and it worked. He has shown us the way ahead as well. King's method was the same as Gandhi's – non-violent peaceful resistance. Dr. King organized marches, peaceful protests, and "sit-ins" to draw attention to their plight. They had similar problems to what was experienced by the Republicans gathered at the Capitol on January 6th. They were sometimes infiltrated by anarchists who wreaked havoc, which resulted in police violence against the Blacks. But Dr. King continued to preach non-violence. Here is some of what he said:

This is the way we will get out of this dark night of oppression and make of this nation a better nation. We can stand up and allow the opposition to know that we will not accept injustice, we will stand up against it with our lives, but we will never stoop down to the level of violence and hatred.

One of the great glories of American democracy is that we have the right to protest for right. This is a non-violent protest. We are depending on moral and spiritual forces, using the method of passive resistance. Even if we have to receive violence, we will not return violence.

He could call out the state militia. He could call out the national guard and kill hundreds and hundreds of innocent people and argue that we were inciting a riot. They know how to handle violence, but they've proved over and over again that they don't know how to handle non-violence.

Once when Dr. King was asked, "Are you afraid?" He answered, "No I'm not. My attitude is, this is a great cause. It is a great issue we are confronted with, and the consequences of my personal life are not particularly important. It is the triumph of the cause that I'm concerned about."

The FBI and the CIA hated Dr. King. While President John F. Kennedy was sympathetic to his cause, the deep state was out to get him. The FBI wiretapped him and had informers infiltrate his ranks. When Dr. King spoke against the Vietnam

war, they became convinced he was a communist working for the Russians. In 1968 Dr. King was in Memphis to assist with a march for garbage workers who were not paid the same as white employees. Their march was infiltrated by a group called *The Invaders*. Their purpose was to take over the protest and turn it violent in order to turn public sentiment against King's protestors. They did just that, and the police backlash against the Blacks was brutal. Just as with the Trump supporters at the Capitol, the followers of Dr. King were blamed, even though they were predominantly peaceful.

Dr. King had said about the denial of Black rights, "we will stand up against it with our lives." In the end, he did. After the failed march, Dr. King was standing on the balcony of his motel room in Memphis. It was just past 6 PM on April 4, 1968. A single shot rang out. The high-powered rifle round entered his jaw and exited his neck, severing his spine.

A man named James Earl Ray was sentenced to 99 years for the murder, yet he maintained his innocence to his death in 1998. His explanation sounds credible and can be seen on the documentary *Inside Story - Who Killed Martin Luther King*, available free on YouTube. That video presents evidence that makes a strong case that Ray was only the fall guy and that the deep state had offered a contract to the mafia to do away with King. The video features an FBI agent who testified that another agent, when hearing of King's demise, slammed his fist into his hand, saying, "We finally got him!"

The House Assassinations Committee of 1976-78 concluded that the FBI had considered Dr. King a threat to national security and to the stability of the United States. They further concluded that the FBI had been on a mission to destroy King and that they had repeatedly violated King's civil rights. FBI agent Arthur Murtagh testified, "It was immoral, illegal and unethical for the Bureau to use its power to try to destroy Dr. King and particularly to do so by falsifying information and they did falsify it over and over and over again." The House Assassinations Committee concluded

that there was a conspiracy to assassinate Dr. King, but they were unable to uncover its participants. The deep state is efficient at what it does. Today they even spy on presidents and launch smear campaigns against them. Although Dr. King was martyred for his cause, his method worked. America watched it all on national television, and they were appalled at the treatment of Negroes. A new civil rights act was passed, and segregation came to an end. Peaceful protests had worked.

What We Must Do

We must do the same. We must stand up, protest, march, write to our representatives, and demand election reform. We must never forget they stole the election, and we must never let them forget it either. We must forever remind them that Joe Biden and Kamala Harris are illegitimate usurpers of power and have seized a position that does not rightfully belong to them. We must keep it up until the election is thoroughly investigated and every Dominion machine in America is put out of service.

We must follow Dr. Martin Luther King's Christian advice to refuse to resort to violence. That would not end well for anyone. But we can make our voices heard. It will be an uphill fight. They will persecute us, arrest us and come after us in every way they can, but we must not relent. They must be opposed. They must be exposed. Let us not make the same mistake that Germany did. They let the Nazi party grow until it was too big and dangerous to oppose. We can't let that happen here. The Democrats stole our vote, and then they stole our voice by crippling our ability to speak our minds on social media. They made our votes disappear and then our voices. They even silenced President Trump by deleting his Twitter account. The titans of tech have more power than the President and more control than Congress. This must not go unchallenged. We must boycott companies that silence free speech and attack the freedoms of Americans.

There are some out there on the conservative side that want war. They are a very small minority. They are filled with anger, understandably so. They do not realize it, but they are letting hate invade their soul. They want killing. We must make certain that we remain dissociated from any such group. It is easy to hate when someone steals your rights and mocks those whom you admire. But we must not hate. We can hate the evil things they do, but we must not hate them.

We must pray for our enemies. That is what Jesus taught. We must not wish any ill upon them. The Democrats have seized power through wickedness. But we must maintain our Christian perspective. These Democrats who engaged in these evil acts are not ready to meet God. If they were to die, they would be dragged down to the fires of hell by a thousand demons. We mustn't wish that for anybody. We should pray that they repent of their great wickedness so that they may be saved. It may not seem likely that they will repent. First Century Christians did not think it likely that Saul would repent of his murderous rampage upon the Church, but he did, and he became the Apostle Paul. Many have seen the light and left the Democratic Party. Many more will do so. Let us help them. Let us win their hearts. Let us persuade them with words and with that pen which is mightier than the sword. Remember, many Democrats are decent people who have been deceived by Satan and are in the dark about all their party is doing.

The Democrats have pushed us dangerously close to civil war by their actions. Stealing people's votes is a perilous act. At the outset of the last civil war, everyone on both sides thought it would be over in a month. For four long bloody years, Republicans and Democrats shoved bayonets into each other's bellies, shot one another, stabbed each other, mutilated their countrymen, and blew each other up until 600,000 plus people were dead and untold numbers came home without arms and legs.

War is not all glory and triumphant music. It is sickness, pain, loss, torment and death. It is not like the movies. In the movie Gettysburg, Colonel Chamberland leads a victorious bayonet charge with empty guns. It really happened, and it is an epic battle told to the tune of glorious music. But the untold story is that his genitals were terribly mutilated in the war, and he suffered pain, infections, and incredible torment for the remainder of his days, a situation that put a tremendous strain upon his marriage. War is hell. Let's not do it again.

I vote for peace. I vote for passive resistance, and that is a vote they can't steal. We face two dangers. One, I have already explained. We must not go down the road of hate and violence. The other threat is apathy. If we ignore the danger and do not protest, loud and long, then the Democratic Party will go unchecked in their destruction of America. We must find the middle road. Dr. Martin Luther King and his people have been down this road, indeed a worse road by far than what we are currently on. Let us learn from them. What worked for them can work for us. I repeat the words of Reverend King:

"He who lives by the sword will perish by the sword. We must meet violence with non-violence. We must meet hate with love."

Why Government is Broken

The federal government is broken. The framers never intended that politicians go to Washington to make themselves rich, much less to stay there until they die. Democrat Nancy Pelosi has been in Congress for over thirty years. RINO⁹² Mitch McConnell has been there nearly four decades. That is a situation that was never intended.

_

⁹² RINO: Republican In Name Only. A derogatory term that describes a Republican who sides with Democrats instead of representing the conservatives who elected him or her.

It may be asked, but aren't Congressmen up for reelection every few years? Yes, they are. Representatives serve two-year terms, and senators serve six-year terms. Unfortunately, those elections do not have the intended effect of bringing in new blood and keeping elected officials responsive to those who elected them.

Here is what happens: Once a politician is elected to Congress, his chances of not getting reelected are less than ten percent. After they have been there six years, they have a 99 percent likelihood of being reelected. In other words, once elected, it is a foregone conclusion that a future election will most likely never remove them. This happens, even though the approval rating for Congress in 2020 was only 15 percent. Think about that -85 percent of the people in America disapprove of those elected to Congress. Yet, these people will be reelected and remain in office!

This state of affairs was never intended by the founding fathers of our country. This is not how it was during the first century of America's government. Long-term congressional incumbency was rare at that time. In fact, members often voluntarily chose to leave Washington and return home. Why has this changed, and why didn't the founders foresee our current dilemma? What exactly is the problem?

In a word, "money" is the problem. Scripture warns us that "the love of money is the root of all sorts of evil." (1 Timothy 6:10) Our founders did not foresee that being in Congress could turn a person into a millionaire, and today, it is money that gets a person reelected. At the founding of our country, people were elected because their accomplishments and character were recognized. They were, more than now, in closer personal contact with their constituents. When they got to Congress, they won reelection by conscientiously being

 $[\]frac{93}{https://www.heritage.org/political-process/report/term-limits-the-only-way-clean-congress}$

responsive to the needs of those who elected them. They did so, or they were not reelected.

Today it is different. Now, the candidate with the most money wins. Elections are won by massive radio and television ad campaigns and by hiring public relations professionals who do opposition research and run smear campaigns against the opposing party. Once a candidate wins election to Congress, they are home free, because once there, they have access to vast wealth that will keep them reelected in perpetuity.

How does this happen? Special interest groups, that's how. Perhaps you have heard of K Street. This is the area in downtown Washington where many lobbyists have their offices and ply their trade of "convincing" congressmen to make decisions favorable to the industry they represent. Technically and legally, lobbyists don't pay bribes to get what they want, but the effect is the same. To win reelection, a congressman needs money donated to his campaign fund. Companies hire a lobbyist to promise campaign contributions from a company in return for legislation favorable to that company. Instead of Congressmen being responsive to the people who elected them, they come to care more for the influence peddlers who offer them money to get reelected. Consequently, politicians respond almost exclusively to the desires of special interests.

It isn't just money for reelection that influences people in Congress. The money that goes into their pockets from deals they make while in Congress also has its effect. Today, more than half of those in Congress are millionaires and they are getting richer at an astounding rate. A study that covered the years between 2004-2012 showed that while average citizens were experiencing an annual decrease in assets, the wealth of those in Congress moved in the opposite direction. While Americans became poorer, Congress got richer. 94

_

⁹⁴ <u>https://www.foxbusiness.com/money/wealthiest-members-congress</u>

The average increase in net worth in the top twenty congressmen was a whopping 422% per year. Some of them far exceeded the average. Democrat Pedro Pierluisi had an increase of 2.6 million dollars over the eight years studied, an annual increase of 1,707 percent. Democrat Chellie Pingree did even better. Since elected to Congress, his net worth went up by over forty million dollars, an increase of more than seventy-three thousand percent per year. It is not just Democrats on the gravy train. Republican Jeff Denham saw his assets gain an average of 661 percent every year, amounting to a total gain of fifteen million dollars since elected. Although some Congressmen had a net loss due to bad investments, discounting those, all congressmen averaged together saw a net worth gain of 43.6 percent. Compare that to the -0.94 loss that the study data shows was the fate of the average citizen during this time. Clearly, being a congressman is the fast track to obtaining great wealth.

Convention of States

The longer a person is in Congress, the less likely it is that they will be responsive to those who elected them. One of the actions that can be taken to fix this is to set term limits on members of Congress. How is that done? It can be done with an amendment to the Constitution. Congress has the power to initiate that process, but there is no way they will do that. They are on the gravy train, and they don't want it to stop. Fortunately, there is another way. Article V of the Constitution has a process for reining in an out-of-control government.

It is called a convention of states, and it is time to use the resources our founding fathers gave us to control the federal government. It works like this: If 34 states agree on a specific written agenda to be discussed, they can send this application to Congress to set a place and time for the convention. If Congress refuses, the states can select the place and time themselves. There is nothing Congress can do to stop it from

proceeding. Each state gets to send one commissioner, and each state gets one vote. This convention has the authority to draft amendments to the constitution that fall within the scope of the original charter the convening states agreed to. If these amendments are ratified by 38 states, then they become officially part of the Constitution.

If, for example, a 15-year term limit were set by a new amendment, we could see Nancy Pelosi and her ilk ousted from Congress, and there is nothing they could do about it. It's time to get the bad blood out of Congress and get some people in there that will listen to the people that elected them. Term limits are only one aspect that the commissioners will discuss. Amendments can be introduced to restrict the power of the federal government over the states, force a balanced budget, get the federal government out of our schools and healthcare system and rein in overreaching regulatory agencies.

The process I just described has already begun. An organization called *Convention of States Action* (COSA) has undertaken getting the ball rolling. The legislatures of fifteen states have already signed on. We only need nineteen more. Since Republicans have control of the legislatures in most states, this is not a far-fetched possibility at all. For example, in 2016 Democrats only had total control of five states. With Biden taking a wrecking ball to America's economy, we can expect Republican control in the legislatures to increase. For more information on this or to find out what you can do to help move this along, go to the following website: ConventionOfStates.com

Other actions can be taken to help reform America's government. Election reform is essential. We need voter ID laws in all the states, and mail-in voting must be kept to a minimum. The Dominion machines have to go. These matters are under the control of the legislatures of each state. Everyone needs to write to their representatives and push for election reform as soon as possible. It must be done before the next election.

We must also take back our schools, which have been coopted by Democrats who teach students to grow up to be good
Democrats. Many public schools teach that Marxism,
socialism, transgenderism, Islam, global warming, abortion,
premarital sex, and homosexuality are all acceptable
philosophies, practices, and lifestyles. These are all policies
championed by the Democratic Party. A child's indoctrination
begins in grade school and continues through college.
Statistics show that a child in public school is much more
likely to grow up and vote Democrat than one in a charter or
private school. This is why Democrats oppose charter schools.
For every child that escapes the indoctrination of the public
school system, there is one less mind for them to corrupt. We
must push for school choice in every state.

Last, but certainly not least, is this: America has a spiritual problem. As a nation, we have turned away from God and kicked His moral principles to the curb. We have turned toward unrighteousness and debauchery. Even our leaders promote wickedness and call evil good, and good evil. Over two centuries ago, President George Washington in his inaugural address uttered these prophetic words: "The propitious smiles of heaven, can never be expected on a nation that disregards the eternal rules of order and right, which heaven itself has ordained." This is profound wisdom that we ignore at our peril. If America is to enjoy the blessings of God there must be a spiritual awakening. If that does not happen, dark and terrible times are ahead. America is on the brink. Let every American seek God during this time of crisis.

And as we pray, let us work, for God will help those who help themselves. We are not helpless. There are avenues available to us that provide for peaceful, legal changes to our government. I reiterate the word peaceful. At a time when tensions are high, Christians must be a voice for calm and reasoned action. Our founding fathers gave us a Constitution that provides for dealing with excesses in government. It is to these we must turn to bring about non-violent diplomatic actions that will correct the problems in our nation. We must

remember Jesus' admonition to "turn the other cheek." Many in this nation feel insulted and abused, but we must only let it spur us on to work for justice within our Constitution's framework. We must do, as President Abraham Lincoln said in his second inaugural address:

With malice toward none, with charity for all, with firmness in the right as God gives us to see the right let us strive on to finish the work we are, in to bind up the nation's wounds...to do all which may achieve and cherish a just and lasting peace among ourselves and with all nations.




Reviews help others decide if a book has information that they would be interested in. If you care to leave a review it will be appreciated! You may use the link below to leave a review:

https://www.Amazon.com/review/create-review?&asin=B08VR7WR1N

To see other books by this author visit –

https://www.amazon.com/Mark-Swarbrick/e/B00E21XKMS


These titles are available from the same author...



Did you know there is a cure for Covid? Are you worried about Covid? Worry no more. This book has the answers you need. Find out what they don't want you to know.

- How to get an ivermectin prescription legally.
- > The evidence of ivermectin's safety and efficacy.
- > The pandemic from a Christian worldview
- > The pandemic and Bible Prophecy explained
- > The Big Pharma & globalist conspiracy exposed.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B09MDV4VT9




Learn fascinating and comforting truths, such as...

- Why Christians don't need to fear the future.
- > Scriptural proof of a pre-tribulation Rapture.
- Recent signs that we are in the last days.
- ➤ The mystery of the 7th Kingdom prophecy.
- > The identity of Babylon the Great.
- Principals for interpreting prophecy.
- How to be saved.

With careful historical analysis and fresh insight, the author explains biblical prophecy in plain language.

Available at: https://www.amazon.com/dp/B08HRZGX18




True Stories of Real Miracles. Read about...

- Spiritual Gifts of Power.
- Lives Saved by Angelic Intervention.
- Deliverance from Demonic Possession.
- > The Miracle of Salvation in Jesus.
- > Twelve Heartwarming Miraculous Short Stories.

This book is a page-turner that is guaranteed to help you expect God to work miracles in your life and to trust him through times when he doesn't.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B087RC7L5N




A children's Bible story series teaching basic Christian truths without compromise. In Book One your child will learn...

- The Bible is trustworthy.
- Why God created man & why we have free will.
- Why there is death and pain.
- God created us. We did not evolve.
- God made us male and female & defined marriage.

Children get bombarded with confusion about gender, marriage, and evolution at a very young age. This book is the antidote.


Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B08ZBRK3GK



What happens to a Christian when they die? Does one go immediately to be with the Lord or is one unconscious until the resurrection?

This book thoroughly examines the issue. The author makes an airtight case from scripture and from the writings of early Christendom that is irrefutable; the Bible and historic Christianity both deny the doctrine of soul sleep and affirm the immortality of the soul.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B089M6P615




The perfect book to give to a Mormon friend or to anyone wanting to know the truth about the Mormon religion. Written with Christian love, this book explains...

- Mormon teaching versus Christian doctrine.
- ➤ The real reason Joseph Smith was killed.
- The Mormon War in Illinois.
- > The Mountain Meadows Massacre.
- Mormonism & Polygamy.

This is not an anti-Mormon rant, but a thoughtful analysis of Mormonism in the light of Scripture, American history, and historic Christian doctrine.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B087YJCWFB




Have you ever run into a King James Onlyist? If you ever do, then you will need to know...

- Why the KJV is NOT the most accurate version.
- Why modern versions are not Satanic.
- > The history of Bible translations.
- Why the Pilgrims did not trust the KJV.
- ➤ Why the ESV, NASB, & NIV are more accurate.
- Salvation is in Jesus Christ, not the KJV.

This book will give you a quick synopsis of the key issues and prepare you to more than hold your own against the cultic onslaught of the KJV Onlyists.


Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B089M1D947



Televangelist Jimmy Swaggart is claiming that he alone has been given a new revelation from God that contains "more light than the Church has ever known." Is this new revelation biblical?

- ➤ We are instructed by the Bible to compare all revelation to Scripture and test those who call themselves apostles (Rev 2:2).
- This book analyzes Swaggart's teachings in the light of the word of God and puts JSM to the biblical test prescribed by Scripture.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B087SGSR8H



This is not a book against Catholics. Rather, it is for Catholics, to help them see that some of the doctrines of Catholicism interfere with a close walk with Jesus. Read what the Bible says about...

- > Prayers to dead people.
- > Praying to, kneeling before, and kissing statues.
- Worshipping Mary.
- Calling the Pope "Holy Father."
- > The danger of extra-biblical authority.
- Papal infallibility.
- > The error of salvation by works.

Available at: https://www.amzn.com/B08C47S4FY